

ECHA Scientific report

for evaluation of limit values for diisocyanates at the workplace

Prepared by the European Chemicals Agency

17 October 2019

Annankatu 18, P.O. Box 400, FI-00121 Helsinki, Finland | Tel. +358 9 686180 | Fax +358 9 68618210 | echa.europa.eu

Preamble

The Commission, in view of the preparation of its proposals for amendment of Directive 98/24/EC on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work (CAD), and in line with the 2017 Commission Communication 'Safer and Healthier Work for All' - Modernisation of the EU Occupational Safety and Health Legislation and Policy¹, asked the advice of RAC to assess the scientific relevance of occupational exposure limits for some chemical agents.

Therefore, the Commission made a request on 26 March 2019 to ECHA in accordance with the Service Level Agreement (SLA) (Ares(2019)18725), to evaluate, in accordance with the Directive (98/24/EC), the following chemical agent: diisocyanates.

In support of the Commission's request, ECHA has prepared a scientific report concerning occupational limit values for diisocyanates at the workplace.

In the preparatory phase of making this report, a call for evidence was started on **17/04/2019** to invite interested parties to submit comments and evidence on the subject by **30/06/2019**. The scientific report was made publically available at ECHA's website: **17/10/2019** till **16/12/2019** and interested parties were invited to submit comments by 16 December 2019.

The Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) will develop its opinion on the basis of the scientific report submitted by ECHA. During the preparation of the opinion on occupational limit values for [.....], the scientific report will be further developed as the Background Document (Annex I).

Following adoption of an opinion on **[date]**, recommending an Occupational Exposure Limit for by RAC, the Background Document will be amended to align it appropriately with the view of RAC. It supports the opinion of the RAC and gives the detailed grounds for the opinion².

2

II

¹ <u>http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=en&catId=148&newsId=2709&furtherNews=yes</u>

https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13579/interim_wponevaluation_oel_agreed_rac_42_en. pdf/021bc290-e26c-532f-eb3f-52527700e375

Table of Contents

LITERATURE
ECHA RECOMMENDATION8
1. CHEMICAL AGENT IDENTIFICATION AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES9
1.1 DIISOCYANATES9
2. EU HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING - CLP (EC) 1272/200813
3. CHEMICAL AGENT AND SCOPE OF LEGISLATION - REGULATED USES OF DIISOCYANATES IN THE EU
3.1 CHEMICAL AGENT DIRECTIVE 98/24/EC18
3.2 REACH REGISTRATIONS18
3.3 AUTHORISED USES UNDER ANNEX XIV OF REACH19
3.4 RESTRICTED USES UNDER ANNEX XVII OF REACH19
3.5 PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCTS REGULATION (EC) 1107/200920
3.6 BIOCIDAL PRODUCTS REGULATION (EC) 528/201220
3.7 HUMAN AND VETERINARY MEDICINAL PRODUCTS DIRECTIVES 2001/83/EC AND 2004/28/EC RESPECTIVELY20
3.8 PLASTICS REGULATION (EC) 10/201120
3.9 COSMETIC PRODUCTS REGULATION (EC) 1223/200920
4. EXISTING OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OELS)21
 4. EXISTING OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OELS)
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 24 5.1 OCCURRENCE 24 5.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION 25 5.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 28
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE
 5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 5.1 OCCURRENCE 24 5.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION 25 5.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 28 5.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates 28 5.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE245.1 OCCURRENCE245.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION255.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE285.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates285.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates295.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry29
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE245.1 OCCURRENCE245.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION255.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE285.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates285.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates295.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry295.3.4 Use in other industries32
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE245.1 OCCURRENCE.245.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION255.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE.285.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates285.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates295.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry295.3.4 Use in other industries325.3.5 Occupational exposure to isocyanates as recorded in National databases 40
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 24 5.1 OCCURRENCE 24 5.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION 25 5.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 28 5.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates 28 5.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates 29 5.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry 29 5.3.4 Use in other industries 32 5.3.5 Occupational exposure to isocyanates as recorded in National databases 40 33 5.4 ROUTES OF EXPOSURE 44 6. MONITORING EXPOSURE 44
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 24 5.1 OCCURRENCE. 24 5.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION 25 5.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 28 5.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates 28 5.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates 29 5.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry 29 5.3.4 Use in other industries 32 5.3.5 Occupational exposure to isocyanates as recorded in National databases 40 3.6 Summary of the occupational exposure. 43 5.4 ROUTES OF EXPOSURE 44 6. MONITORING EXPOSURE 44 6.1 EXTERNAL EXPOSURE 44
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 24 5.1 OCCURRENCE. 24 5.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION 25 5.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 28 5.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates 28 5.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates 29 5.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry 29 5.3.4 Use in other industries 32 5.3.5 Occupational exposure to isocyanates as recorded in National databases 40 32 5.3.6 Summary of the occupational exposure 43 5.4 ROUTES OF EXPOSURE 44 6. MONITORING EXPOSURE 44 6.1 EXTERNAL EXPOSURE 44 6.2 BIOMONITORING OF EXPOSURE (INTERNAL EXPOSURE) 46
5. OCCURRENCE, USE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 24 5.1 OCCURRENCE. 24 5.2 PRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION 25 5.3 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE 28 5.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates 28 5.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates 29 5.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry 29 5.3.4 Use in other industries 32 5.3.5 Occupational exposure to isocyanates as recorded in National databases 40 3.6 Summary of the occupational exposure. 43 5.4 ROUTES OF EXPOSURE 44 6. MONITORING EXPOSURE 44 6.1 EXTERNAL EXPOSURE 44

	6.2.2.1 HDI	47
	6.2.2.2 MDI	48
	6.2.2.3 TDI	48
	6.2.3 Biomonitoring analytical methods	49
7.	HEALTH EFFECTS	49
	7.1 TOXICOKINETICS	50
	7.1.1 Human data	50
	7.1.2 Animal data	51
	7.1.3 In vitro data	53
	7.1.4 Biological monitoring	53
	7.1.5 Summary	53
	7.2 ACUTE EFFECTS	53
	7.2.1 Human data	53
	7.2.2 Animal data	53
	7.2.3 In vitro data	55
	7.2.4 Summary	55
	7.3 SPECIFIC TARGET ORGAN TOXICITY / REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY	55
	7.3.1 Human data	55
	7.3.2 Animal data	55
	7.3.3 In vitro data	56
	7.3.4 Summary	56
	7.4 IRRITANCY AND CORROSIVITY	57
	7.4.1 Human data	57
	7.4.2 Animal data	57
	7.4.3 In vitro data	58
	7.4.4 Summary	58
	7.5 SENSITISATION	58
	7.5.1 Human data	58
	7.5.1.1 Respiratory sensitisation	59
	7.5.1.2 Skin sensitisation	72
	7.5.2 Animal data	73
	7.5.3 In vitro data	75
	7.5.4 Summary	75
	7.6 GENOTOXICITY	77
	7.6.1 Human data	77
	7.6.2 Animal data	77
	7.6.3 In vitro data	78

7.6.4 Summary78
7.7 CARCINOGENICITY78
7.7.1 Human data78
7.7.2 Animal data79
7.7.3 Summary80
7.8 REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY80
7.8.1 Human data80
7.8.2 Animal data80
7.8.3 Summary81
7.9 MODE OF ACTION CONSIDERATION81
7.10 LACK OF SPECIFIC SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION82
8. OCCUPATIONAL ASTHMA RISK ASSESSMENT AND EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES82
8.1 PUBLISHED APPROACHES FOR OCCUPATIONAL ASTHMA RISK ASSESSMENT AND OELS FOR DIISOCYANATES
8.1.1 ACGIH 201682
8.1.2 DECOS 201883
8.1.3 Daniels 201884
8.1.4 DFG85
8.1.5 ANSES (2019)86
8.2 EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES86
8.2.1 Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs)86
8.2.1.1 Dose-response (Exposure-response)
8.2.2 Short Term Exposure Limits (STELs)88
8.2.3 Biological Limit Value (BLV)88
8.2.4 Biological Guidance Value (BGV)88
8.3 NOTATIONS
9. GROUPS AT EXTRA RISK
REFERENCES90
APPENDIX 1 TABULATED SUMMARIES FOR SUBSTANCE IDENTIFICATION AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF DIISOCYANATES
APPENDIX 2
APPENDIX 3
OVERVIEW OF AVAILABLE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DATA ON RESPIRATORY SENSITISATION/ASTHMA

Figures

No table of figures entries found.

Tables

Table 1: Substance identification 10
Table 2 Physico-chemical properties 12
Table 3 EU CLP Reg classification: Summary of diisocyanates
Table 4: REACH registrations and tonnage
Table 5: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for diisocyanates 21
Table 6: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for 4,4'-MDI 21
Table 7: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for 2,4 TDI
Table 8: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for HDI
Table 9: Biological limit values for diisocyanates and its compounds
Table 10 Identified uses for different diisocyanates from the registration dossiers26
Table 11 Diisocyanate concentration (mg/m ³) during manufacturing of the diisocyanate. Literature data covers also other task (e.g. loading) than manufacturing process in the production plant
Table 12. Diisocyanate exposure assessment studies including exposure data from air, dermal or/and biomonitoring performed in Europe and in the USA during recent years. 35
Table 13. Workplace air monitoring results (μ g/m ³) for isocyanates from different industry sectors during the period 2008-2016 in Finland40
Table 14. MDI and TDI exposure data from German MEGA database 2000-2009 (IFA 2012)
Table 15 Air monitoring methods 45
Table 16: Levels of diisocyanate metabolites in urine or blood of non-occupationallyexposed workers (Sennbro et al., 2005)46
Table 17: HDA levels in urine against HDI exposed persons {DFG, 2012 #417}48
Table 18: MDA levels in urine against MDI exposed persons 48
Table 19: TDI in air and urinary TDA levels reported in the public scientific literature(ACGIH, 2016)

Table 20: Methods for biomonitoring	49
Table 21: Oral LD50 values	54
Table 22 Dermal LD50 values	54
Table 23 Inhalation LC50 values (rats)	55
Table 24: Excess risk of TDI-induced OA from continuous TDI exposure over a 45-ye working lifetime (Daniels, 2018).	
Table 25: Predicted probability for being a case for median age of 42 by cumulative exposure (Collins et al., 2017)	63
Table 26: Predicted probability for being a case for median age of 42 by estimated p exposure (= Estimated highest 95 th percentile for the worker's highest TWA potentia exposure) (Collins et al., 2017).	l –
Table 27 Association between respiratory symptoms and cumulative isocyanate (NCC exposure (Pronk et al., 2007)	
Table 28: Association between health end-points and cumulative isocyanate (NCO) exposure (Pronk et al., 2009).	66
Table 29 <i>In vivo</i> genotoxicity studies	77
Table 30: Extra risk of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR ₂₀) and BHR ₂₀ + wheeze exposure to NCO calculated by DECOS from the original data of Pronk et al. (2009).	
Table 31 Extra risk of TDI-induced asthma by exposure to NCO calculated by DECOS from the data of Collins et al. (2017).	
Table 32 Extra risk of TDI-induced OA from continuous TDI exposure over a 45-year working lifetime by exposure expressed as $\mu g \text{ NCO/m}^3$ (from Daniels (2018))	
Table 33 Substance identification	111
Table 34 physchem. properties	116
Table 35 REACH registrations	120
Table 36 Reviews	123
Table 37 Data taken from Ott (2002a)	131
Table 38: Longitudinal studies with quantitative exposure-response estimates	132
Table 39 Longitudinal studies	133
Table 40 Case-control studies	158
Table 41 Cross-sectional studies with quantitative exposure-response estimates	160
Table 42 Further studies - cross-sectional studies	163

LITERATURE

This report is based on international evaluations such as: (DECOS, 2018, ATSDR, 2018, AGS, 2006, DFG, 2003, DFG, 2008, IARC, 1999, IPCS, 1987, Montelius, 2001, OECD, 2001, OEHHA, 2019, ACGIH, 2016). In addition, information is used from the ECHA's published opinion and background document on the Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions for diisocyanates (ECHA 2018). This has been complemented by a review of the REACH registrations and a literature search of published papers from the last ten years, with the exception of the inclusion of also some older articles in Chemical Agent Identification and Physico-Chemical Properties

ECHA RECOMMENDATION

ECHA has not proposed an Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) for diisocyanates but recommends to RAC to further develop the approach to derive an exposure response based on a weight of evidence assessment of three identified key documents presenting exposure responses for respiratory sensitisation. ECHA considers that it is appropriate to derive an exposure-response based on the concentration of the NCO group and to apply that to all diisocyanates (see section 8.2.1).

ECHA considers that when using the exposure-responses described in section 8.2.1 to establish an OEL (8-hour TWA), subsequently, a 15 min Short Term Exposure Limit (STEL) of not more than 5 times higher than that OEL value should be established (see section 8.2.2).

ECHA concludes that no Biological Limit Value (BLV) or Biological Guidance Value (BGV) can be established (see sections 8.2.3 and 8.2.4).

ECHA proposes skin sensitisation, respiratory sensitisation and 'skin' notations (see section 8.3).

1. Chemical Agent Identification and Physico-Chemical Properties

1.1 Diisocyanates

Isocyanates are organic compounds that contain one or more functional groups with the molecular formula R-N=C=O. The term polyisocyanate is commonly used when referring to an isocyanate containing multiple isocyanate functional groups. The isocyanates considered in this report have two isocyanate functional groups and are referred to as diisocyanates.

Diisocyanates are the most common group of isocyanates used at the workplace (ECHA, 2018a). They are highly reactive compounds and undergo rapid exothermic reactions with all kinds of nucleophiles. In the reactive group (R-N=C-O) R can be aliphatic, cycloaliphatic or an aromatic group. Aromatic isocyanates are more reactive than aliphatic isocyanates.

The diisocyanates considered in this proposal are those for which safety data are available, for which use at higher tonnages is known and which data could be extracted from registration dossiers.

In total there are 28 diisocyanates either registered or with harmonised classification. The substance identification and physico-chemical properties are described in tabulated summaries in Appendix 1 (Table 33 and Table 34).

