

Helsinki, 13 November 2023

Addressee

Registrant listed in Appendix 3 of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision 11/11/2016

Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")

Substance name: 1,3-Benzenedimethanamine, N-(2-phenylethyl) derivs.

EC number/List number: 445-790-1

Decision number: Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format CCH-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX)

DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **21 February 2028**.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH

- 1. Long-term toxicity testing on fish (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.1.3., Column 2; test method: EU C.47./OECD TG 210)
- 2. Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.2.; test method: EU C.25./OECD TG 309) at a temperature of 12°C.
- 3. Soil simulation testing (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.2.; test method: EU C.23./OECD TG 307) at a temperature of 12°C. Non-extractable residues (NER) must be quantified and a scientific justification of the selected extraction procedures and solvents must be provided.
- 4. Sediment simulation testing (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.2.; test method: EU C.24./OECD TG 308) at a temperature of 12°C. Non-extractable residues (NER) must be quantified and a scientific justification of the selected extraction procedures and solvents must be provided.
- 5. Identification of degradation products (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.2; test method: EU C.25./OECD TG 309, EU C.23./OECD TG 307 and EU C.24./OECD TG 308).
- 6. Bioaccumulation in aquatic species (triggered by Annex VIII, Section 9.3., Column 2.; test method: EU C.13/OECD TG 305).

The reasons for the request(s) are explained in Appendix 1.



Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH. The addressee of the decision and its corresponding information requirements based on registered tonnage band are listed in Appendix 3.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements, you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also **update the chemical safety report, where** relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general requirements for testing and reporting new tests under REACH, see Appendix 4. In addition, the studies relating to biodegradation and bioaccumulation are necessary for the PBT assessment. However, to determine the testing needed to reach the conclusion on the persistency and bioaccumulation of the Substance you should consider the sequence in which these tests are performed and other conditions described in this Appendix.

Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals for further information.

Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised¹ under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

Appendix 1: Reasons for the request(s)

Appendix 2: Procedure

Appendix 3: Addressees of the decision and their individual information requirements

Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests under REACH

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.





Appendix 1: Reasons for the requests

Contents

Reas	Reasons related to the information under Annex VIII of REACH4				
	Long-term toxicity testing on fish				
3.	Soil simulation testing	. 6			
4.	Sediment simulation testing	. 7			
5.	Identification of degradation products	. 9			
6.	Bioaccumulation in aquatic species	. 9			
Refe	References				



Reasons related to the information under Annex VIII of REACH

1. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

Short-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex VIII, Column 1, Section 9.1.3. However, long-term toxicity testing on fish may be required by the Agency (Section 9.1.3., Column 2) if the substance is poorly water soluble, i.e. solubility below 1 mg/L.

1.1. Triggering of the information requirement

- Poorly water soluble substances require longer time to reach steady-state conditions. As a result, the short-term tests do not give a true measure of toxicity for this type of substances and the long-term test is required.
- You have provided information which indicates that the Substance includes constituents that are poorly water soluble. In the provided EU Method A.6 (2002), the saturation concentration for the component 2 was reported to be 1.05 mg/L without specification of the confidence intervals of this value and component 4 was reported to have a solubility limit of 0.36 mg/L.
- 4 Therefore, the Substance includes constituents that are poorly water soluble and information on long-term toxicity on fish must be provided.
- You have provided a short-term toxicity study on fish but no information on long-term toxicity on fish for the Substance.
- In the absence of information on long-term toxicity on fish , this information requirement is not fulfilled.
- 7 Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

1.2. Study design and test specifications

- To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, the Fish, Early-life Stage Toxicity Test (test method OECD TG 210) is the most appropriate (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.8.2.).
- The Substance is difficult to test due to the low water solubility as already explained above and the low surface tension (49.8 in mN/m). OECD TG 210 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in OECD TG 210. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solution.

2. Simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water



10 Under Annex VIII, Section 9.2., Column 2, further information on degradation or further testing as described in Annex IX must be generated if the chemical safety assessment (CSA) in accordance with Annex I indicates the need to investigate further the degradation of the substance.

