

Decision number: TPE-D-0000003598-62-03/F Helsinki, 21 August 2013

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For Fatty acids, C18 unsat, reaction products with dieth	ylenetriamine, CAS No
1226892-43-8 (EC No 629-715-1), registration number:	

Addressee:

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposals submitted as part of the jointly submitted registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12 (1)(d) thereof for Fatty acids C18 unsat, reaction products with diethylenetriamine, CAS No 1226892-43-8 (EC No 629-715-1), by (Registrant).

- Sub-chronic toxicity study (90 days) oral in rodents (OECD 408) by using the substance "tall oil diethylenetriamine imidazoline" (CAS No 68442-97-7);
- Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (OECD 414) by using the substance "tall oil diethylenetriamine imidazoline" (CAS No 68442-97-7).

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number for the tonnage band of 100 to 1000 tonnes per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 20 June 2013, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

On 11 January 2011, pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA initiated the examination of the testing proposals set out by the Registrant in the registration dossier for the substance mentioned above.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 15 September 2011 until 31 October 2011. ECHA did receive information from third parties (see section III.3 below).

On 19 October 2012 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision. That draft decision was based on submission number.



On 14 November 2012 ECHA received comments from the Registrant agreeing to ECHA's draft decision.

On 17 and 26 February 2013 the Registrant updated his registration dossier (submission number).

On 20 June 2013 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States did not propose amendments to the draft decision and ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

II. Testing required

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed tests pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test methods and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

- 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26/OECD 408); and
- 2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats or rabbits, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

The Registrant shall determine the appropriate order of the studies taking into account the possible outcome and considering the possibilities for adaptations of the standard information requirements according to the column 2 provisions of the respective Annex and those contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation.

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **21 August 2015** an update of the registration containing the information required by this decision.

Data from a second pre-natal developmental toxicity study on another species is a standard information requirement according to Annex X, 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant should firstly take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity on a first species and all other relevant available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI. If the Registrant considers that testing is necessary to fulfil this information requirement, he should include in the update of his dossier a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study on a second species.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other Registrants.



III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance and scientific information submitted by third parties.

In relation to the testing proposals subject to the present decision, the Registrant has proposed to use the substance "tall oil diethylenetriamine imidazole" (CAS No 68442-97-7). Based on the information in the dossier, ECHA considers that the Registrant actually intends to perform testing on the registered substance, i.e. "fatty acids, C18 unsaturated, reaction product with diethylenetriamine" (CAS No 1226892-43-8).

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day)

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant proposed testing by the oral route. In the light of the physico-chemical properties of the substance and the information provided on the uses and human exposure, ECHA considers that testing by the oral route is appropriate.

The Registrant did not specify the species to be tested. According to the test method EU B.26/OECD 408 the rat is the preferred rodent species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate.

b) Consideration of the information received during third party consultation

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposal during the public consultation. For the reasons explained further below the information provided by third parties is not sufficient to fulfil this information requirement.

A third party indicated an inconsistency in CAS numbers used. ECHA also noticed the inconsistency between the CAS number and chemical name of the proposed test substance mentioned in the relevant fields of IUCLID. With the further analysis of the dossiers it was clear that the Registrant proposes to test the registered substance, i.e. "fatty acids, C18 unsaturated, reaction product with diethylenetriamine".

The third party has further proposed ECHA to consider a weight of evidence approach taking into account information on toxicokinetic behaviour, results from existing screening studies derived with substances from the category under evaluation, the corrosive property of the substances and results from another category on "fatty nitrogen derived imidazoline derivatives" which was submitted to the US EPA for evaluation in the High production Volume (HPV) Challenge Program. Furthermore, the third party indicated that with the readacross substance "imidazolium compounds, 2-C13-17-alkyl-1-(2-C14-18 amidoethyl)-4,5-dihydro-3-methyl, Me sulfates" (CAS 68122-86-1) no systemic effects were observed in 13 week study and in a prenatal-developmental toxicity study.



ECHA has taken the information provided into account and concludes that it is insufficient for demonstrating that the conditions of Annex XI, Section 1.2 and 1.5 of the REACH Regulation are met. More specifically, the proposed weight of evidence approach is not sufficient to assume that the substance has or has not a particular dangerous property after sub-chronic or after gestational exposure and that the standard information requirement for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) and a pre-natal developmental toxicity study could be adapted. Furthermore, the proposed read-across approach as an element of the weight of evidence justification did not demonstrate that human health effects of the registered substance may be predicted from data on the reference substance.

Although ECHA recognises that the information as provided by the third party might be scientifically valid, it does not fulfil Annex XI requirements and is therefore not sufficient to allow ECHA to reject the testing proposal. Nevertheless, ECHA acknowledges that the Registrant may himself supplement under its own responsibility the argumentation and information provided by the third party in order to make use of adaptation possibilities. This would require that the Registrant documents, using several independent sources of information, that there is a sufficient weight of evidence leading to the assumption/conclusion that a substance has or has not particular dangerous properties, according to the criteria laid down in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation.

c) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (test method: EU B.26/OECD 408) using the registered substance fatty acids C18 unsaturated, reaction products with diethylenetriamine, CAS No 1226892-43-8.

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies are part of the standard information requirements as laid down in Annexes IX and X, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant did not specify the species and route to be used for testing. According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species, the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. ECHA considers these default parameters appropriate and testing should be performed by the oral route with the rat or the rabbit as a first species to be used.



b) Consideration of the information received during third party consultation

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposal during the third party consultation. These comments were the same as those received in relation to the proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day). For the reasons explained above (see Section III.1.b) the information provided by third parties is not sufficient to fulfil this information requirement, in so far as it does not fulfil Annex XI requirements.

c) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats or rabbits, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) using the registered substance fatty acids C18 unsaturated, reaction products with diethylenetriamine, CAS No 1226892-43-8. When considering the need for a testing proposal for a prenatal developmental toxicity study in a second species, the Registrant should take into account the outcome of the prenatal developmental toxicity study on the first species and all available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI; for example if the substance meets the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction Category 1B: May damage the unborn child (H360D), and the available data are adequate to support a robust risk assessment, or alternatively, if Weight of Evidence assessment of all relevant available data provides scientific justification that the study in a second species is not needed.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new studies meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for evaluation of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed tests, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all joint registrants of the same substance to agree to the tests proposed (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on their substance composition.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured by each registrant. If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these grades.

Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.



V. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP).

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

VI. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at

http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka Malm Director of Regulatory Affairs