There are 11 registered diisocyanates, which account for > 99.9 % of the registered tonnage and which are individually registered for at least 1 000 t/a. For 9 out of these 11 diisocyanates, a harmonised classification is available (see section 2). The substance identification and physico-chemical properties of these 11 diisocyanates are described in Table 1 and Table 2.

Structure	EC/list numbe r	CAS	Substance name	Abbreviatio n
H ₃ C N N C N C C N C C O O	247- 722-4	26471 -62-5	m-tolylidene diisocyanate	TDI
	202- 966-0	101- 68-8	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	4,4'-MDI
	209- 544-5	584- 84-9	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	2,4-TDI
	212- 485-8	822- 06-0	Hexamethylene diisocyanate	HDI

Table 1: Substance identification

Structure	EC/list numbe r	CAS	Substance name	Abbreviatio n
	227- 534-9	5873- 54-1	o-(p- isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate	2,4'-MDI
	223- 861-6	4098- 71-9	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5- trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate	IPDI
	225- 863-2	5124- 30-1	4,4'-methylenedicyclohexyl diisocyanate	hydrogenate d 4,4'-MDI (H12-MDI)
	221- 641-4	3173- 72-6	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate	1,5-NDI
	219- 799-4	2536- 05-2	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	2,2'-MDI

Structure	EC/list numbe r	CAS	Substance name	Abbreviatio n
	222- 852-4 ³	3634- 83-1	1,3- bis(isocyanatomethyl)benz ene	m-XDI
H ₃ C H ₃ C H ₃ C H ₃ C C H ₃ C C H ₃ C C H ₃ C C H ₃ C C H ₃ C	220- 474-4 ³	2778- 42-9	1,3-bis(1-isocyanato-1- methylethyl)benzene	m-TMXDI

Table 2 Physico-chemical properties

ABBR	RML_EC	RML_NAME	Melting Point	Boiling Point	Vapor Pressure	1 ppm in mg/m ³⁴
TDI	247-722- 4	m-tolylidene diisocyanate	21 °C	251 °C	1.5 Pa (20 °C)[r]	7.12
4,4'- MDI	202-966- 0	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	38 °C	314 °C	1.2·10 ⁻³ Pa (25 °C)	10.23
2,4- TDI	209-544- 5	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	21 °C	251 °C	2.8 Pa (25 °C)	7.12
HDI	212-485- 8	Hexamethylene diisocyanate	-67 °C	255 °C	2.2 Pa (25 °C)	6.88
2,4'- MDI	227-534- 9	o-(p-isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate	34-38 °C[r]	decomp 241 °C[r]	9.7·10 ⁻⁴ Pa (25 °C)	10.23

³ No harmonised classification available ⁴ The conversion factor is derived from the assumption of ideal gas behaviour as 1 ppm in $\frac{mg}{m3} = \left[molar \ weight \ in \frac{g}{mol}\right] \cdot \frac{1000}{10^6} \cdot \frac{[pressure \ in \ Pa]}{[temperature \ in \ K] \cdot [gas \ constant = 8.314 \frac{m^3 \cdot Pa}{K \cdot mol}]}$

ABBR	RML_EC	RML_NAME	Melting Point	Boiling Point	Vapor Pressure	1 ppm in mg/m ³⁴
IPDI	223-861- 6	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5- trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate	-60 °C	158-159 °C (15 Torr)	0.34 Pa (25 ℃)	9.09
H12- MDI	225-863- 2	4,4'-methylenedicyclohexyl diisocyanate	no crystalis ation	167-168 °C (1.5 Torr)	2.3·10 ⁻³ Pa (25 °C)	10.73
1,5- NDI	221-641- 4	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate	130-132 °C	220-221 °C (40 Torr)	0.06 Pa (25 °C)	8.59
2,2'- MDI	219-799- 4	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	43 °C[r]	270 °C[r]	7.8·10 ⁻⁴ Pa (25 °C)	10.23
m-XDI	222-852- 4	1,3- bis(isocyanatomethyl)benzene	-7 °C[r]	126 °C (1 Torr)	0.2 Pa (25 °C)	7.69
m- TMXDI	220-474- 4	1,3-bis(1-isocyanato-1- methylethyl)benzene	4 °C[r]	249 °C[r] 106 °C	0.8 Pa (25 °C)	9.99

For the calculation of the corresponding NCO concentration [mass/volume air] the following formula is used:

$$conc_{NCO} \left[\frac{mg}{m^{3}}\right] = conc_{diisocyanate} \left[\frac{mg}{m^{3}}\right]$$
(number of NCO groups)(molecular weight of isocyanate = 42) $\left[\frac{g}{mol}\right]$

(total diisocyanate molecular weight) $\left[\frac{g}{mol}\right]$

2. EU Harmonised Classification and Labelling - CLP (EC) 1272/2008

There are 11 entries of harmonised classification of diisocyanates in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation 1272/2008. The relevant diisocyanates considered in this proposal, (for which data are available and for which use at a higher tonnages is known), there are nine

diisocyanates which have a harmonised classification (Table 3) and two m-XDI (222-852-4) and m-TMXDI (220-474-4) which have no harmonised classification.

The nine diisocyanates with harmonised classification are all are classified as respiratory and eight of these are both respiratory and skin sensitisers. Five of these substances are classified as carcinogenicity category 2- suspected of causing cancer.

Table 3 EU CLP Reg classification: Summary of diisocyanates

Index No	International Chemical Identification	EC No	CAS No	Hazard Class and Category Code(s)	Hazard Statement Code(s)	Notes 5
607- 184-00-	S-(3-trimethoxysilyl)propyl 19-isocyanato-11-(6- isocyanatohexyl)-10,12-dioxo-2,9,11,13-	402-290-8 ⁶	85702-90-5	Flam. Liq. 3 Resp. Sens. 1	H226 H334	
184-00- 7	tetraazanonadecanethioate			Skin Sens. 1	H317	
615-	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate; diphenylmethane-4,4'-	202-966-0	101-68-8	Carc. 2	H351	2 C
005-00-	diisocyanate [1]	[1]	[1]	Acute Tox. 4 *	H332	
9	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate; diphenylmethane-2,2'-	219-799-4	2536-05-2	STOT SE 3	H335: C ≥ 5 %	
	diisocyanate [2]	[2]	[2]	STOT RE 2 *	H373 **	
	o-(p-isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate; diphenylmethane-	227-534-9	5873-54-1	Skin Irrit. 2	H315: C ≥ 5 %	
	2,4'-diisocyanate [3]	[3]	[3]	Eye Irrit. 2	H319: C ≥ 5 %	
	methylenediphenyl diisocyanate [4]	247-714-0 ⁶	26447-40-5	Resp. Sens. 1	H334: C ≥ 0,1 %	
		[4]	[4]	Skin Sens. 1	H317	

⁶ Not considered for this proposal due to low tonnage.

⁵ Note 2: The concentration of isocyanate stated is the percentage by weight of the free monomer calculated with reference to the total weight of the mixture.

Note C: Some organic substances may be marketed either in a specific isomeric form or as a mixture of several isomers. , In this case the supplier must state on the label whether the substance is a specific isomer or a mixture of isomers.

Index No	International Chemical Identification	EC No	CAS No	Hazard Class and Category Code(s)	Hazard Statement Code(s)	Notes 5
615- 006-00- 4	2-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate; toluene-2,4-di- isocyanate [1] 4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate; toluene-2,6-di- isocyanate [2] m-tolylidene diisocyanate; toluene-diisocyanate [3]	202-039-0 ⁷ [1] 209-544-5 [2] 247-722-4 [3]	91-08-7 [1] 584-84-9 [2] 26471-62-5 [3]	Carc. 2 Acute Tox. 2 * STOT SE 3 Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Resp. Sens. 1 Skin Sens. 1 Aquatic Chronic 3	H351 H330 H335 H315 H319 H334: C ≥ 0,1 % H317 H412	С
615- 007-00- X	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate	221-641-4	3173-72-6	Acute Tox. 4 * STOT SE 3 Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Resp. Sens. 1 Aquatic Chronic 3	H332 H335 H315 H319 H334 H412	
615- 008-00- 5	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5-trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate; isophorone di-isocyanate	223-861-6	4098-71-9	Acute Tox. 3 * STOT SE 3 Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Resp. Sens. 1 Skin Sens. 1 Aquatic Chronic 2	H331 H335 H315 H319 H334: C ≥ 0,5 % H317: C ≥ 0,5 % H411	2
615- 009-00- 0	4,4'-methylenedi(cyclohexyl isocyanate); dicyclohexylmethane-4,4'-di-isocyanate	225-863-2	5124-30-1	Acute Tox. 3 * STOT SE 3 Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Resp. Sens. 1 Skin Sens. 1	H331 H335 H315 H319 H334: C ≥ 0,5 % H317: C ≥ 0,5 %	2

16

Index No	International Chemical Identification	EC No	CAS No	Hazard Class and Category Code(s)	Hazard Statement Code(s)	Notes 5
615- 010-00- 6	2,2,4-trimethylhexamethylene-1,6-di-isocyanate [1] 2,4,4-trimethylhexamethylene-1,6-di-isocyanate [2]	241-001-8 ⁷ [1] 239-714-4 ⁷ [2]	16938-22-0 [1] 15646-96-5 [2]	Acute Tox. 3 * STOT SE 3 Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Resp. Sens. 1	H331 H335 H315 H319 H334: C ≥ 0,5 % H317: C ≥ 0,5 % ⁸	2 C
615- 011-00- 1	hexamethylene-di-isocyanate	212-485-8	822-06-0	Acute Tox. 3 * STOT SE 3 Skin Irrit. 2 Eye Irrit. 2 Resp. Sens. 1 Skin Sens. 1	H331 H335 H315 H319 H334: C ≥ 0,5 % H317: C ≥ 0,5 %	2
615- 029-00- X	2,5-bis-isocyanatomethyl-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane	411-280-27		Acute Tox. 2 * Acute Tox. 4 * Skin Corr. 1B Resp. Sens. 1 Skin Sens. 1 Aquatic Chronic 3	H330 H302 H314 H334 H317 H412	
615- 036-00- 8	reaction product of diphenylmethanediisocyanate, toluenediisocyanate (reaction mass of isomers: 65 % 2,4- and 35 % 2,6-diisocyanate), octylamine, oleylamine and 4- ethoxyaniline (molar ratio 4:1:7:1:2)	430-940-0 ⁷	-	Aquatic Chronic 4	H413	
615- 038-00- 9	reaction product of toluenediisocyanate (reaction mass of isomers: 65 % 2,4- and 35 % 2,6-diisocyanate) and aniline (molarratio 1:2)	430-960-1 ⁷	-	Aquatic Chronic 4	H413	

⁷ Not registered under REACH

⁸ There is a known inconsistency between the specific concentration limits for Skin. Sens. and the classification. This is due to be corrected.

3. Chemical Agent and Scope of Legislation - Regulated uses of diisocyanates in the EU

The uses of diisocyanates are currently not covered by an indicative or a binding occupational exposure limit (IOEL, BOEL). However some uses of diisocyanates are covered by legislation as described in sections 3.1-3.8.

3.1 Chemical Agent Directive 98/24/EC

Diisocyanates are hazardous chemical agents in accordance with Article 2 (b) of Directive 98/24/EC and fall within the scope of the legislation.

3.2 REACH Registrations

There are 19 substances for diisocyanates considered registered under REACH⁹. For these substances tonnage information is available as part of a REACH registration. These include, 19 substance with full registrations, and 6 substances also registered as an intermediate. Information on the registrations is available on the ECHA website¹⁰. Chemical Safety Reports are only available for those with a full registration.

Table 4 gives an overview of the type of registrations with tonnage in the highest quantities, for the eleven registered diisocyanates as referred to in this report. The total tonnage reported for these 11 substances represents 99.9 % of the overall tonnage reported for diisocyanates within registrations; full details are in Appendix 2.

Abbre v.	EC Number	NAME	Intermediate registration	full registration
			t,	/a
			(count of re	gistrations)
TDI	247-722-4	m-tolylidene diisocyanate		>100 000
			(<5 reg)	(33 reg)
4,4'-	202-966-0	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate		>100 000
MDI			(<5 reg)	(55 reg)
2,4-	209-544-5	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate		>100 000
TDI			(<5 reg)	(9 reg)
HDI	212-485-8	hexamethylene diisocyanate		10 000-100 000
			(<5 reg)	(18 reg)
2,4'-	227-534-9	o-(p-isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl		10 000-100 000
MDI		isocyanate		(<5 reg)
IPDI	223-861-6	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5-		10 000-100 000
		trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate		(20 reg)

Table 4: REACH registrations and tonnage

⁹ Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC (OJ L 396 of 30 December 2006, p. 1; corrected by OJ L 136, 29.5.2007, p. 3)

¹⁰ ECHA <u>https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances</u>

Abbre v.	EC Number	NAME	Intermediate registration	full registration
H12- MDI	225-863-2	4,4'-methylenedicyclohexyl diisocyanate		10 000-100 000 (19 reg)
1,5- NDI	221-641-4	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate		(10 reg) 1000-10 000 (<5 reg)
2,2'- MDI	219-799-4	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	(<5 reg)	1000-10 000 (<5 reg)
m-XDI	222-852-4	1,3-bis(isocyanatomethyl)benzene		1000-10 000 (<5 reg)
m- TMXD I	220-474-4	1,3-bis(1-isocyanato-1- methylethyl)benzene		1000-10 000 (<5 reg)

3.3 Authorised uses under Annex XIV of REACH

Diisocyanates are not listed in Annex XIV of REACH ("Authorisation List"). Therefore there are no authorised uses for diisocyanates.

3.4 Restricted uses under Annex XVII of REACH

The following restriction on MDI is listed in entry 56 of Annex XVII:

1. Shall not be placed on the market after 27 December 2010, as a constituent of mixtures in concentrations equal to or greater than 0,1 % by weight of methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) for supply to the general public, unless suppliers shall ensure before the placing on the market that the packaging:

(a) Contains protective gloves which comply with the requirements of Council Directive 89/686/EEC (*);

(b) Is marked visibly, legibly and indelibly as follows, and without prejudice to other Community legislation concerning the classification, packaging and labelling of substances and mixtures:

- '- Persons already sensitised to diisocyanates may develop allergic reactions when using this product.
- Persons suffering from asthma, eczema or skin problems should avoid contact, including dermal contact, with this product.
- This product should not be used under conditions of poor ventilation unless a protective mask with an appropriate gas filter (i.e. type A1 according to standard EN 14387) is used.'
- 2. By way of derogation, paragraph 1(a) shall not apply to hot melt adhesives.