2.1. Triggering of the information requirement

- Therefore, this information requirement is triggered in case if for example additional information on degradation as set out in Annex XIII, point 3.2.1, is required to assess PBT or vPvB properties of the substance in accordance with subsection 2.1 of that Annex. This is the case if the Substance itself or any of its constituent or impurity present in concentration $\geq 0.1\%$ (w/w) or relevant transformation/degradation product meets the following criteria:
 - it is potentially persistent or very persistent (P/vP) as it is not readily biodegradable (i.e. <60/70% degradation in an OECD 301C),
 - it is potentially bioaccumulative or very bioaccumulative (B/vB) as for some groups of substances (e.g. organometals, ionisable substances, surfactants) other partitioning mechanisms may drive bioaccumulation (e.g. binding to protein/cell membranes) and high potential for bioaccumulation cannot be excluded solely based on its potential to partition to lipid;
 - it meets the T criteria set in Annex XIII: NOEC or $EC_{10} < 0.01$ mg/L or classification as carc. 1A or 1B, muta. 1A or 1B, repro. 1A, 1B or 2, or STOT RE 1 or 2.
- 12 Your registration dossier provides the following:
 - the Substance is not readily biodegradable (0% degradation after 28 days in OECD TG 301C). In your comments to the draft decision, you provide a summary of the study already in your registration which does not impact ECHA's assessment;
 - the Substance is an ionisable substance and is surface active and therefore high potential for bioaccumulation cannot be excluded based on available information;
 - the Substance meets the T criteria as it is classified as STOT RE 2.

13 Furthermore:

- it is not possible to conclude on the bioaccumulation potential of the Substance (see Request 5 of this decision), and
- it is not possible to conclude on the aquatic toxicity of the Substance (see Request 1 of this decision).
- Based on the above, the available information on the Substance indicates that it is a potential PBT/vPvB substance. Further, the information from your PBT assessment is not adequate to conclude on the PBT/vPvB properties of the Substance.
- 15 Therefore, the chemical safety assessment (CSA) indicates the need for further degradation investigation.
- Your registration dossier does not include any information on aerobic and anaerobic transformation in surface water. Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

2.2. Study design



- 17 Simulation degradation studies must include two types of investigations (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1.):
 - 1) a degradation pathway study where transformation/degradation products are quantified and, if relevant, are identified, and
 - 2) a kinetic study where the degradation rate constants (and degradation half-lives) of the parent substance and of relevant transformation/degradation products are experimentally determined.
- You must perform the test, by following the pelagic test option with natural surface water containing approximately 15 mg dw/L of suspended solids (acceptable concentration between 10 and 20 mg dw/L) (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.1.3.).
- The required test temperature is 12°C, which corresponds to the average environmental temperature for the EU (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Table R.16-8) and is in line with the applicable test conditions of the OECD TG 309.
- As specified in Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1., the organic carbon (OC) concentration in surface water simulation tests is typically 2 to 3 orders of magnitude higher than the test material concentration and the formation of non-extractable residues (NERs) may be significant in surface water tests. Paragraph 52 of the OECD TG 309 provides that the "total recovery (mass balance) at the end of the experiment should be between 90% and 110% for radiolabelled substances, whereas the initial recovery at the beginning of the experiment should be between 70% and 110% for non-labelled substances". NERs contribute towards the total recovery. Therefore, the quantity of the (total) NERs must be accounted for the total recovery (mass balance), when relevant, to achieve the objectives of the OECD TG 309 to derive degradation rate and half-life. The reporting of results must include a scientific justification of the used extraction procedures and solvents.
- 21 For the persistence assessment by default, total NERs is regarded as non-degraded Substance. However, if reasonably justified and analytically demonstrated a certain part of NERs may be differentiated and quantified as irreversibly bound or as degraded to biogenic NERs, such fractions could be regarded as removed when calculating the degradation half-life(s) (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.1.3.). Further recommendations may be found in the background note on options to address non-extractable residues in regulatory persistence assessment available on the ECHA website (NER summary 2019 (europa.eu)).
- Relevant transformation/degradation products are at least those detected at ≥ 10% of the applied dose at any sampling time or those that are continuously increasing during the study even if their concentrations do not exceed 10% of the applied dose, as this may indicate persistence (OECD TG 309; Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.).
- Relevant transformation/degradation products are at least those detected at ≥ 10% of the applied dose at any sampling time or those that are continuously increasing during the study even if their concentrations do not exceed 10% of the applied dose, as this may indicate persistence (OECD TG 309; Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.).

3. Soil simulation testing

24 Under Annex VIII, Section 9.2., Column 2, further information on degradation or further testing as described in Annex IX must be generated if the chemical safety assessment (CSA)



in accordance with Annex I indicates the need to investigate further the degradation of the substance.

