

Decision number: TPE-D-0000002059-75-05/F Helsinki, 13 June 2012

# DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For Pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate), CAS No 6683-19-8 (EC No 229-722-6), registration number:

Addressee:		
Addressee:		

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

### I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined a testing proposal set out in the registration dossier for pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate), CAS No 6683-19-8 (EC No 229-722-6), submitted by (Registrant), latest submission number (Registrant), for over 1000 tonnes per year.

In accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(e) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant submitted the following testing proposal as part of the registration dossier to fulfil the information requirements set out in Annex IX:

Long-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (OECD 211, *Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test)

The examination of the testing proposal was initiated on 14 October 2010.

On 2 December 2011 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

By 2 January 2012 the Registrant did not provide any comments on the draft decision to ECHA.

On 20 January 2012 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification. Subsequently, one Competent Authority of a Member State submitted a proposal for amendment to the draft decision. ECHA reviewed the proposal for amendment received and decided to amend the draft decision.

On 23 February 2012 ECHA notified the Registrant of proposals for amendment to the draft decision and invited him pursuant to Article 51(5) of the REACH Regulation to provide comments on those proposals for amendment within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.



On 5 March 2012, the draft decision was referred to the Member State Committee.

On 26 March 2012 the Registrant provided comments on the proposal for amendment. The Member State Committee took the comments of the Registrant into account.

After discussion in the Member State Committee meeting on 24-27 April 2012, the Member State Committee modified the draft decision and a unanimous agreement of the Member State Committee on the draft decision was reached on 26 April 2012.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the requirements of the REACH Regulation. The decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate a compliance check on the present dossier at a later stage.

## II. Testing required

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall carry out the following test using the indicated test method:

Long-term toxicity testing on invertebrates, Annex IX, 9.1.5. (test method: *Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test, EU C.20/OECD 211)

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **13 March 2013** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision.

# III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal of the Registrant for the registered substance.

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

The testing proposal for long term toxicity to aquatic invertebrates (test method: OECD 211) has been proposed by the Registrant in order to meet the information requirement of Section 9.1.5 of Annex IX of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Moreover, the registered substance is poorly water soluble indicating a need for long-term testing according to Annex VII, 9.1.1, column 2 to the REACH Regulation.

Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to generate the data for this endpoint.

The test will allow the registrant to determine the aquatic PNEC with more confidence. The results will also allow the registrant to refine, via the EPM method, their PNECs for sediment and soil.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the following test: Long term toxicity testing on invertebrates, test method: EU C.20/OECD 211 (*Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test).



## IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of evaluation of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the generation of information is tailored to real information needs in order to prevent unnecessary testing. The information submitted in the registration dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance for the purpose of assessing the testing proposal. It is noted, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed test, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all the joint registrants of the same substance to agree with the test proposed in the testing proposal (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on its composition. The substance identity information of the registered substance and of the sample tested must enable ECHA to confirm the relevance of the testing for the substance actually registered by each joint registrant. Finally, the study must be shared by the joint registrants concerned.

## V. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA always reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP). National authorities monitoring GLP maintain lists of test facilities indicating the relevant areas of expertise of each facility.

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

### VI. <u>Information on right to appeal</u>

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at <a href="http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app-procedure-en.asp">http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app-procedure-en.asp</a>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.