In 2016 a REACH Annex XV dossier on the restriction of diisocyanates was submitted to ECHA by Germany¹¹. RAC and SEAC adopted in March 2018 its final opinion to restrict the use of diisocyanates at the workplace in support of the restriction proposal by Germany, primarily to manage the use of diisocyanates through training of workers. The main goal of this restriction proposal is to prevent new cases of respiratory sensitisation among all workers and professionals who may be exposed to diisocyanates in the workplace. The final opinion and accompanying documents are published on ECHA's website and are forwarded to the European Commission (ECHA, 2018a).

3.5 Plant Protection Products Regulation (EC) 1107/2009

There are no plant protection products authorised under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 which are based on or include diisocyanates. Diisocyanates are not listed as active substances in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC.

3.6 Biocidal Products Regulation (EC) 528/2012

There have been no biocidal products authorised under Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 which are based on or include diisocyanates, nor has there been an active substance evaluation on diisocyanates. Diisocyanates are not listed as active substances in Annex I of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012.

3.7 Human and Veterinary Medicinal Products Directives 2001/83/EC and 2004/28/EC respectively

There are no authorisations for use of diisocyanates in human or veterinary medicines.

3.8 Plastics Regulation (EC) 10/2011

Annex I of Regulation (EU) No 10/2011 on plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food as regards information in the supply chain lists the following diisocyanates: mixture of (40 % w/w) 2,2,4-trimethylhexane-1,6-diisocyanate and (60 % w/w) 2,4,4-trimethylhexane-1,6-diisocyanate; 2,6-toluene diisocyanate; diphenylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate; 2,4-toluene hexamethylene diisocyanate; 1,5-naphthalene diisocyanate; diphenylether-4,4'-diisocyanate; diisocyanate; dicyclohexylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate; diphenylmethane-2,4'-diisocyanate and 2,4toluene diisocyanate dimer. For these substances it is required that isocyanate migration from plastic packaging should not be analytically detectable in the food, and that the content of isocyanates in the food plastic material must not exceed 1 mg/kg in the final product expressed as isocyanate moiety.

3.9 Cosmetic Products Regulation (EC) 1223/2009

Toluene 2,6-diisocyanate, toluene 2,4-diisocyanate and toluene diisocyanate are included in the list of substances prohibited in cosmetic products (Annex II) of the Commission Regulation 1223/2009 on cosmetic products.

¹¹ https://echa.europa.eu/registry-of-restriction-intentions/-/dislist/details/0b0236e180876053

4. Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OEIs)

In various EU Member States as well as outside the EU OEL's for diisocyanates are established at a national level. Some MS have established limit values for the diisocyanates as a group; these are presented in Table 5,**Error! Reference source not found.** but the list should not be considered as exhaustive.

Some member states have also established limit values for individual diisocyanates. Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8 present the OELs for the three diisocyanates having more often a limit value established in EU (4,4' MDI, 2, 4 TDI and HDI). Values for other diisocyanates can be found at: http://www.dguv.de/ifa/gestis/gestis-internationale-grenzwerte-fuer-chemische-substanzen-limit-values-for-chemical-agents/index-2.jsp

Country/ Organisation		vanates -8 hrs	Diisocya Short t		Comments
		om / m ³	ppm	mg/m ³	
Finland				0,035 (1)	OEL for Isocyanates, (as - NCO)
Ireland		0,02 (1)		0,07 (1)	As NCO
Sweden	0,002		0,005		The equivalent values expressed in mg/m ³ are different for the various substances. Short-term limit value, 5 minutes average value
Switzerland	0,005	0,02	0,005	0,02	OEL for Isocyanates, (as - NCO)
United Kingdom		0,02		0.07	OEL for Isocyanates, (as - NCO)

Table 5: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for diisocyanates

(1) 15 minutes reference period

Table 6: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for 4,4'-MDI

Country/ Organisation		-MDI -8 hrs	4,4′-№ Short t		Comments
	Ppm	mg/m ³	ррт	mg/m ³	
Austria	0,005	0,05	0,01	0,1	
Belgium	0,005	0,052			
Denmark	0,005	0,05	0,01	0,1	
France	0,01	0,1	0,02	0,2	
Germany (AGS)		0,05 (1)		0,05 (1)	Inhalable aerosol and vapour
(A03)				0,1	Inhalable aerosol and vapour Ceiling limit value
Germany (DFG)		0,05 (1)		0,05 (1)	Inhalable aerosol and vapour

Country/ Organisation	4,4′-MDI TWA -8 hrs		4,4′-M Short t		Comments	
	Ppm	mg/m ³	ppm	mg/m ³		
					A momentary value of 0,1 mg/m ³ should not be exceeded	
Hungary		0,05		0,05		
Ireland		0,02		0,07 (1)	as NCO	
Poland		0,05		0,2	Ceiling limit value	
Romania				0,15 (1)		
Spain	0,005	0,052			Sensitiser	
Sweden	0,002	0,03	0,005 (1)	0,05	Short-term limit value, 5 minutes average value	
USA - NIOSH	0,005	0,05	0,02 (1)	0,2	Ceiling limit value (10 min)	
USA - OSHA			0,02	0,2		

(1) 15 minutes average value

Table 7: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for 2,4 TDI

Country/ Organisation		TDI -8 hrs	2,4 TDI Short term		Comments
	Ppm	mg/m ³	ppm	mg/m ³	
Austria	0,005	0,035	0,02	0,17	
Belgium	0,005	0,037	0,02 (1)	0,14 (1)	
Denmark	0,005	0,035	0,01	0,07	
France	0,01	0,08	0,02	0,16	
Germany (AGS)	0,005	0,035	0,005 (1)	0,035 (1)	Inhalable aerosol and vapour
(AUS)			0,02	0,14	Inhalable aerosol and vapour Ceiling limit value
Hungary				0,035	
Ireland		0,001		0,003 (1)	as NCO
Latvia		0,05			

Country/ Organisation	2,4 TDI TWA -8 hrs		2,4 T Short t		Comments		
	Ppm	mg/m ³	ррт	mg/m ³			
Poland		0,007		0,021			
Romania	0,009	0,07	0,02 (1)	0,15 (1)			
Spain	0,005	0,036	0,02	0,14	Sensitiser		
Sweden	0,002	0,014	0,005	0,04			
USA - ACGIH	0.001	0.007	0.005	0.035	Skin and respiratory sensitiser		
USA - OSHA			0,02 0,14				

(1) 15 minutes average value

Table 8: Existing Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) for HDI

Country/ Organisation		TDI -8 hrs	2, 4 T Short t		Comments
	Ppm	mg/m ³	ppm	mg/m ³	
Austria	0,005	0,035	0,005	0,035	
Belgium	0,005	0,034			
Denmark	0,005	0,035	0,01	0,07	
France	0,01	0,075	0,02	0,15	
Germany (AGS)	0,005	0,035	0,005 (1)	0,035 (1)	Inhalable aerosol and vapour
			0,01	0,07	Inhalable aerosol and vapour Ceiling limit value
Germany (DFG)	0,005 (1)	0,035 (1)	0,005 (1)(2)(3)	0,035 (1)(2)(3)	Inhalable aerosol and vapour A momentary value of 0, 01 ml/m ³ (0,070 mg/m ³) should not be exceeded.
Hungary		0,035		0,035	
Ireland	0,005				as NCO
Italy		1			
Latvia		0,05			
Poland		0,04		0,08	
Romania	0,007	0,05	0,14 (1)	1 (1)	
Spain	0,005	0,035			Sensitiser
Sweden	0,002	0,02	0,005 (1)	0,03 (1)	Short-term limit value, 5 minutes average value
USA - NIOSH		0,035		0,14 (1)	Ceiling limit value (10 min)

(1) 15 minutes average value

Biological limit values (BLVs)

Some Member States have also published biological limit values for diisocyanates compounds. The Table 9 (non-exhaustive) shows the list of biological limit values.

Country/ Organisation	Diisocyanate(s)	Biomarker	Limit value	Comments
ACGIH	TDI; 2,4 TDI; 2,6 TDI	2,4 + 2,6- Urinary Toluenediamine (TDA)	0,4 µg/g. creatinine	Reference value for general population (95th percentile)
ACGIH	TDI; 2,4 TDI; 2,6 TDI	2,4 + 2,6- Urinary Toluenediamine (TDA)	5 μg/g creatinine	BEI value Sampling end of the shift
Germany	4,4'-MDI	Diaminodiphenylmetha ne (MDA) in urine	10 µg/l 4,4'	BLW value Sampling time: end of exposure or end of shift
Germany	HDI	Hexamethylenediamin HAD in urine	15 µg /g creatinine	BAT value
UK	HDI, MDI, TDI, IPDI	isocyanate-derived diamine	1µmol isocyanate-derived diamine/mol creatinine	BMGV Sampling time: At the end of the period of exposure

			~ ···	_		
Table 9:	Biological	limit values	for dii	socvanates	and its	compounds
	Diviogical	millio valaco		Socyanaces	anaico	compoundo

Notes:

BEI: Biological exposure index

BLW: BLW ("Biologischer Leit-Wert") is the amount of a chemical substance or its metabolites or the deviation from the norm of biological parameters induced by the substance in exposed humans which serves as an indicator for necessary protective measures. BLWs are assigned only for hazardous materials for which the available toxicological or occupational-medical data are insufficient for the establishment of BAT

BAT: Biological tolerance value for occupational exposures

BMGV: biological monitoring guidance value

5. Occurrence, Use and Occupational Exposure

5.1 Occurrence

Diisocyanates are important industrial chemicals including use as raw materials for all polyurethane products which are formed when an isocyanate reacts with a polyol (a compound of more than one hydroxyl group). In addition to their use in manufacturing of polyurethane foam, diisocyanates are used in surface coatings, adhesives, sealants, elastomers and textiles (DECOS, 2018).

Polyurethanes, like all plastics, are polymers. Polyurethane materials are lightweight, strong, and durable and they resist to abrasion and corrosion. This is a reason why the different forms of polyurethanes are widely used in Europe, including insulated building panels, mattresses, upholstered furniture, car seats, domestic refrigerators, freezers, composite wood panels, truck bodies and footwear (ISOPA 2014).

5.2 Production and Use Information

The 11 diisocyanates that are presented in Table 1 account for more than 99.9% of the manufactured and imported isocyanates in Europe. The most common commercial TDI (m-tolylidene diisocyanate) is a mixture of 2,4'-TDI and 2,6'-TDI (80/20 TDI or 65/35 TDI). This TDI presents already 48% of the overall used amount of isocyanates. The second highest volume is for 4,4'-MDI (29%), the third highest for 2,4'-TDI (12%) and the fourth highest for HDI (4.3%). These four substances account together about 94% of the manufactured/imported amount in Europe.

Isocyanates are typically used in the following products:

- polyurethanes foams (flexible and rigid foam)
- assembly foams (e.g. insulation panels)
- foundry cores (casting)
- coating materials (paints, lacquers, varnishes)
- adhesives and sealants
- glues
- elastomers
- pre-polymers in chemical synthesis
- engineering plastics
- polyurethane fibres /composites

There is some variation in use between different diisocyanates. However, the three most produced isocyanates (TDI, 4,4'-MDI and 2,4'-TDI) are all aromatic isocyanates and they have a similar use pattern which consists of for example, flexible and rigid foams, adhesives and sealants. Aliphatic isocyanates (HDI and IPDI) are often present in coatings and paints. However, this cannot be identified through the registration dossiers for HDI. According to the registrations it can be concluded that aliphatic isocyanates are not used in flexible and rigid foams or composites or in cleaning. Based on the registration dossiers the identified uses for different diisocyanates are presented in the Table 10.

Substa nce	EC No	Manu factur e	Form ulatio n	Use in coatings	Adhesive s and sealants	Flexible foam	Rigid foam	Elast omer s	Comp osites	Cleanin g	Foundr Y	Monome r in polymer	Inter media te use
TDI	247-722- 4	x	х	x + paints	x	х		x	x				Х
4,4'-MDI	202-966- 0	x	x	x + paints + c	x + c	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2,4'-TDI	209-544- 5	x	х	х	x	х		x	x			x	Х
HDI	212-485- 8	x	х									Хд	Х
2,4'-MDI	227-534- 9	x	х	x + c	x + c	х	x + c	x	x	x	x		
IPDI	223-861- 6	x	х	х	x							x	Х
H12-MDI	225-863- 2	x	х		х			x				x	Х
NDI	221-641- 4	x	x #					x				x	
2,2'-MDI	219-799- 4	х	х	x + c	x + c	х	x + c		x	x	x		х
mXDI	222-852- 4	x	х	х	x							x *	х
mTMXDI	220-474- 4											x	
# oil addit	# oil additives in lubricants												
	* optical lenses and thermoplastic												
× thermop													
c=also co	nsumer use												

Table 10 Identified uses for different diisocyanates from the registration dossiers.

According to the information that the Association of the Automotive Industry (VDA) provided in the published Call of Evidence, diisocyanates are used for the production of modern vehicles in a large variety of applications. The use of diisocyanates is widespread throughout the entire supply chain of the automotive industry, from the development via the production to the car workshop. The unique properties of diisocyanates make this substance group indispensable for many areas of the automotive industry. Different diisocyanates with concentrations of monomers higher than 0.1 % by weight, are processed in various applications. The following applications are widely used in the automotive industry:

- Adhesives and primers on the basis of MDI for bonding windshields in automatic and manual processes
- Adhesives and sealing compounds on the basis of MDI and IPDI in the body shop and the assembly
- Adhesives on the basis of MDI for the production of plastic parts
- Casting resins on the basis of MDI in the tool shop
- Hardener for clear coats on the basis of HDI for the manual and series painting of vehicles
- Hardeners for fillers on the basis of HDI for the manual painting of vehicles
- Polyurethane foam on the basis of MDI for acoustic insulation in the assembly

Diisocyanates are applied as well in automatic and encapsulated units as in manual operations by using appropriate technical and/or personal protective equipment.