3.1. Triggering of the information requirement

- Therefore, this information requirement is triggered in case if for example additional information on degradation as set out in Annex XIII, point 3.2.1, is required to assess PBT or vPvB properties of the substance in accordance with subsection 2.1 of that Annex.
- As already explained in request 2, the Substance is a potential PBT/vPvB substance.
- Further, the Substance has high adsorption coefficient (log Koc,soil of > 4.24) and is surface active (49.8 in mN/m), indicating high potential to adsorb to soil.
- Therefore, the chemical safety assessment (CSA) indicates the need for further degradation investigation. Based on the adsorptive properties of the Substance, soil represents a relevant environmental compartment.
- 29 Your registration dossier does not include any information on soil simulation testing.
- Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

3.2. Study design and test specifications

- 31 Simulation degradation studies must include two types of investigations (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1):
 - (1) a degradation pathway study where transformation/degradation products are quantified and, if relevant, are identified, and
 - (2) a kinetic study where the degradation rate constants (and degradation half-lives) of the parent substance and of relevant transformation/degradation products are experimentally determined.
- In accordance with the specifications of OECD TG 307, you must perform the test using at least four soils representing a range of relevant soils (i.e. varying in their organic content, pH, clay content and microbial biomass).
- In accordance with the specifications of OECD TG 307, non-extractable residues (NER) must be quantified. The reporting of results must include a scientific justification of the used extraction procedures and solvents (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1.). By default, total NER is regarded as non-degraded Substance. However, if reasonably justified and analytically demonstrated a certain part of NER may be differentiated and quantified as irreversibly bound or as degraded to biogenic NER, such fractions could be regarded as removed when calculating the degradation half-life(s) (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.1.3.). Further recommendations may be found in the background note on options to address non-extractable residues in regulatory persistence assessment available on the ECHA website.
- Relevant transformation/degradation products are at least those detected at ≥ 10% of the applied dose at any sampling time or those that are continuously increasing during the study even if their concentrations do not exceed 10% of the applied dose, as this may indicate persistence (OECD TG 307; Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.).

4. Sediment simulation testing



- Under Annex VIII, Section 9.2., Column 2, further information on degradation or further testing as described in Annex IX must be generated if the chemical safety assessment (CSA) in accordance with Annex I indicates the need to investigate further the degradation of the substance.
- Therefore, this information requirement is triggered in case if for example additional information on degradation as set out in Annex XIII, point 3.2.1, is required to assess PBT or vPvB properties of the substance in accordance with subsection 2.1 of that Annex.
- 37 As already explained in request 2, the Substance is a potential PBT/vPvB substance.
- Further, the Substance has high adsorption coefficient (log Koc,soil of > 4.24)and is surface active (49.8 in mN/m), indicating high potential to adsorb to sediment.
- Therefore, the chemical safety assessment (CSA) indicates the need for further degradation investigation. Based on the adsorptive properties of the Substance, sediment represents a relevant environmental compartment.
- 40 Your registration dossier does not include any information on sediment simulation testing.
- Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.
 - 4.1. Study design and test specifications
- Simulation degradation studies must include two types of investigations (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1.):
 - (3) a degradation pathway study where transformation/degradation products are quantified and, if relevant, are identified, and
 - (4) a kinetic study where the degradation rate constants (and degradation half-lives) of the parent substance and of relevant transformation/degradation products are experimentally determined.
- In accordance with the specifications of OECD TG 308, you must perform the test using two sediments. One sediment should have a high organic carbon content (2.5-7.5%) and a fine texture, the other sediment should have a low organic carbon content (0.5-2.5%) and a coarse texture. If the Substance may also reach marine waters, at least one of the water-sediment systems should be of marine origin.
- The required test temperature is 12°C, which corresponds to the average environmental temperature for the EU (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Table R.16-8) and is in line with the applicable test conditions of the OECD TG 308.
- In accordance with the specifications of OECD TG 308, non-extractable residues (NER) must be quantified. The reporting of results must include a scientific justification of the used extraction procedures and solvents (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1.). By default, total NER is regarded as non-degraded Substance. However, if reasonably justified and analytically demonstrated a certain part of NER may be differentiated and quantified as irreversibly bound or as degraded to biogenic NER, such fractions could be regarded as removed when calculating the degradation half-life(s) (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.1.3.). Further recommendations may be found in the background note on options to address non-extractable residues in regulatory persistence assessment available on the ECHA website.
- Relevant transformation/degradation products are at least those detected at ≥ 10% of the applied dose at any sampling time or those that are continuously increasing during the study even if their concentrations do not exceed 10% of the applied dose, as this may indicate persistence (OECD TG 308; Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.11.4.1.).



