

Helsinki, 9 November 2017

Addressee: [REDACTED]

Decision number: TPE-D-2114375604-45-01/F

Substance name: Reaction mass of 1,1'-(isopropylidene)bis[3,5-dibromo-4-(2,3-dibromo-2-methylpropoxy)benzene] and 1,3-dibromo-2-(2,3-dibromo-2-methylpropoxy)-5-{2-[3,5-dibromo-4-(2,3,3-tribromo-2-methylpropoxy)phenyl]propan-2-yl}benzene

List number: 944-461-4

CAS number: NS

Registration number: [REDACTED]

Submission number: [REDACTED]

Submission date: 06/03/2017

Registered tonnage band: 100-1000

### **DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL**

Based on Article 40 of Regulation ((EC) No 1907/2006) (the REACH Regulation), ECHA examined your testing proposal(s) and decided as follows.

You are requested to perform the following tests:

- 1. Long-term toxicity to terrestrial plants (Annex IX, Section 9.4.3., column 2; test method: Terrestrial plant test: seedling emergence and seedling growth test, OECD TG 208 with at least six species tested (with as a minimum two monocotyledonous species and four dicotyledonous species) or Soil Quality – Biological Methods – Chronic toxicity in higher plants, ISO 22030) using the registered substance** while the originally proposed short-term toxicity test for terrestrial plants (test method: OECD TG 208) is rejected pursuant to Article 40(3)(d) of the REACH Regulation;
- 2. Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD TG 216 and carbon transformation test, EU C.22/OECD TG 217) using the registered substance.**

You may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI to the REACH Regulation.

To ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring and conforming to the appropriate rules in the respective annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

You have to submit the requested information in an updated registration dossier by **16 August 2018**. You also have to update the chemical safety report, where relevant.

The reasons for this decision are set out in Appendix 1. The procedural history is described in Appendix 2 and advice and further observations are provided in Appendix 3.

**Appeal**

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> by Claudio Carlon, Head of Unit, Evaluation E2

---

<sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

**Appendix 1: Reasons****1. Long-term toxicity to terrestrial plants (Annex IX, Section 9.4.3., column 2)**

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(d) and (c) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may reject a proposed test and require the Registrant to carry out other tests in cases of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI.

"Effects on terrestrial organisms" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 9.4. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annex IX, Section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.), effects on soil microorganisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.), and short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, Section 9.4.3.). Furthermore, Annex IX, Section 9.4., column 2 specifies that long-term toxicity testing shall be considered by the Registrant instead of short-term, in particular for substances that have a high potential to adsorb to soil or that are very persistent.

The information on "short-term or long-term toxicity to plants" is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a short-term toxicity test on terrestrial plants (OECD 208), with the following justification:

*"CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE GENERAL ADAPTATION POSSIBILITIES OF ANNEX XI OF THE REACH REGULATION ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GENERATE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION:*

- *Available GLP studies: no studies available for the endpoint 'toxicity to terrestrial plants'*
- *Available non-GLP studies: no studies available for the endpoint 'toxicity to terrestrial plants'*
- *Historical human data: none available*
- *(Q)SAR: no appropriate QSAR available*
- *In vitro methods: not applicable*
- *Weight of evidence: no data available for a weight of evidence approach*
- *Grouping and read-across: no suitable source substances were identified.*

*CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE SPECIFIC ADAPTATION POSSIBILITIES OF ANNEXES VI TO X (AND COLUMN 2 THEREOF) OF THE REACH REGULATION ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GENERATE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION:*

- *According to Annex VII to X a toxicity test on terrestrial plants could be avoided if a direct or indirect exposure of the soil compartment was unlikely or if a long-term toxicity study on terrestrial organisms was available. Both justifications do not apply for this substance. Moreover, since the adaptation of the standard testing regime according to Annex XI cannot be applied, an experimental study on plants is proposed".*

However, according to Section R.7.11.5.3., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 2.0, November 2014), substances that are ionisable or have a  $\log K_{ow}/K_{oc} > 5$  are considered highly adsorptive, and substances with a half-life  $> 180$  days are considered very persistent in soil. ECHA notes that, according to the evidence presented within the Registration dossier, the substance has a high potential to adsorb to soil ( $\log K_{ow}$  10.1) and is considered very persistent, which is the default setting for not readily biodegradable substances when the value of the half-life in soil is not available; therefore, the substance meets the column 2 adaptation criteria of Annex IX, Section 9.4. concerning the use of long-term testing instead of short-term.