The European Space Sector informed during the call of evidence that diisocyanates for production of polyurethanes are used in the European Space Sector for a variety of applications (e. g. cryogenic foams). More specifically diisocyanates are used as follows for satellites and launchers (not exhaustive list):

- Common use in commercial paint to formulate polyurethane black coatings, both electrically conductive and even non-conductive, that are used for thermal finish of equipment on board of satellites. They are largely used because they have moderate cost, good adhesion on different aluminium alloys and metal in general, are easy to apply, have medium to high environmental durability and can be also easily restored in case of local damage.
- 4,4'-Diphenylmethane Diisocyanate (DDI)) is included in low concentrations (below 1%) in a thermal control paint, which is used in a variety of space products; outside of electronic boxes, as well as antennas.
- Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate (MDI) is almost exclusively used in production of polyurethane foams. Polyurethane based conformal coatings (to provide electrical isolation and mechanical protection with limited outgassing) and as potting of heavy Electrical and Electronic Equipment (EEE) to provide electrical isolation and mechanical stability in high reliability electronic assemblies. Polyurethanes for the insulation of electrical power units may contain for example Toluene Diisocyanates (TDI) or Hexamethylene Diisocyanates (HDI)).
- Polyurethane insulation is widely used in the partially reusable launcher systems (for cryogenic tanks). The key properties are density before and after curing, thermal conductivity and operational temperature.
- Beside paints, primers, hardeners, polyurethane foams, diisocyanates are also applied in some adhesives and varnishes.

• Diisocyanates are also used in the production of liner and composite propellant used in propulsion.

A summary of different industries where isocyanates are used and where occupational exposure can occur are listed below (DECOS, 2018):

- The automotive industry and the shipbuilding industry (through use in paints, glues, greases, insulation, sealants and fibre bonding)
- The casting industry (through use in foundry cores)
- The building and construction industry (through use in sealants, glues, insulation material, fillers, lacquers, finishes on synthetic floorings and other applications)
- The electricity and electronics industry (through use in cable insulation, polyurethane coated circuit boards)
- The mechanical engineering industry (insulation material)
- The paints industry (lacquers)
- The plastic industry (soft and hard plastics, plastic foam and cellular plastic)
- The printing industry (inks and lacquers)
- The timber and furniture industry (adhesive, lacquers, upholstery stuffing and fabric coatings)
- The white goods industry (insulation materials)
- The textile industry (use in synthetic textile fibres)
- The medical care industry (polyurethane casts)
- The mining industry (sealants and insulating material)
- The food industry (packaging materials and lacquers)

5.3 Occupational exposure

5.3.1 General aspects of occupational exposure to diisocyanates

According the ECHA Restriction background document (ECHA, 2018a), the potential for occupational exposure to isocyanates is determined by several factors:

<u>Volatility</u>: One factor is the volatility of the compounds. Diisocyanates with a low molecular weight have significant vapour pressures already at room temperature. In particular toluene diisocyanate (TDI) and hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) are common diisocyanates which can vaporise easily at ambient temperature thus leading to significant concentrations in the workplace air.

<u>Hot processes</u>: Higher temperatures increase the vapour pressure and thus the tendency of isocyanates to become airborne. Monomers of diisocyanates do not tend to thermally decompose. But some polyurethane material can decompose at temperatures as low as 150- 200 °C (Delebecq et al., 2013). Thermal degradation can give rise to release of the original monomeric diisocyanate but also other low molecular isocyanates or fragments as part of thermal decomposition processes. Therefore hot work activities and processes can lead to significant exposure to isocyanates. Such work may include (but is not limited to):

- welding
- brazing
- soldering
- grinding
- treatment with a heat gun
- cutting with torches or hot wire
- heating of diisocyanate based glues
- flame laminating and bonding
- heating of polyurethane containing materials

<u>Aerosolisation</u>: Isocyanate based paints and varnishes are often used for spray painting. Especially in vehicle body refinish HDI based spray paints are ubiquitously used and lead to significant occupational exposures. Spray foaming, especially when applied to greater surfaces (e. g. insulation of ceilings/walls) can also lead to high aerosol release (Christensen et al., 2014). Inhalation can also occur with dust arising from handling of solid diisocyanate-containing products or articles.

<u>Dermal exposure</u>: Skin contact with products containing isocyanates (e. g. uncured polyurethane foams, paint or glue splashes) is a significant route of exposure (Austin, 2007).

Occupational exposure to diisocyanates is in particular possible during heating and spraying of isocyanates, during production of polyurethanes (e.g. slab-stock foam), handling of partly uncured polyurethane products (e.g. cutting, demoulding, spray-application of foam), when isocyanates/PUs are heated (e.g. hot lamination, foundry applications/casting forms) and C.A.S.E. applications (Coatings, Adhesives, Sealants, Elastomers).

Table 12 in section 5.3.4, summarises the recently performed diisocyanate exposure assessment studies in Europe and in the USA. The studies have been performed mainly in polyurethane industry. Studies contain often both air and biomonitoring and in some cases also dermal exposure assessment. The ECHA Restriction background document of diisocyanates includes very comprehensive review of occupational exposure of diisocyanates (ECHA, 2018a) and some of that information is referred in this section.

5.3.2 Manufacture of diisocyanates

The main process to produce diisocyanates is the phosgenation of corresponding diamines. Since phosgene (carbonyl dichloride) is very hazardous, the entire process is operated in closed system. Therefore it is generally considered that the manufacture of diisocyanates creates low occupational exposure. However, the manufacturing process may also include for example loading which is not performed in closed system and may though create exposure as it can be seen from the US study (Middendorf et al., 2017). Middendorf et al (2017) collected TDI exposure data over nearly 7-year period from three different TDI plants from the USA. The arithmetic mean TWA exposure to TDI was 0.65 ppb ($4.7 \mu g/m^3$) and the range was 0.01 to 92 ppb ($0.072 - 655 \mu g/m^3$). The highest exposures were in loading (92 ppb = $655 \mu g/m^3$), among field operator (90 ppb = $640 \mu g/m^3$) and in drumming (33 ppb = $234 \mu g/m^3$).

Some diisocyanates are not manufactured in EU area for example mTMXDI. The following ranges of the exposure estimates for MDI, TDI, HDI, IPDI, NDI and XDI are taken from the CSRs. The ranges cover the exposure estimates of the contributing scenarios within the scenarios "Manufacturing" of the respective diisocyanate. All of the exposure estimates are based on data from occupational hygiene measurements and represent the 90th percentiles of the respective datasets (Table 11).

Table 11 Diisocyanate concentration (mg/m^3) during manufacturing of the diisocyanate. Literature data covers also other task (e.g. loading) than manufacturing process in the production plant.

	MDI	TDI	HDI	IPDI	NDI	XDI
CSR	0.0056 -	0.005 - 0.032	0.003 - 0.024	0.013-0.04	0.005-	RA to HDI
Middendorf 2017		0.001-0.67				

5.3.3 Use in polyurethane industry

Polyurethane industry covers almost all the uses of isocyanates. Manufacture of polyurethanes is the major use of diisocyanates and in a certain sense almost all of the other uses can be subsumed as special uses / applications of polyurethanes (such as polyurethanes in foam applications, coatings, adhesives, sealants etc.).

To produce polyurethanes, the diisocyanates are reacted with macropolyols and/or other polynucleophiles and usually optional additives like catalysts, surfactants, stabilisers, flame retardants and the like. The polyaddition of isocyanates with the nucleophiles is a highly exothermic reaction. Depending on the reaction quantities and conditions, the temperature can increase considerably during the process.

Usually the reaction is largely completed within seconds but can be up to 30 minutes, whereby the isocyanate groups form urethane bonds with the polyol in the polymer backbone. However, the final curing and post-curing of polyurethanes where exposure to unreacted isocyanates is still possible, may take up to 72 h.

As mentioned above, the reaction of isocyanates with polyols or amines is a highly exothermic process. Therefore, especially when high volumes of diisocyanates are reacted to produce polyurethanes, a significant increase in temperature of the reaction mass can be assumed. This also affects the potential for exposure since the vapour pressure may rise significantly. (ECHA, 2018a)

Exposure data from air and dermal monitoring

Exposure to diisocyanates (MDI, TDI and HDI) and their corresponding diamines was assessed in *seven different workplaces* in the UK by using air, skin and biomonitoring. The deliberate addition of water and ambient humidity produces diamines as seen *during spray painting* which generated the highest air concentration of HDI (measured as NCO 421-423 μ g/m³) and also diamines (16-24 μ g/m³). Foam blowing generated also diamines. However, TDI in air and on glove liners were significant factors in determining the urinary TDA level. Measurements indicated also that exposure through the skin is the most likely route of exposure for MDI and TDI (Jones et al., 2017).

Occupational exposure (air and biomonitoring) to diisocyanates was investigated in polyurethane foam factory workers in Poland. Air concentrations ranged from 0.2 to 58.9 μ g/m3 for TDI isomers. Maintenance and folding paper tasks created the highest airborne diisocyanate concentrations (Swierczynska-Machura et al., 2015).

Isocyanate exposures (MDI, TDI, HDI and IPDI) were assessed in *polyurethane industry sector* in the UK by using biomonitoring and air monitoring methods. The study included 22 companies using isocyanates for moulding polyurethane products, insulation material as well as industrial painting. Only those companies that used good working practices were included in the survey. A total of 70 air samples were collected from 11 different tasks 50 of the 70 samples were below the limit of quantification (LOQ; 1 μ g/m³) and they were assigned as half of the LOQ. The geometric mean of the samples was 0.9 μ g/m³ and the 90th percentile was 6.2 μ g/m³ (range 0.5-65.8 μ g/m³) expressed as NCO. The highest inhalation exposures occurred during spray painting activities in a truck manufacturing company (66 μ g/m³) and during spray application of polyurethane foam insulation (23 μ g/m³). Semi-automatic moulding and polyurethane spraying tasks had the highest geometric mean values of 2.1 and 2.0 μ g/m³, respectively (Creely et al., 2006).

Occupational exposure to different diisocyanates (MDI, TDI, IPDI and NDI) during moulding, continuous foaming, flame lamination and low or no heating processes was assessed in Sweden. 111 personal samples were collected and the total isocyanate concentration ranged from 0.004 to 5.2 ppb. The current Swedish OEL of 5 ppb was exceeded only once in the study. Highest exposures were measured in continuous foaming, where the median concentration was 4.1 ppb. The average personal exposure levels for the different types of manufacturing processes were in decreasing order: continuous foaming>flame lamination>moulding>>low or no heating processes. Maintenance workers were not studied. The use of MDI and NDI in moulding resulted to the highest exposures, median air concentrations of 0.36 and 0.39 ppb (Sennbro et al., 2004a). The

ppb concentrations were calculated to μ g/m³ for some studied plants in the ECHA Restriction Background Document (ECHA 2018) and the following exposure levels were presented: Plant M1 which used MDI in manufacture of polyurethane and polyurethane materials, the measured 8 h TWA levels of MDI ranged between 0.042 µg/m³ to 7.8 µg/m³; Plant M3 which manufactured rigid polyurethanebased on TDI by moulding, the personal 8 h TWA TDI levels ranged between 1.30 µg/m³ to 6.67 µg/m³ in case of 2,4-TDI and between 0.038 µg/m³ to 3.53 µg/m³ for 2,6-TDI; the total isocyanate exposure was in the range between 1.69 µg/m³ to 9.97 µg/m³; and Plants (M4 – M7) which manufactured TDI based polyurehane components by foam moulding, the respective exposure levels ranged from 0.23 µg/m³ to 4.75 µg/m³ for 2,4-TDI and from 0.15 µg/m³ to 3.91 µg/m³ for 2,6-TDI; the corresponding total isocyanate exposures ranged from 0.08 µg/m³.

A comparative air and biological monitoring study was conducted at three Finnish factories where MDI was used in moulding rigid polyurethane foam as parts for insulation of refrigerators. Exposure to MDI was measured for 57 workers by overall 205 personal air measurements and 70 stationary samples. 131 of the personal air samples (64 %) and 49 of the stationary (70 %) air samples were below the limit of detection of 0.03 μ g/m³. The overall measured levels of airborne MDI were low, ranging, as far as quantifiable, from 0.3 to 3.3 μ g/m³. No further analysis of the stationary air samples was presented in the study, besides that the measured stationary concentrations were less than 0.5 % of the Finnish OEL of 35 μ g/m³ for isocyanates (expressed as NCO groups) (Kääriä et al., 2001b). The results of the biological monitoring will be discussed later in the respective section of this section.

Inhalation and dermal exposure to MDI during spray polyurethane foam (SPF) insulation was studied in the USA during 2015 and 2016. Breathing zone exposures to 4,4' MDI ranged from 0.9 to 123.0 μ g/m³, geometric mean being 13.8 μ g/m³. Area samples showed higher exposure levels than personal samples. Dermal exposure was measured with glove dosimeters and the GM was 11.4 μ g/glove pair/min (range 2-152 μ g/glove pair/min, or 59-4575 ng/cm²) suggesting high potential for dermal exposure (Bello et al., 2019).

Data from biomonitoring

Occupational exposure (air and biomonitoring) to diisocyanates was investigated in polyurethane foam factory workers in Poland. Concentrations of TDI metabolites in post-shift urine samples were significantly higher than in pre-shift urine samples. However, no correlation was found between air concentrations and urinary concentrations. TDA concentration in post-shift urine samples varied from LOQ to 3.9 μ mol/mol creatinine. Highest concentrations were among maintenance workers (Swierczynska-Machura et al., 2015).

A biological monitoring study among workers exposed to MDI was conducted in 19 French PU industries, ranging from medium sized enterprises to large factories (Robert et al., 2007). The study covers various industrial processes and uses of MDI like moulding, but also spraying and continuous foaming. All of the workers investigated were classified according to the potential for exposure into three job categories (high (I), medium (II) and low (III)) by assessment via questionnaires. The types of processes run in the workplaces were also classified into enclosed, open and specialty processes. Urinary levels of MDA were measured for 169 exposed workers as well as for 120 not exposed workers as a control group. Detectable levels of MDA were found in 73 % of all of the post shift samples, ranging from <0.10 μ g/L (LOD) to 23.60 μ g/L (<0.5 – 12 μ mol/mol creatinine), while the levels of MDA in the control group ranges from below the detection limit to 0.08 μ g/L. The highest amounts of MDA in urine were found in the spraying or hot processes. The level of automation of the mixing operation and the job category had an effect on the urinary MDA concentrations. (Robert et al., 2007)

TDI and urine TDA levels were studied in handlers and non-handlers in production of flexible polyurehane foam in the UK. The air concentrations of TDI were similar in both

groups (2.6 and 2.7 μ g/m³ NCO), but the mean urine TDA after shift was higher among handlers (2.21 μ mol/mol creatinine) compared to non-handlers (0.11 μ mol/mol creatinine). The results suggest that skin protection in handling uncured polyurethane foam may not receive sufficient consideration and dermal exposure is a potential route of exposure (Austin, 2007).