5. Identification of degradation products

- 47 Under Annex VIII, Section 9.2., Column 2, further information on degradation or further testing as described in Annex IX must be generated if the chemical safety assessment (CSA) in accordance with Annex I indicates the need to investigate further the degradation of the substance.
- Therefore, this information requirement is triggered in case if for example additional information on degradation as set out in Annex XIII, point 3.2.1, is required to assess PBT or vPvB properties of the substance in accordance with subsection 2.1 of that Annex.
- 49 As already explained in request 2, the Substance is a potential PBT/vPvB substance.
- Therefore, the chemical safety assessment (CSA) indicates the need for further degradation investigation.
- Your registration dossier does not include any information on degradation products identity.
- You have not submitted any information for this requirement.
- 53 Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

5.1. Study design and test specifications

- 54 Simulation degradation studies must include two types of investigations (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.9.4.1.):
 - (1) a degradation pathway study where transformation/degradation products are quantified and, if relevant, are identified, and
 - (2) a kinetic study where the degradation rate constants (and degradation half-lives) of the parent substance and of relevant transformation/degradation products are experimentally determined.
- Identity, stability, behaviour, and molar quantity of the degradation/transformation products relative to the Substance must be evaluated and reported. In addition, identified transformation/degradation products must be considered in the CSA including PBT assessment.
- You must obtain this information from the degradation studies requested in requests 2, 3 and 4.
- To determine the degradation rate of the Substance, the requested study according to OECD TG 309 (request 2) must be conducted at 12°C and at a test concentration < 100 μ g/L. However, to overcome potential analytical limitations with the identification and quantification of major transformation/degradation products, you may consider running a parallel test at higher temperature (but within the frame provided by the test guideline, e.g. 20°C) and at higher application rate (i.e. > 100 μ g/L).
- To determine the degradation rate of the Substance, the requested studies according to OECD TG 307 and 308 (requests 3 and 4) must be conducted at 12°C and at test material application rate reflecting realistic assumptions. However, to overcome potential analytical limitations with the identification and quantification of major transformation/degradation products, you may consider running a parallel test at higher temperature (but within the frame provided by the test guideline) and at higher application rate (e.g. 10 times).

6. Bioaccumulation in aquatic species



- Under Annex VIII, Section 9.3., Column 2, further information on bioaccumulation or further testing as described in Annex IX must be generated if the chemical safety assessment (CSA) in accordance with Annex I indicates the need to investigate further the bioaccumulation properties of the substance.
- Therefore, this information requirement is triggered in case if for example additional information on bioaccumulation as set out in Annex XIII, point 3.2.2., is required to assess PBT or vPvB properties of the substance in accordance with subsection 2.1. of that Annex.
- As already explained in request 2, the Substance is a potential PBT/vPvB substance.
- Therefore, the chemical safety assessment (CSA) indicates the need for further degradation investigation. Based on the adsorptive properties of the Substance, soil represents a relevant environmental compartment.

6.1. Information provided

- In section 2.3 of the registration dossier, you provided an adaptation for this information requirement by using Annex XI, Section 1.3. (Qualitative or Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships ((Q)SARs). To support the adaptation, you have provided predictions from BCFWIN (EPI Suite 4.1).
 - 6.2. Assessment of the information provided
 - 6.2.1. (Q)SAR adaptation rejected
- 64 Under Annex XI, Section 1.3., the following conditions must be fulfilled whenever a (Q)SAR approach is used:
 - (1) the prediction needs to be derived from a scientifically valid model,
 - (2) the substance must fall within the applicability domain of the model,
 - (3) results need to be adequate for the purpose of risk assessment or classification and labelling, and
 - (4) adequate and reliable documentation of the method must be provided.
- With regard to these conditions, we have identified the following issue(s):
 - 6.2.1.1. Lack of documentation of the prediction (QPRF)
- Guidance on IRs and CSA R.6.1.6.3. states that the information specified in or equivalent to the (Q)SAR Prediction Reporting Format document (QPRF) must be provided to have adequate and reliable documentation of the applied method. For a QPRF this includes, among others:
 - the model prediction(s), including the endpoint,
 - a precise identification of the substance modelled,
 - the relationship between the modelled substance and the defined applicability domain,
 - the identities of close analogues, including considerations on how predicted and experimental data for analogues support the prediction.
- You have not provided information about the prediction.
- In absence of such information, ECHA cannot establish that the prediction can be used to meet this information requirement.
 - 6.2.1.2. The prediction is not adequate due to low reliability
- Under Guidance on IRs and CSA R.6.1.3.4. a prediction is adequate for the purpose of classification and labelling and/or risk assessment when the model is applicable to the