Therefore, considering the properties of the substance, ECHA concludes that only a long-term toxicity test on plants (and not the short-term) will provide the necessary useful information.

OECD guideline 208 (Terrestrial plants, growth test) considers the need to select the number of test species according to relevant regulatory requirements, and the need for a reasonably broad selection of species to account for interspecies sensitivity distribution. For long-term toxicity testing, ECHA considers six species as the minimum and testing shall be conducted, as a minimum with two monocotyledonous species and four dicotyledonous species. You should consider if testing on additional species is required to cover the information requirement.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, you are required to carry out one of the following additional studies using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Terrestrial plants, growth test (OECD 208), with at least six species tested (with as a minimum two monocotyledonous species and four dicotyledonous species), or Soil Quality – Biological Methods – Chronic toxicity in higher plants (ISO 22030), while the short-term toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates (OECD 207) is rejected pursuant to Article 40(3)(d) of the REACH Regulation.

## **2. Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.)**

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

"Effects on terrestrial organisms" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 9.4. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annex IX, Section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.), effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.), and short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, Section 9.4.3.).

The information on "effects on soil micro-organisms" is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a toxicity test on soil microorganisms (OECD 216), with the following justification:

*"CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE GENERAL ADAPTATION POSSIBILITIES OF ANNEX XI OF THE REACH REGULATION ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GENERATE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION:*

- Available GLP studies: no studies available for the endpoint `toxicity to soil microorganisms`*
- Available non-GLP studies: no studies available for the endpoint `toxicity to soil microorganisms`*
- Historical human data: none available*
- (Q)SAR: no appropriate QSAR available*
- In vitro methods: not applicable*
- Weight of evidence: no data available for a weight of evidence approach*
- Grouping and read-across: no suitable source substances were identified*

*CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE SPECIFIC ADAPTATION POSSIBILITIES OF ANNEXES VI TO X (AND COLUMN 2 THEREOF) OF THE REACH REGULATION ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GENERATE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION:*

*- According to Annex VII to X a toxicity test on soil microorganisms could be avoided if a direct or indirect exposure of the soil compartment was unlikely. However, indirect exposure of the soil cannot be excluded for this substance. Moreover, since the adaptation of the standard testing regime according to Annex XI cannot be applied, an experimental study on soil microorganisms is proposed”.*

To address this endpoint, either a nitrogen transformation test (test method: EU C.21/OECD TG 216) or a carbon transformation test (test method: EU C.22/OECD TG 217) could be performed. According to Section R.7.11.3.1, Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 2.0, November 2014), ECHA considers the nitrogen transformation test (EU C.21/OECD TG 216) suitable for non-agrochemicals.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed test using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD TG 216.

## **Appendix 2: Procedural history**

ECHA received your registration containing the testing proposals for examination in accordance with Article 40(1) on 6 March 2017.

This decision does not take into account any updates after **20 August 2017**, 30 calendar days after the end of the commenting period.

The decision making followed the procedure of Articles 50 and 51 of the REACH Regulation, as described below:

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments by the end of the commenting period.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

**Appendix 3: Further information, observations and technical guidance**

1. This decision does not imply that the information provided in your registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.
2. Failure to comply with the requests in this decision, or to otherwise fulfil the information requirements with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the enforcement authorities of the Member States.
3. In carrying out the tests required by the present decision, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured or imported. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new tests must be suitable to assess these.

Furthermore, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the tests to be assessed.