Isocyanate exposures (MDI, TDI, HDI and IPDI) were assessed in polyurethane industry sector in the UK by using biomonitoring and air monitoring methods as is reported above. The geometric mean total isocyanate metabolite level was 0.29 μ mol/mol creatinine and the 90th percentile was 3.94 μ mol/mol creatinine (range 0.05-12.64 μ mol/mol creatinine). The highest geometric means were measured in mixing and casting (median 5.24 μ mol/mol creatinine), where the highest measured values were also measured and in semiautomatic moulding (median 1.85 μ mol/mol creatinine), in resin application (3.91 μ mol/mol creatinine; one sample) and in glazing (median 0.91 μ mol/mol creatinine). Isocyanate metabolites were present in several samples of workers using control measures (respiratory protective equipment, ventilated work areas and gloves). In particular the effectiveness of protective gloves in providing adequate protection was found to be questionable since many companies used unsuitable gloves that were a compromise between chemical protection and minimal limitation of dexterity and where dermal exposure was evident (Creely et al., 2006).

Biological monitoring of NDA and MDA was made for workers at four different plants (three moulding plants and one plant with low heating process) in Sweden. Urinary levels on the day of air monitoring after shift ranged 0.4-38 μ g/l (0.2-19 μ mol/mol creatinine) for MDA and 0.7-81 μ g/l (0.4-51 μ mol/mol creatinine) for NDA. Urinary levels were similar also in another day, 0.3-78 and 3-81 μ g/l (0.1-39 and 1.9-51 μ mol/mol creatinine), respectively (Sennbro et al., 2006).

Biological monitoring of TDA was studied at nine plants where polyurehane was manufactured in Sweden. A strong association between personal air and biomarker levels were found in the study. The biomarker levels ranged <0.1-162 μ g/l (<0.1-133 μ mol/mol creatinine) for total TDA (Sennbro et al., 2004b).

A comparative study of MDI inhalation exposure and urinary biomarkers (MDA) was conducted among 57 workers in Finland who were manufacturing rigid polyurehane foam parts by moulding. Despite that the measured levels of MDI in the air were generally very low and below the detection limit for 64 % of the personal samples, MDA was detected in 97 % of the urinary samples, ranging from 0.015 to 1.38 μ mol/mol creatinine. The mean concentrations ranged between 0.12 to 0.20 μ mol/mol creatinine and the median concentrations between 0.04 to 0.12 μ mol/mol creatinine. As a control, the urinary MDA levels of eleven non- exposed workers were also measured and ranged from 0.012 to 0.022 μ mol/mol creatinine (Kääriä et al., 2001b).

Occupational exposure to MDI during spray polyurethane foam (SPF) insulation was studied in the USA during 2015 and 2016. Breathing zone exposures to 4,4'-MDI ranged from 0.9 to 123.0 μ g/m³, with a geometric mean of 13.8 μ g/m³. Area samples showed higher exposure levels than personal samples. Dermal exposure was measured with glove dosimeters and the geometric mean was 11.4 μ g/glove pair/min (range 2-152 μ g/glove pair/min, or 59-4575 ng/cm²) suggesting high potential for dermal exposure. Urinary MDA ranged from nd to 14.5 μ mol/mol creatinine and geometric mean being 0.7 μ mol/mol creatinine (Bello et al., 2019).

5.3.4 Use in other industries

Construction and building industry

Occupational exposure to MDI among construction and boat building workers who manually handled MDI-urethanes was studied in Finland between 2010 and 2012. The measured amounts of MDI on the workers hands ranged from below 0.1 to $17 \mu g/10$

cm². Nearly all workers had dermal exposure below 2 μ g/10 cm²measured with the tapestrip technique from hands and arms. The MDA concentrations in urine were 0.1 to 0.2 μ mol/mol creatinine during working days and the air concentrations were at the same level as in another Finnish study among moulders (Kääriä et al 2001). The air concentrations of MDI, 0.08-0.8 μ g/m³, were measured from the breathing zone of the workers. The use of a powered hood with appropriate filter reduced inhalable exposure by 60% and in the foaming process with a spray gun the use of appropriate RPE reduced exposure 98% (Henriks-Eckerman et al., 2015).

Autobody shop

Dermal, inhalation and internal exposure to 1,6-HDI and its oligomers was assessed in car body repair shop workers and industrial spray painters in the Netherlands. Inhalation exposure was assessed by using a midget impinger containing a reagent (DBA) sampling and dermal sampling was performed by using nitrile gloves without a reagent. Also urine samples during 24 hours were collected from the workers. Air concentrations were higher in industrial painting (ranged 0.01- 29 μ g NCO/m³ for HDI) than in car body repair shops (ranged 0.2-6.5 μ g NCO/m³ for HDI) for both HDI and its oligomers. Oligomers of HDI dominated over the monomer during all tasks in the study. Dermal sampling method worked well and it described that dermal exposure was relevant in car body repair shops, where the association between inhalation and dermal exposure suggests aerosol deposition. Inhalation exposure was strongly associated with tasks during which aerosolisation occurred and dermal exposure occurred during tasks that involve direct handling of paint. Spray painting workers have the highest inhalation and dermal exposure, but also by-standers of spray painting received a considerable dose of HDI and its oligomers (Pronk et al., 2006).

Dermal exposure to IPDI monomer, HDI monomer, IPDI polyisocyanate and three polyisocyanate forms of HDI was assessed among spray painters in the USA. The measurements were performed during spray painting, mixing and other paint related tasks for example sanding and compounding. Some samples were collected under PPE. The geometric mean (GM) for unprotected skin was 1.9 and range 0.0-64.4 ng NCO/cm². The major contributor to the total NCO content were HDI polyisocyanates. The highest exposures were measured for clear coating and paint mixing tasks. Isocyanates were commonly detected also under PPE. The study demonstrated skin exposure to aliphatic polyisocyanates during painting, mixing and paint related other tasks in auto body shop workers is common and also common to detect under routine personal protective equipment (PPE) (Bello et al., 2008).

Dermal and inhalation exposure assessments of monomeric and polymeric HDI among *automotive spray painters* were conducted in the USA. HDI levels in air ranged from 0.003 to 179 μ g/m³ in the breathing zone samples. The geometric mean of dermal exposure varied from 0.01 to 0.16 ng/cm² between different sampled body parts and use of protective clothing. The highest exposure, 0.16 ng/cm², was measured from the lower legs area. A link between the concentration in the breathing zone area and dermal concentration was established (Fent et al., 2009b, Fent et al., 2009a).

Spray painters (N=33) were studied for occupational exposure to IPDI monomer, HDI monomer, IPDI polyisocyanate and three polyisocyanate forms of HDI at *the autobody shops* in the USA. The air concentrations were compared with the short term exposure limits (STELs). 98% of the samples exceeded the UK HSE STEL which is 70 μ g NCO/m³ for all isocyanates (Reeb-Whitaker et al., 2012). In another study, the exposure levels were investigated when the paint is applied with a paint brush and roller instead of a spray gun. All isocyanate samples were below analytical detection. The finding is attributed to the use of a paint brush which minimize aerosolisation and the paint formulation which contained <1% of volatile HDI monomer (Reeb-Whitaker and Schoonover, 2016).

Plastic industry

NDI is used as a curing agent in the plastic industry. Occupational exposure to NDI was studied by measuring biomarkers and air concentrations during different tasks at the workplace. Air levels for NDI were from 1 to 82 μ g/m³, the highest air concentration were measured from the breathing zones of operators of casting machine and stoker. Urinary metabolite levels ranged from 0.4 to 55 pmol NDA/ml urine (4-550 μ mol/mol creatinine) (Sepai and Sabbioni, 2017).

Foundry

Liljelind et al (2010) have quantified the occupational exposure to MDI in iron foundry workers in Sweden. Inhalation and dermal exposure by using impregnated filters and tape-strip technique during mechanized moulding and production of cores was measured from 19 workers. The average MDI concentration was $0.55 \ \mu g/m^3$ (range $0.044-3.5 \ \mu g/m^3$) and the highest concentrations were measured from the breathing zones of core makers being $0.77 \ \mu g/m^3$ for arithmetic mean and $0.35 \ \mu m/m^3$ for median concentration. The core makers mean dermal exposure varied from $0.13 \ to 0.34 \ \mu g/skin site (10 \ cm^2)$.

Table 12. Diisocyanate exposure assessment studies including exposure data from air, dermal or/and biomonitoring performed in Europe and in the USA during recent years.

Task/occupation	Country	Year	Diisocyanate/ NCO	Air conc µg∕m³	Dermal µg/glove pair	Biomonitoring µmol/mol creat	Reference
Manufacture of diisocyanat	es						
Production plant 1, total	USA	7-year period	TDI	0.14-655	-	-	Middendorf et al 2017
Field operator				0.2-135			
Loading				0.2-655			
Laboratory				0.2-85			
Production plant 2, total	USA	7-year period	TDI	0.1-65	-	-	Middendorf et al 2017
Field operator				0.1-65			
Loading				0.1-17			
Laboratory				0.1-1.1			
Drumming				0.2-26			
Production plant 3, total	USA	7-year period	TDI	0.1-640	-	-	Middendorf et al 2017
Field operator				0.1-640			
Loading				0.2-107			
Laboratory				0.1-16			
Drumming				0.2-235			
Polyurethane production ar	nd use						
Spray polyurethane foam (SPF); sprayers (N=24) and helpers (N=7)	USA	2015- 2016	4,4'-MDI and Total NCO (isomers of MDI)	0.80-123, <0.09-254	2.0-153 µg/glove pair/min 1.0-73 µg/glove pair/min	ND-14.5 MDA	Bello et al 2019
Handling NDI powder and manufacturing polyurethane parts for automobile industry (e.g. casting) (N=20)	Germany		NDI	1-82	-	4-550	Sepai and Sabbioni 2017

Task/occupation	Country	Year	Diisocyanate/ NCO	Air conc µg/m³	Dermal µg/glove pair	Biomonitoring µmol/mol creat	Reference
Polyurethane foam production; TDI-based flexible PUR foam in continuous foam blocks	Poland		TDI	0.2-59	-	<loq-3.9< td=""><td>Swierczynska- Machura et al 2015</td></loq-3.9<>	Swierczynska- Machura et al 2015
-Foaming head operator (N=10)				0.6-11	-	<loq-1.9< td=""><td></td></loq-1.9<>	
-Cutting machine operator (N=3)				0.2-6.5	-	0.6-2.1	
-Maintenance workers (N=2)				9.9-42	-	1.7-3.9	
-Folding paper (N=5)				0.3-59	-	0.2-2.9	
-Foam production (low volume)			MDI	<0.6			
Spray painting	UK		Total NCO (MDI)	0.06-8.1	< 0.05	ND-0.4	Jones et al 2017
Spray painting	UK		Total NCO (HDI)	421-423	3-11	ND-1.0	Jones et al 2017
Casting/grouting	UK		Total NCO (MDI)	<0.05-0.08	<0.05-20.5	ND	Jones et al 2017
Casting	UK		Total NCO (MDI)	0.49	230	ND-0.8	Jones et al 2017
Floor screeding	UK		Total NCO (MDI)	0.1-0.47	<0.05-1091	0.5-6.0	Jones et al 2017
Foam blowing	UK		Total NCO (TDI)	0.03-3.1	256-2488	ND-5.4	Jones et al 2017
Foam blowing	UK		Total NCO (TDI/MDI)	0.07-0.85	<0.05-54	ND-8.5	Jones et al 2017
Foam blowing	UK		Total NCO (TDI/MDI)	0.07-2.47	<0.05-56	ND-6.3	Jones et al 2017
Continuous foaming plant (N=6)	Sweden	2000, 2005	TDI	63 median, 13 median	-	<loq< td=""><td>Tinnerberg et al 2008</td></loq<>	Tinnerberg et al 2008
PUR industry (N=169)	France	1998- 2004	MDI	-	-	<0.10-12	Robert et al 2007
PU foam production (N=26)	UK		NCO (TDI)	<3.5-8.4 (AM 2.7 for handlers; (AM 2.6 non- handlers		2.21 for handlers, 0.11 for non- handlers	Austin 2007
PUR industry (N=70)	UK		Total NCO	0.5-66	-	0.05-13	Creely et al 2006

36

Task/occupation	Country	Year	Diisocyanate/ NCO	Air conc µg/m³	Dermal µg/glove pair	Biomonitoring µmol/mol creat	Reference
-Coating and spreading				0.5-12	-	0.05-1.49	
-Semiautomatic moulding				0.5-10	-	0.05-4.80	
-PUR spraying				0.5-23	-	0.05-1.81	
-Painting (spray)				0.5-66	-	0.05-1.71	
-Mixing and casting				0.5-4.7	-	0.05-13	
PUR industry; moulding and heating processes, manu- facturing rigid PUR products by moulding (N=30)	Sweden		MDI, NDI,	0.03-7.8** for MDI; 0.2-15 ** for NDI	-	0.2-19** and 0.1-39 for MDA; 0.4-51** and 1.9-51 for NDA	Sennbro et al 2006
PUR industry (N=81)	Sweden	2000- 2001?	TDI	<loq-44< td=""><td>-</td><td>6.9 (median)</td><td>Sennbro, Lindh, Tinnerberg et al 2004</td></loq-44<>	-	6.9 (median)	Sennbro, Lindh, Tinnerberg et al 2004
PUR industry (N=111)	Sweden	2000- 2001	NCO; (TDI, MDI, NDI, PI and IPDI)	0.004-5.2 ppb	-	-	Sennbro, Lindh, Östin et al 2004
-moulding			MDI	0.004-0.75			
-moulding			NDI	<loq-1.8< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq-1.8<>			
-moulding			IPDI	0.01-0.10			
-low or no heating process			MDI	0.01-0.06			
Moulding of rigid PUR foam (N=57)	Finland	1996- 1997	MDI	0.03-3.3	-	0.015-1.38	Kääriä et al 2001
Construction and boat build	ding						
Manual handling of MDI (foaming, moulding, gluing, laminating and coating with polyurethane) (N=24)	Finland	2010- 2012	MDI	0.08-27	0.1-17 μg/10 cm2	0.1-0.2	Henriks-Eckerman et al 2015
Motor vehicle repair trade				1			
Car body repair shops:	Netherland s	2004	NCO (HDI)/ NCO (oligomers)				Pronk et al 2006