chemical of interest with the necessary level of reliability. Guidance on IRs and CSA R.6.1.5.3. specifies that, among others, the following conditions must be met:

- the model predicts well substances that are similar to the substance of interest, and
- reliable input parameters are used.
- 70 Your registration dossier provides the following information:
 - The logDow was used as input parameter and the regression model for non-ionised substances was applied.
 - The training set of the model contains some secondary amines.
- The Substance is ionisable and it may interact with cell membranes based on chemical structure. Therefore other partitioning mechanisms may drive bioaccumulation (e.g., binding to protein/cell membranes) for such substances. For this reason, log Kow is not considered a valid descriptor of the bioaccumulation potential for such substances (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Appendix R.7.10-3). Similarly, the log Dow would only address the potential for bioaccumulation for substances for which the bioaccumulation is solely driven by lipophilicity.
- 72 Therefore, you have not demonstrated that the log Dow and/or log Kow are valid descriptors of the bioaccumulation potential of the Substance. On this basis any predictions based on these parameters are of low reliability.
- Furthermore, the training set of the model contains some secondary amines, though the nitrogen atom for the training set substances is always next to the aromatic ring, while for the Substance the nitrogen atom is connected to saturated aliphatic C atoms. Therefore, you have not established that the model predicts well substances that are similar to the substance of interest.
- On this basis, you have not demonstrated that the prediction for the Substance is adequate for the purpose of classification and labelling and/or risk assessment.
- 75 Based on the above, your QSAR adaptation under Annex XI, Section 1.3. is rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.
- In the comments to the draft decision, you provide a summary of an OECD 305 study and you indicate your intention to provide a full robust summary in a future update of your registration dossier. As the information in your comments is not sufficient for ECHA to make any assessment, no conclusion on the compliance can currently be made.
- On this basis, the information requirement is not fulfilled and you remain responsible for complying with this decision by the set deadline.
 - 6.3. Study design and test specifications
- Bioaccumulation in fish: aqueous and dietary exposure (Method EU C.13 / OECD TG 305) is the preferred test to investigate bioaccumulation (Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.10.3.1.). Exposure via the aqueous route (OECD TG 305-I) must be conducted unless it can be demonstrated that:
 - a stable and fully dissolved concentration of the test material in water cannot be maintained within \pm 20% of the mean measured value, and/or
 - the highest achievable concentration is less than an order of magnitude above the limit of quantification (LoQ) of a sensitive analytical method.
- 79 This test set-up is preferred as it allows for a direct comparison with the B and vB criteria of Annex XIII of REACH.

Confidential



You may only conduct the study using the dietary exposure route (OECD 305-III) if you justify and document that testing through aquatic exposure is not technically possible as indicated above. You must then estimate the corresponding BCF value from the dietary test data according to Annex 8 of the OECD 305 TG and OECD Guidance Document on Aspects of OECD TG 305 on Fish Bioaccumulation (ENV/JM/MONO(2017)16).



References

The following documents may have been cited in the decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Guidance on IRs & CSA)

Chapter R.4 Evaluation of available information; ECHA (2011). Chapter R.6 QSARs, read-across and grouping; ECHA (2008).

Appendix to Chapter R.6 for nanoforms; ECHA (2019).

Chapter R.7a Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.1 – R.7.7; ECHA (2017).

Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.7b Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.8 – R.7.9; ECHA (2017).

Appendix to Chapter R.7b for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).

Chapter R.7c Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.10 – R.7.13; ECHA (2017). Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).

Appendix R.7.13-2 Environmental risk assessment for metals and metal

compounds; ECHA (2008).

Chapter R.11 PBT/vPvB assessment; ECHA (2017). Chapter R.16 Environmental exposure assessment; ECHA (2016).

Guidance on data-sharing; ECHA (2017).

Guidance for monomers and polymers; ECHA (2012).