Task/occupation	Country	Year	Diisocyanate/ NCO	Air conc µg/m³	Dermal µg/glove pair	Biomonitoring µmol/mol creat	Reference
-Mixing PU lacquer (N=15)				0.2-2.7/0.3- 33	0.3-20/20-2849		
-Spraying PU lacquer (N=31)				0.2-6.5/2.5- 728	0.3-10/6.5-1507		
-Cleaning spray gun (N=19)				-/1.6-45	0.3-2.0/16-316		
-Welding (N=3)				0.04/0.1	-		
Industrial painting company	Netherland s	2004	NCO (HDI)/ NCO (oligomers)				
-Spraying PU lacquer (N=10)				0.03- 29/6.4-2614	0.5/3.8-210		
-Rolling/brushing PU lacquer (N=11)				0.01- 0.1/0.1-5.3	-/3.5-154		
-Mixing PU lacquer (N=3)				0.01- 1.0/1.6-20	-/3.5-95		
-Assisting spray painting (N=3)				0.09- 4.4/6.3-348	-/0.7		
Polyurethane paints; paint brush and roller	USA	2012, 2013, 2014	HDI	<lod (0.2)<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>Reeb-Whitaker and Schoonover 2016</td></lod>	-	-	Reeb-Whitaker and Schoonover 2016
Spray painting (N=228)	USA	2006- 2007	NCO (HDI monomer, IPDI monomer+ corresponding polyisocyanates)	98% >70 μg NCO/m ³	-	-	Reeb-Whitaker et al 2012
Sprays painters and technicians -spraying (N=49)	USA		NCO (HDI monomer, IPDI monomer+ corresponding polyisocyanates)	-	0-64.4 ng NCO/cm ²		Bello et al 2008
-mixing (N=13)					0.1-59.8 ng NCO/cm ²		
-paint related wet sanding (N=10)					0-67.3 ng NCO/cm ²		

Task/occupation	Country	Year	Diisocyanate/ NCO	Air conc µg/m³	Dermal µg/glove pair	Biomonitoring µmol/mol creat	Reference
Spray painting	USA		HDI	-	Positive (qualitative assessment)	-	Liu et al 2009
Automotive spray painters (N=47)	USA		HDI, polymeric HDI	0.003-179 HDI	-	-	Fent et al 2009b
Automotive spray painters (N=47)	USA		HDI, polymeric HDI		GM 0.01-0.16 ng/cm ² for different part of the body (lower arms, hands, neck, wrists, face and lower legs)		Fent et al 2009a
Foundry							
Core makers, installers and core sand preparers (N=19)	Sweden	2006, 2007	MDI	0.044-3.5			Liljelind et al 2010

5.3.5 Occupational exposure to isocyanates as recorded in National databases

Occupational exposure data from the Finnish Industrial hygiene measurement registry in FIOH

The main part of the workplace air measurements for isocyanates (92%) were below the Finnish OEL (0.035 mg/m³) performed during 2008-2016 in Finland. The arithmetic mean concentration was 5 μ g/m³, the median was 0.03 μ g/m³, the 95th percentile concentration was 10 μ g/m³ and the maximum concentration was 1003 μ g/m³. The highest concentrations were measured for a prepolymer, HDI-trimer, from the breathing zone of painters or from the room where the painting was performed. Also welding operations created high exposures to HDI. The highest TDI concentrations were measured during production of prepolymers and foaming, and also in manufacturing of medicinal products (but not the medicinal product itself). The air concentrations for MDI were mainly well below the Finnish OEL. The range of the measurements are presented in the Table 13Table 13. (FIOH, 2019)

During the period 2008-2016, a total of 178 urine samples were monitored for the isocyanate exposure in Finland. The main portion of the samples (71%) were below the detection limit for the method. The arithmetic mean value was 1.6 μ mol/mol, the median was 0.1 μ mol/mol, the 95th percentile was 2.3 μ mol/mol and the maximum value was 121 μ mol/mol. 29% of the results were at the level of non-occupational exposed reference value (0.2 μ mol/mol) or above it. The workers in construction, in foundry and in welding had the highest exposures to isocyanates. (FIOH, 2019)

Finland (2008-2016)	Ν	TDI	MDI, MDI-trimer	HDI, HDI-trimer
Sector of use		Range (µg/m ³)	Range (µg/m ³)	Range (μ g/m ³)
Rubber- and other plastic	382	0.0025 - 18.9	0.005 - 2.53	0.005 - 0.25
products				
Other machines and	171	0.005 - 0.8	0.005 - 67.6	0.005 - 478
equipment production				
Other non-metallic mineral	95	0.02 - 17	0.005 - 2.96	0.01 - 0.32
products production				
Metal products production	82	0.005 - 0.04	0.005 - 1.54	0.005 - 16.5
Production of medicine and	73	0.003 - 342	0.01 - 0.025	0.025
medicinal products				
Production of chemicals and	58	0.01 - 176	0.005 - 0.43	0 - 9.2
chemical products				
Furniture production	60	0.01 - 106	0.005 - 0.11	0.04 - 1.65
Textiles production	57	0.005 - 171	0.005 - 0.51	0.01 - 0.05
Production of leather and leather	53	0.02 - 0.81	0.005 - 5.59	-
products				
Other vehicles production	47	0.03 - 0.055	0.025 - 23.7	0.025 - 466
Motor vehicles retail and	44	-	0.01 - 0.015	0.005 - 89.9
repair				
Electronic and optical devices	43	0.21 - 341	0.0025 - 1.18	0.02 - 0.44
Repair, maintenance and	41	0.005 - 0.37	0.005 - 0.32	0.005 - 1003
assembly of machines				
Wood and wood-based products	36	-	0.0025 - 0.66	0.025
Electric devices production	33	-	0.005 -1.06	0.005 - 208
Special construction	16	0.14	0.025	0.02 - 36.9

Table 13. Workplace air monitoring results (μ g/m³) for isocyanates from different industry sectors during the period 2008-2016 in Finland.

The bolded industry sector has have exposures above the Finnish OEL (35 μ g NCO/m³ for a short term exposure).

Data are from the Finnish Industrial hygiene measurement registry in FIOH, Finland. (FIOH 2019) (Link to the data: <u>https://www.ttl.fi/kemikaalit-ja-tyo/isosyanaatit/</u> Last accessed 10.07.2019)

Occupational exposure data from the German Social Accident Insurance (IFA)

Measured workplace exposure data (MEGA database) from Germany have been evaluated in a study by the Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the German Social Accident Insurance (IFA, 2010) (see Table 14). The data have been gathered from 2000 to 2009. Overall, a total of 10 541 measurement data for MDI (4484 for 4,4'-MDI and 1810 for 2,4'-MDI) and TDI (2113 for 2,6'-TDI and 2134 for 2,4'-TDI) have been evaluated according to industry groups as well as work area groups. Most of the air monitoring samples (over 86%) have been below the analytical quantification limit (AQL). The German workplace limit value was exceeded in 9 to 49 cases, being 0.5-2.3% of the measurements.

According to industry groups the occupational exposure to MDI and TDI has most often been above German workplace limit value (8-hour values 5 ppb for TDI and 0.05 mg/m³ for MDI) in plastic industry, leather and textile industry, processing metals and mechanical engineering and wood and paper industry. The foam-filling, foaming, casting, gluing and flaming/singeing/burning are the activities that have created highest exposures to workers. Generally the 95th percentile values ranges from AQL to 76 μ g/m³ (0.076 mg/m³) for separate isocyanates.(IFA, 2010)

Work area group	LEV	4,4'-M	DI		2,4'-	MDI		2,6'-	ΓDI		2,4'-	TDI	
		Ν	AM	95 th	Ν	AM	95 th	Ν	AM	95 th	Ν	AM	95 th
			µg/m³	µg/m³		µg/m³	µg/m³		µg/m³	µg/m³		µg/m³	µg/m³
All areas	Yes	1110	3.0	9	426	1.4	2	557	4.8	22	570	3.0	14
	No	658	3.4	7	288	1.6	AQL	236	1.3	2	239	1.3	2
Processing, subsequent treatment	Yes	52	1.4	2.4	17	1.1	2	29	15.3	96	30	3.5	17
	No	35	4.8	3	13	1	AQL	12	1.1	AQL	12	1.1	AQL
Moulding	Yes	22	4.8	23	13	1.3	3						
	No	11	3.6	15									
Flaming, singeing, burning	Yes							10	21.6	41	10	11.5	24
Casting	Yes	82	2.9	11	39	1.1	AQL	29	3.6	18	29	5.2	26
_	No	54	1.1	AQL	26	1.1	AQL	13	1.1	AQL	13	1.1	AQL
Gluing	Yes	165	6	14	60	1	AQL	77	2.3	5	74	1.6	2
	No	196	2.6	6	112	1.1	AQL	85	1.4	2	83	1.4	AQL
Storing, conveying, filling, weighing	Yes	37	4.0	13	19	1.5	2				11	2.3	7
	No	34	2	5	14	4.5	16						
Mixing, stirring	Yes	19	1.1	2				10	1	AQL	10	1	AQL
Surface coating processes, miscellaneous	Yes	64	6.3	37	29	1.3	2	43	2.3	5.9	45	2.7	6
	No	21	1.6	AQL							18	1.1	AQL
Pressing, extrusion	Yes	38	7.2	28	17	3.2	10	10	30	76	10	18.1	50
	No	11	1.4	3									
Foaming (all kind of)	Yes	250	1.4	3	93	1.1	AQL	63	10.5	36	64	6.8	34
	No	180	2.6	8	63	1.4	3	44	1.1	AQL	44	1.2	2
Spraying (all kind of)	Yes	210	2.2	3	81	2.3	AQL	201	1.2	AQL	212	1.1	2
	No	21	3.6	9				20	1.1	AQL	21	1.1	AQL
AQL = number of measured	values be	elow analy	tical quant	ification limi	t is gre	ater than the	e number of m	easured	values repr	esented by I	the cum	ulative freq	uency value

Table 14. MDI and TDI exposure data from German MEGA database 2000-2009 (IFA 2012)

5.3.6 Summary of the occupational exposure

In recent years, the average and the 95th percentile airborne concentrations (calculated as NCO) for TDI and MDI are below 5 μ g/m³ and 12 μ g/m³, respectively, according to German and Finnish databases of workplace monitoring. From the collected literature data, diisocyanate exposure in Europe is generally below 30 μ g NCO/m³ and very often even much lower, except for spraying applications. The highest airborne concentrations are measured for HDI during spray painting (>400 μ g/m³ measured as NCO; (Jones et al., 2017). High airborne levels during spray painting were also measured in other studies (Creely et al., 2006, Fent et al., 2009b). Generally, it can be summarised that inhalation exposure is strongly associated with tasks where aerosolisation occurs.

However, air monitoring/measurement data do not necessarily support the assumption that the exposure is adequately controlled for all such cases as highlighted in the ECHA Restriction Background Document (2018). Since isocyanates are highly reactive and very unstable, even in the same air samples several different chemical species may be present. Also there has been a trend in the industry to reduce the content of free monomers in formulations and replace the monomers with prepolymers and polyisocyanates (Streicher et al., 2000). However, most of the sampling and analytical methods address only diisocyanate monomers and quantification of polyisocyanates (oligomers) is much more complex (Bello et al., 2004).

When complemented with biomonitoring data there is often clear evidence for occupational exposure to isocyanates of workers, even in cases where air monitoring suggests that the exposure situation might be well controlled. For example, Kääriä et al. (2001b) assessed worker exposure to MDI at three factories in Finland during moulding of rigid polyurehane foam. While MDI concentrations were below the limit of detection in 64 % of the breathing zone air samples they found MDA (as a MDI metabolite) in 97 % of the urine samples of the workers (Kääriä et al., 2001b). These findings are especially important since there is an increasing body of literature highlighting the relevance of the dermal route for causing occupational asthma by isocyanate. (ECHA, 2018a).

Dermal exposure often occurs during tasks that involve direct handling of paint, contact with uncured polyurethane or deposition of aerosols. Even though there are studies where the dermal exposure to isocyanates has been studied, the quantification of dermal exposure is particularly difficult because of lack of standardised and validated methods for measuring (Lockey et al., 2015). For these reasons, dermal exposure to isocyanates is often assessed indirectly by comparison of personal air samples with corresponding biomonitoring data.

Exposure to diisocyanates occurs in various industrial sectors for example automobile industry, plastic industry, leather and textile industry, processing metals and mechanical engineering and wood and paper industry as can be seen from the literature review and National databases. However, the main use is different polyurethane applications. The activities such as spraying, loading in manufacturing, mixing and casting, surface coating, pressing and extrusion, are the activities that have created highest exposures. Since diisocyanates are sensitising compounds, effective risk management measures should be implemented in operational conditions. There are a couple studies where the effect of risk management measures was studied before and after implementation (Tinnerberg and Mattsson, 2008, Clayton and Baxter, 2015, Jones et al., 2013)) showing that the exposure can be reduced remarkably by training workers. This is also the purpose of the Restriction proposal of diisocyanates that was submitted to ECHA by Germany¹².

¹² https://echa.europa.eu/registry-of-restriction-intentions/-/dislist/details/0b0236e180876053

5.4 Routes of exposure

Both inhalation and dermal routes are the most likely routes for occupational exposure to isocyanates. Isocyanates can become airborne as aerosols (e. g. spray painting, blow foaming) or as fumes and vapours in hot processes (e. g. hot melt adhesives and sealants) and can also be released by thermal degradation of polyurethanes. Also potential for dermal exposure has been demonstrated in many studies (Bello et al., 2019, Henriks-Eckerman et al., 2015, Jones et al., 2017, Kääriä et al., 2001b). The form (and volatility) of the diisocyanates and the processes involved affect the significance of dermal exposure (Cocker, 2011). For example, uncured or not fully cured polyurethane products pose a source of skin exposure to isocyanates and the fully curing can last days or even weeks (Bello et al., 2007).