Guidance on intermediates; ECHA (2010).

All guidance documents are available online: https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-

documents/guidance-on-reach

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF)

RAAF, 2017 Read-across assessment framework (RAAF); ECHA (2017).

RAAF UVCB, 2017 Read-across assessment framework (RAAF) – considerations on

multi- constituent substances and UVCBs; ECHA (2017).

The RAAF and related documents are available online:

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across

OECD Guidance documents (OECD GDs)

OECD GD 23	Guidance document on aquatic toxicity testing of difficult
	substances and mixtures; No. 23 in the OECD series on testing and
	assessment, OECD (2019).
OECD GD 29	Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and
	metal compounds in aqueous media; No. 29 in the OECD series on
	testing and assessment, OECD (2002).
OECD GD 150	Revised guidance document 150 on standardised test guidelines for
	evaluating chemicals for endocrine disruption; No. 150 in the OECD
	series on testing and assessment, OECD (2018).
OECD GD 151	Guidance document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the
	extended one generation reproductive toxicity test. No. 1E1 in the

extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test; No. 151 in the

OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2013).



Appendix 2: Procedure

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 14 June 2022.

The deadline of the decision is set based on standard practice for carrying out OECD TG tests. It has been exceptionally extended by 12 months from the standard deadline granted by ECHA to take into account currently longer lead times in contract research organisations.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and did not amend the requests or the deadline.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

Following the Board of Appeal's decision in case A-001-2022 ECHA revised the study design specifications for meeting the information requirement for simulation testing on ultimate degradation in surface water (Annex VIII, column 2, section 9.2 and/or Annex IX, first column, section 9.2.1.2).



Appendix 3: Addressee of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information requirements for individual registrations are defined as follows:

- the information specified in Annex VII to REACH, for registration at 1-10 tonnes per year (tpa), or as a transported isolated intermediate in quantity above 1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII to X to REACH, for registration at more than 1000 tpa.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.



Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

1. Requirements when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

1.1. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- (1) Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- (2) Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- (3) Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries².
- (4) Under the introductory part of Annexes VII/VIII/IX/X to REACH, where a test method offers flexibility in the study design, for example in relation to the choice of dose levels or concentrations, the chosen study design must ensure that the data generated are adequate for hazard identification and risk assessment.

1.2. Test material

(1) Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- (2) Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
 - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
 - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values.

With that detailed information, ECHA can confirm whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers (https://echa.europa.eu/manuals).

-

² <u>https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides</u>



2. General recommendations for conducting and reporting new tests

2.1. Strategy for the PBT/vPvB assessment

Under Annex XIII, the information must be based on data obtained under conditions relevant for the PBT/vPvB assessment. You must assess the PBT properties of each relevant constituent of the Substance present in concentrations at or above 0.1% (w/w) and of all relevant transformation/degradation products. Alternatively, you would have to justify why you consider these not relevant for the PBT/vPvB assessment.

You are advised to consult Guidance on IRs & CSA, Sections R.7.9, R.7.10 and R.11 on PBT assessment to determine the sequence of the tests needed to reach the conclusion on PBT/vPvB. The guidance provides advice on 1) integrated testing strategies (ITS) for the P, B and T assessments and 2) the interpretation of results in concluding whether the Substance fulfils the PBT/vPvB criteria of Annex XIII.

In particular, you are advised to first conclude whether the Substance fulfils the Annex XIII criteria for P and vP, and then continue with the assessment for bioaccumulation. When determining the sequence of simulation degradation testing you are advised to consider the intrinsic properties of the Substance, its identified uses and release patterns as these could significantly influence the environmental fate of the Substance. You must revise your PBT assessment when the new information is available.

2.2. Environmental testing for substances containing multiple constituents

Your Substance contains multiple constituents and, as indicated in Guidance on IRs & CSA, Section R.11.4.2.2, you are advised to consider the following approaches for persistency, bioaccumulation and aquatic toxicity testing:

- the "known constituents approach" (by assessing specific constituents), or
- the "fraction/block approach, (performed on the basis of fractions/blocks of constituents), or
- the "whole substance approach", or
- various combinations of the approaches described above

Selection of the appropriate approach must take into account the possibility to characterise the Substance (i.e. knowledge of its constituents and/or fractions and any differences in their properties) and the possibility to isolate or synthesize its relevant constituents and/or fractions.

References to Guidance on REACH and other supporting documents can be found in Appendix 1.