6. Monitoring Exposure

6.1 External exposure

Depending on diisocyanates and the on-going activity, airborne diisocyanates can be associated with both vapours and aerosols, the latter with a wide range of particle sizes. Aromatic diisocyanates in the gas phase tend to condense to aerosols (particles < 1 μ m), while monoisocyanates and aliphatic diisocyanates in the gas phase were not found to form particles in a similar way (Dahlin et al., 2008). Since diisocyanates are highly reactive, the total isocyanate content and the physical form varies with time (Dahlin et al 2008). To reduce volatility of the lower molecular weight diisocyanates, prepolymer and polyisocyanate forms of these diisocyanates have been developed and they have replaced the monomers in many product formulations (Streicher et al., 2000).

Air sampling and analytical methods for isocyanates require reaction with derivatising reagents during sampling to stabilise the functional group. Most of the sampling methods use either an impregnated filter with a derivatisation reagent or impingers, where the derivatisation reagent is dissolved in an organic solvent and the analyte air is bubbled through, or a combination of both. While impingers provide better results for measurement of fast curing systems, they are often more laborious to use for personal sampling (and may pose a risk of leakage, evaporation of volatile organic solvents etc.) (Lockey et al., 2015, Puscasu et al., 2015). However, non-spill impingers are commercially available. Impingers are efficient to sample aromatic isocyanate aerosols with particles larger than 2 μ m, but particles less than 2 μ m can pass through them. The fibre filters impregnated with derivatising reagent can be used to sample vapours, slow-reacting aerosols (typically aliphatic isocyanate systems) and isocyanate aerosols with particles less than 2 μ m (DECOS 2018).

For all of the methods, the analysis is performed with liquid chromatography connected to UV, fluorimetric, electrochemical nitrogen or mass detection.

Available standard methods for monitoring diisocyanates in workplace air which are mainly according to the criteria set out in the standard EN 482 "Workplace exposure. General requirements for the performance of procedures for the measurement of chemical agents" are described in Table 15. However, there can be some shortcomings in validation data with some methods. ANSES recommends the methods of ISO 17735 (2009) and NIOSH 5525 (2003) as indicative for the regulatory control of 15 min STEL for TDI (draft ANSES report March 2019 under Public Consultation)¹³.

¹³ Document for public consultation:

https://www.anses.fr/fr/system/files/REC_NEC_VLEP_TDI_pourconsult_paraphV3.pdf

Method	Suitable for	Sampler	Derivatisin	Analytical technique	LOD, LOQ or range
ISO 17734- 1:2013*	Gas and vapour phase isocyanates; monomers, prepolymers and oligomers	Impinger/filt er or tube/filter (solvent-free sampling)	g agent DBA	HPLC-MS	LOQ 0.6 ng/m ³ for HDI and 0.02 ng/m ³ for TDI (15 l), range 0.001- 200 000 µg/m ³ for TDI (5 l); 1 l/min and 30 min or 0.2 l/min and even >8h;
ISO 17735:2019	Vapours and aerosols; monomers, prepolymers	Reagent impregnated filters and/or impinger samples	МАР	LC-MS-MS or FL or UV	LOQ for filters $0.7 - 1.4$ μ g/m ³ (15 l) and impinger samples 3-4 times higher; 1 l -960 l; 1 or 2 l/min
ISO 17736:2010 **	Vapours and aerosols; monomers, prepolymers and oligomers	Douple filters	MAMA	HPLC	0.67 – 140 µg/m ³ (15 I); for short-term exposure, but if only vapour form the sampling can be extended to 8 hour; 1 I/min
ISO 16702 2007	MDI, HDI and TDI both monomers and their oligomers and polymers	Chemically treated filters or impinger/ filters	1,2-MP	LC	Range 0.1 - 140 µg/m ³ (15 I sample); 0.5 min to 8 hour
MDHS 25/3	Vapour phase isocyanates	Chemically treated glass fibre filters	1,2-MP	LC	LOQ 1 µg/m ³
MDHS 25/4	Vapours and aerosols;	Glass fibre filters (vapours); impinger + glass fibre filters (aerosols)	1,2-MP	HPLC/UV/ EC	LOD 0.07 µg NCO/m ³ and LOQ 0.27 µg NCO/m ³ (15 I); Vapours 20 -900 I and 2 I/min; Aerosols 15- 480 I and 1 I/min;
NIOSH 5521 (1994)	Vapours and aerosols; monomeric	Impinger	1,2-MP	HPLC/EC or UV	Range around 1 µg/m ³ (HDI) to 1 mg/m ³ (100 I); 5-500 I; 1 I/min
NIOSH 5522 (1998)	Vapours and aerosols; only for area samples; monomers and estimate oligomers	Impinger	Tryptamine/ DMSO	HPLC/FL or EC	Range 10 – 250 µg/m ³ for TDI (50 l); 15-360 l; 1-2 l/min
NIOSH 5525 (2003)	Vapour, aerosols and condensation aerosols	Glass fibre filters; impinger; impinger +	МАР	HPLC/UV or FL	Range 1.4 – 840 µg/m ³ NCO (15); 1-500 ; 1- 2 l/min

Table 15 Air monitoring methods

Method	Suitable for	Sampler	Derivatisin g agent	Analytical technique	LOD, LOQ or range
		glass fibre filters			

*reviewed and confirmed in 2019

** reviewed and confirmed in 2016

DPA = dibutylamine; 1,2-MP = 1-(2-methyoxyphenyl)piperazine; MAMA = 9-(methylaminomethyl)anthracene; MAP = 1-(9-anthracenylmethyl)piperazine

LOD limit of detection; LOQ limit of quantification

LC liquid chromatography; HPLC high pressure liquid chromatography; MS mass spectrometry; GC gas chromatography; UV ultraviolet detection; FL fluorescence detection; EC electrochemical detection

6.2 Biomonitoring of exposure (internal exposure)

Biological monitoring of diisocyanates is normally based on the analysis of diisocyanateadducts with haemoglobin or albumin in the blood or the determination of corresponding diamines in plasma or in urine. The amines are not specific markers for diisocyanates and exposure to the corresponding diamines has to be ruled out since, otherwise, the results can be biased. (Cocker, 2011).

Biological monitoring is most commonly undertaken using urine samples. The elimination half lives of the derived diamines in urine are relatively short (2-5 h), which means that the urine samples need to be collected at the end of the exposure and results mostly reflect the exposure of the data collection (Cocker, 2011) (see Table 20).

It has also been proposed to carry out biomonitoring based on immunologic [immunoglobulin G (IgG)] responses to exposure. Isocyanate-specific IgG are not normally found in human serum (the chemicals are man-made and do not exist naturally), but are present with a relatively high prevalence among exposed workers. See for instance (Wisnewski et al., 2012).

Currently, the quantitative analysis of diamines in urine after hydrolysis is the best established approach in human biomonitoring of diisocyanates and assessment values for occupational examinations have been derived.

6.2.1 Background levels

Non-occupational exposures may occur since polyurethane foams are a component of many household materials including bedding, upholstered furniture, urethane-containing adhesives, and insulation (ACGIH, 2016). The ACGIH has established a reference value for the general population (95th percentile) for TDI of 0.4 μ g of TDA/g creatinine.

Others (Sennbro et al., 2005) have also studied levels of diisocyanates in the general population (non- exposed workers). The study showed detectable levels of MDI in 97% of the sample population and for other isocyanates only in 0-15 % of the population (none or few individuals presented levels above the limit of detection). The study also calculated 95th percentiles values for the non-exposed population. Results are reported in Table 16.

Table	16:	Levels	of	diisocyanat	e metabolit	es in	urine	or	blood	of	non-
occupa	ation	ally exp	osed	d workers (S	ennbro et al	., 200	5)				

Biomarker	Range (µg/L)	Median (µg/L)	95 th Percentile (µg/L)
U-2,4-TDA	<0.1-0.4	<0.1	0.1
P-2,4-TDA	<0.1-0.1	<0.1	0.1
U-2,6-TDA	<0.1-0.2	<0.1	0.1

P-2,6-TDA	<0.1-0.1	<0.1	0.1
U-NDA	<0.1-0.2	<0.1	<0.1
P-NDA	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
U-MDA	<0.05-3	0.2	0.4
P-MDA	<0.05-0.4	0.2	0.3

U urinary samples, P plasma samples

No biological guidance value is proposed as the background levels of the general population are in most cases non detectable.

6.2.2 Exposure correlations

Several studies support the correlation between the concentrations of diisocyanates in air and the corresponding diamines in urine. The German BAT value for HDI, BLW for MDI and the ACGIH BEI value for TDI are based on correlation between air and urine concentrations. However, exposure to the diamine (Jones et al., 2017) or combined exposure with polymeric diisocyanates (Cocker, 2011), confounding factors need to be considered .The biological monitoring guidance (BMGV) value established in the UK follows a different approach. It is based on the 90th percentile of biological monitoring data from workplaces with exposure to HDI, IPDI, TDI, or MDI. It is also not a health-based guidance value but one based on exposure control. Any results exceeding the BMGV should simply trigger an examination of exposure controls and work practice with the intent of reducing exposure.

Budnik et al (2011) studied the differences in excretion kinetics for different isocyanates and established the elimination patterns for all major diisocyanates at different exposure concentrations. When looking closer at different isocyanates, it became clear that the aliphatic isocyanate 1,6-HDI has a shorter excretion time than aromatic isocyanates (4,4'-MDI, 2,4-/2,6-TDI). Notably, aromatic MDA, NDA and cycloaliphatic IPDA were not completely eliminated after 24 h. After pulmonary absorption of 2,4- and 2,6-TDI, the majority had been excreted in urine 6 h after the end of exposure.

The different excretion kinetics of different diisocyanates together with the inter-individual differences and the contribution of dermal uptake, make it difficult to find a correlation between air monitoring data and biomarker concentration. Most correlations between air and urine concentrations (diisocyanates vs related diamine) found in the literature are for the specific diisocyanates compounds and not for the concentrations of diisocyanates as a group.

Due to the limitations stated above, no biological limit value is proposed.

The subsections below summarise the correlations found in reports/ reviews for individual diisocyanates and the corresponding diamine.

6.2.2.1 HDI

For the derivation of the BAT value (DFG, 2012) the correlations found in the studies in Table 17 were taken into account.

Ν	HDI in air Median ± SD [µg/m ³] (Range)	HDI in urine Median ± SD	Reference
5 people (chamber test)	n.a (25–29)	n.a (0.01-0.03 mmol/mol creatinine \cong 10-30 µg/g creatinine)	(Brorson et al., 1990)
19 (workers of the HDI industry)	14.3 ± 26 (AM) (0.3–97.7)	8.52 μg/g creatinine ± 7.46 (AM) (1.36-27.7 μg/g creatinine)	(Maître et al., 1996)
50 (workers from the PU industry- 100 air samples)	78.5 (AM) (61–96)	3.39 µmol/mol creatinine ± 0.6 (AM)	(Mirmohammadi et al., 2010)

Table 17: HDA levels in urine against HDI exposed persons (DFG, 2012)

The correlation found by (Maître et al., 1996) was used to derive the BAT value (calculating the corresponding HAD value from the air limit value established for HDI):

$$\log(HDA) = 0.4396 * \log(HDI) + 0.4612$$

6.2.2.2 MDI

The German DGF established a BAT value for MDI (DFG, 2000). The value was based on a correlation between the concentration of MDI in air and the concentration of MDA in urine. The value was based on field studies by a working group (Lewalter, 1994) which are not completely documented (Lewalter, 1994) (see Table 18).

Ν	MDI in air	MDA in urine	Reference
	Median [µg/m³] (Range)	Median (Range)	
43	3.9 (0.1-5.4)	0.87 (0.6–2.0) µg MDA/g creatinine	(Lewalter, 1994)
154	12.7 (1.8–15.3)	2.58 (0.3-3.7) μg MDA/g creatinine	(Lewalter, 1994)
64	10 (1-21)	2.1 µ/L MDA	(Lewalter J, 2002)

 Table 18: MDA levels in urine against MDI exposed persons

From these data a value of 10 μ g 4,4'-dMDA (after hydrolysis)/g creatinine (for a MAK value of 0.05 mg/m3) was established. When the value was revised in 2006 (DFG, 2007), the correlation was confirmed by later studies (Lewalter J, 2002). However, the correlation is based on excretion data and is not protein related, so the BLW was established in terms of MDA per/l of Urine as: 10 μ g 4,4'-diaminodiphenylmethane (MDA) (after hydrolysis)/l urine (for a MAK value of 0.05mg/m³).

6.2.2.3 TDI

The ACGIH proposed a Biological Exposure Index (BEI) that is based on the levels of the metabolites expected with an exposure equivalent to the TWA of 1 ppb (ACGIH, 2016). The value was based on the results from field studies comparing the TDI air values with the urine TDA. Results from those studies are summarised in Table 19:

Table 19: TDI in air and urinary TDA levels reported in the public scientific literature (ACGIH, 2016)

Ν	TDI in air Median ± SD [ppb] (Range)	TDA in urine Median (µg/g creatinin e)	Correl ation coeffici ent (r)	Regression equation	Reference
16	(0.25-3.25)		0.9 0.64	2,4 TDI: y=3.2x +0.39 2,6 TDI: y=6.6x-1.48	(Sakai et al., 2005)
84/91	0.4 (median)	5.6 (median)			(Sennbro et al., 2005)
6	0.25 (estimated)	0.49			(Rosenberg et al., 2002)
14	(0.56-4.5)		0.63	Y=9.7x-5.5	(Kääriä et al., 2001a)
9	3 (estimated)			Log(y) = 0.579log(x) + 0328	(Maître et al., 1993)

6.2.3 Biomonitoring analytical methods

The analytical methods for biomonitoring of diisocyanates are based on the determination of corresponding diamines released after acid hydrolysis the released amines that are then extracted from urine and separated via gas chromatography on a capillary column and detected by a mass sensitive detector.

Table 20: Methods for biomonitoring

Standard method	Biomarker (Diisocyanate)	Analytical technique	LOQ	Reference
MAK method (*)	HDA in urine (HDI)	GC/MS (gas chromatography	0.7 µg/l urine	(DFG, 2017)
	2,4 TDA in urine (2,4 TDI)	with mass	0.4 µg/l urine	
	2,6 TDA in urine (2,6 TDI)	spectrometry	0.4 µg/l urine	
	IPDA in urine (IPDI)		0.5 µg/l urine	
	MDA in urine (MDI)		0.4 µg/l urine	
BMGV method	Isocyanate-derived Diamine (HDI, MDI, TDI, IPDI)	GC/MS	5 nmol/l (approx 0.5 µmol/ mol creatinine)	(HSL)

Notes:

(*) The method allow the simultaneous determination of the diamines

HDA: hexamethylenediamine /HDI :hexamethylene diisocyanate

TDI: toluene diisocyanate / TDA: toluenediamine

IPDI: isophorone diisocyanate / IPDA: isophoronediamine

MDI: methylene diphenyl diisocyanate / MDA: methylenedianiline

7. Health Effects

In this section on health effects, the inhalation exposure concentrations are expressed in the units used in the original papers (normally ppm or mg/m³), but with a conversion to the other unit in brackets. As the NCO group has been identified as playing an important role in the hazardous effects, the corresponding NCO concentrations have also been calculated, making it easier to compare effects of different diisocyanates, especially as regards studies on respiratory sensitisation. For conversion factors and calculation formulas, see Table 1 and Appendix 1.

7.1 Toxicokinetics

7.1.1 Human data

Absorption

Exposure to 25, 50 or 70 μ g/m³ TDI (2,6-TDI:2,4-TDI mixture 70:30) (0.0035, 0.007, 0.010 ppm, corresponding to 0.012, 0.024, 0.034 mg/m³ NCO) for four hours in an exposure chamber, showed excretion of 2,6-TDA and 2,4-TDA in hydrolysed plasma samples of two male volunteers. 2,6-TDA was detected at the two highest dose levels and 2,4-TDA only at the highest dose (Skarping et al., 1991).

Three volunteers inhaled HDI in an exposure chamber (11.9. 20.5 or 22.1 μ g/m³, respectively; 0.0017, 0.0030, 0.0032 ppm, corresponding to 0.0059, 0.010, 0.011 mg/m³ NCO) 2 h/day every second day during one week after which hydrolysed plasma samples were analysed. No metabolites of HAD were detected (Tinnerberg et al., 1995).

Distribution

As reported in DECOS (2018), TDI is primarily bound to albumin in plasma of exposed workers. In addition, there have been observations of binding to macromolecules in the blood and to haemoglobin. Furthermore, according to DECOS (2018), HDI can bind to keratin-18 in the bronchial epithelium and to albumin in the fluid that lines the airway epithelium.

Metabolism

Diisocyanates are reactive molecules (due to the NCO group) which easily form adducts with nucleophilic biological macromolecules, specifically albumin or haemoglobin. Also glutathione conjugates are considered relevant. The reaction products can be found in high concentrations at the site of entry and the distribution into the body may continue for longer times. In urine, the corresponding amines can be detected. (ATSDR, 2018, Montelius, 2001, OEHHA, 2019).

Excretion

In the study of Brorson et al. (1991) (see above), TDA was found in hydrolysed urine samples of exposed persons, estimated to represent 17-23% of the inhaled 2,6-TDI dose and 14-19% of the 2,4-TDI dose.

Urinary levels of 2,4-TDA and 2,6-TDA, estimated to represent 8-14% of the inhaled 2,4-TDI dose and with 14-18% of the 2,6-TDI dose, were observed when analysing hydrolysed urine samples (representing the first 24-28 h of excretion) of five men who had been exposed to a TDI mixture (52:48 2,6-TDI:2,4-TDI) at concentrations of 36-43 μ g/m³ (0.0051-0.0060 ppm, corresponding to 0.017-0.021 mg/m³ NCO) for 7.5 hours (Skarping et al., 1991).

In workers chronically exposed to 2,4-TDI and 2,6-TDI (0.4-4 μ g/m³ (0.000056-0.00056 ppm, corresponding to 0.00019-0.0019 mg/m³ NCO), the plasma elimination rate was estimated to be 21 days on average (Lind et al., 1996).

HAD metabolites were analysed from urine samples of the exposed volunteers in the study by Tinnerberg et al (1995). The average urinary excretion was 39%, but the individual variation was notable, ranging from 9% to 94%. The average half-time for excretion was 2.5 h.

The average urine excretion of HDA was 16% of the estimated inhaled dose, when five volunteers had been exposed to 25 μ g/m³ (0.0036 ppm, corresponding to 0.12 mg/m³ NCO) of HDI for 7.5 hours. >90% of the urinary excretion occurred within 4 hours after exposure and the average half-time for excretion was 1.1-1.4 h (Brorson et al., 1990).

Controlled exposure of workers for 120 minutes in exposure chambers at 0.5-30 ppb of TDI, MDI, HDI, NDI or IPDI was performed by Budnik et al. (2011). The number of exposed persons varied between three (1,5-NDI) and 55 (1,6-GDI). The study subjects were divided

into two groups and the calculated isocyanate load was 496 \pm 103 ppb-min for the low dose group and 1569 \pm 420 ppb-min for the high-dose group. Urinary excretion of metabolites (isocyanate-diamines) was measured in samples collected during 24 hours. The estimated excretion half-times were calculated as 2.5 h for HAD (peak level in urine at 2 h after exposure) and 6 h for TDA (2,4-TDA peak at 4.1 h and 2,6-TDA at 4.8 h). Urinary excretion of 4,4'-MDA and IPDA peaked at 14 h and 5.6 h after exposure, respectively. A complete elimination of 4,4'-MDA or IPDA was not detected within the 24 h sampling period. In the case of 4,4'-MDA and IPDA, higher urinary peaks were observed in the high-dose group compared to the results of the low-dose group. Such an effect was not observed for 2,4-TDA or 2,6-TDA.

7.1.2 Animal data

Absorption

The information on absorption upon oral administration is limited. At least 12% of the 2,4-TDI-dose (60 mg/kg bw) was reported to be absorbed following oral gavage in rats (Timchalk et al., 1994). It was discussed that most likely, the absorbed radioactive substance was the corresponding amine (2,4-TDA) and not TDI.

Significant absorption of inhaled diisocyanates has been shown in the nasal and alveolar region of experimental animals. In rats, the absorption of inhaled TDI (2 ppm; 14.2 mg/m³, corresponding to 6,8 mg/m³ NCO) has been estimated to be 61-90% (Timchalk et al., 1994) and that of MDI (2 mg/m³) 32% (Gledhill et al., 2005). The nasal uptake of HDI in rats was reported to be >90% (Schroeter et al., 2013). A linear uptake of TDI was observed in guinea pigs exposed by inhalation to doses of 0.0005 ppm to 0.146 ppm (0.0036-1.0 mg/m³), corresponding to 0.0017-0,48 mg/m³ NCO) (Kennedy et al., 1989).

Dermal absorption of TDI in male rats was presented in the studies by Yeh et al. (2008) and Hoffman et al. (2010). The absorbed fraction was estimated to be <1% when the applied dose was 350 mg/kg bw (Hoffmann et al., 2010).

Similarly, the absorbed amounts were estimated to be low (0.21-0.88%, 8-120 h after exposure) upon topical application of MDI (15 or 165 mg/kg bw) on rat skin (Hoffmann et al., 2010). In contrast, 29-30% of the dermally administered MDI dose was recovered in the faeces of female rats 48 h after treatment (~30 mg/kg bw) (Vock and Lutz, 1997). Unintentional oral exposure cannot be excluded in the study by Vock and Lutz (1997).

Distribution

Oral administration of a single dose of radiolabelled TDI to male rats showed a high proportion of the dose in the gastrointestinal tract 2 and 48 h after exposure. Low radioactivity levels were also seen in the skin, lung, liver and kidney (Timchalk et al., 1994).

Inhalation of 2 ppm (14.2 mg/m³) radiolabelled 2,4-TDI (corresponding to 6.8 mg/m³ NCO) for 4 h resulted in distribution to the carcass, skin, gastrointestinal tract and gastrointestinal contents of the exposed male rats directly after exposure. 48 h later, the highest recovered dose was observed in the gastrointestinal contents (17%) (Timchalk et al., 1994).

Another study (Kennedy et al., 1994), showed highest absolute doses in the trachea and lung, followed by the oesophagus and stomach, and the systemic circulation (blood, liver, kidney, spleen and heart) of rats exposed to 0.026-0.821 ppm (0.19-5.8 mg/m³) 2,4-TDI corresponding to 0.092-2.8 mg/m³ NCO) by inhalation for 4 h. Similarly, in guinea pigs exposed to 0.00005-0.146 ppm (0.0036-1.0 mg/m³) 2,4-TDI (corresponding to 0.0017-0.48 mg/m³ NCO) for 1, 4 or 5 h, the highest levels were detected in the trachea and lung, followed by the kidney, heart, spleen and liver (Kennedy et al., 1989). A gradual decline in TDI in the blood of guinea pigs exposed by inhalation to 0.004-0.336 ppm (0.028-2.4 mg/m³) of radiolabelled 2,4-TDI (corresponding to 0.014-1,2 mg/m³ NCO) was reported. Radioactivity was observed in the blood 72 h after exposure, and persisted at that level for

a week, indicating saturation of the molecules to which TDI was bound. In the study by Kennedy et al. (1994), the vast majority of the radioactivity was related to binding with large proteins, most likely albumin.

Haemoglobin adducts of TDI were observed in the blood of guinea pigs exposed by inhalation 3 h/day, 5 days, 1 ppm (7.12 mg/m³), corresponding to 3,4 mg/m³ NCO), demonstrating the transport from the lungs to blood (Day et al., 1996).

Exposure of male rats to 2 mg/m³ (0.20 ppm) of radiolabelled 4,4'-MDI (corresponding to 0.67 mg/m³ NCO) for 6 h resulted in distribution to several tissues, the concentrations being highest in the respiratory and gastrointestinal tract (Gledhill et al., 2005). The authors were not able to exclude the possibility of additional exposure by the oral route during the study.

Very low concentrations (max 0.52% of the applied dose) were detected in the carcasses of male rats after single dermal application of radiolabelled 2,4-TDI (330 mg/kg bw). No radioactivity was detected in the tissues when radiolabelled 4,4'-MDI was used (8, 24 or 120 h after the exposure) (Hoffmann et al., 2010).

Less than 1% of the applied radioactivity was detected in total in the lungs, liver, kidney and muscles of female rats upon topical application of radiolabelled 4,4'-MDI for 24 h (11-15 mg/kg bw) or 48 h (29-30 mg/kg bw) (Vock and Lutz, 1997).

Metabolism

Diisocyanates are readily hydrolysed in the acidic environment of the gastrointestinal tract to the corresponding amines, which may then be metabolized further. Timchalk et al.(1994) proposed that orally administered TDI is first hydrolysed to TDA, which is then acetylated, conjugated or forming aminophenolic or aminobenzoic acid compounds. In addition, TDA may also form polyuria polymers upon reaction with unhydrolysed TDI. Kennedy et al. (1994) reported conjugation to macromolecules for 95% of the TDI in the plasma of orally exposed rats. Under neutral pH conditions, like in the mouth, TDI is expected to form polyurea polymers when reacting with other TDI molecules, and not to hydrolyse (Sielken et al., 2012).

Inhalation of diisocyanates is mainly expected to result in conjugation reactions. Inhaled diisocyanates may deposit in the lungs and react with glutathione, and the formed conjugates may then be absorbed and detected in the blood as albumin or haemoglobin adducts (ATSDR, 2018, DFG, 2008). Acetylated TDA represented only 10% of the metabolites detected in rats after inhalation of TDI. No free TDA was found in the urine (Timchalk et al., 1994).

A decrease in CYP2B1 mRNA and protein levels was observed in the lungs of rats exposed to TDI (80:20 mixture 2,4-TDI:2,6-TDI) by inhalation (Pons et al., 2000). No effects on CYP1A1, CYP2E1, CYP3A1 or glutathione-S-transferase were observed.

N-acetylated and N-acetylated hydroxylated MDI-metabolites were identified in the urine, faeces and bile of male rats after inhalation exposure to MDI (Gledhill et al., 2005). Free MDA was not detected. Mixed polyureas formed in spontaneous reactions of MDI were the primary products detected in the faeces.

Excretion

Diisocyanates are mainly excreted in the faeces (DECOS, 2018, Montelius, 2001, IARC, 1999). Orally administered radiolabelled TDI was reported to be eliminated primarily (81%) in the faeces of exposed rats. Small amounts (8%) were also detected in the urine (Timchalk et al., 1994). Biliary excretion of TDI was suggested as significant amounts of radioactivity were observed in the gastrointestinal contents.

Exposure to TDI by inhalation seems to result in a smaller proportion excreted in the faeces (47%, 48 h after administration) compared to oral exposure (Timchalk et al., 1994).

Less than 1% of the dermally applied dose (330 mg/kg bw) of radiolabelled TDI was detected in the urine of rats after up to 8 h of exposure. No radioactivity was detected in

the faeces (Hoffmann et al., 2010). The half-life for urinary elimination of 2,4-TDA and 2,6-TDA in male rats after topical application was reported as 18.4-26.6 h. Urine samples were collected at 12-h intervals during 6 days. The maximum concentration in the urine was reached in the first 12-h interval (Yeh et al., 2008).

Very low levels of radioactivity were detected in the faeces and urine of male rats 8, 24 or 120 h after dermal exposure (8 h) to 4,4'-MDI (Hoffmann et al., 2010). Another study (Vock and Lutz, 1997), however, reported detection of 29-30% of the radioactivity in the faeces during 48 h dermal exposure to 4,4'-MDI. The recovery in the urine was <1%. No measures were taken to prevent oral exposure (e.g. via grooming).

7.1.3 In vitro data

No relevant data available.

7.1.4 Biological monitoring

For human biomonitoring, diisocyanate metabolites (diamines) can be measured in urine (for instance, MDA for biomonitoring of MDI, and similarly TDA for TDI). After the hydrolysis of urine, the released amines can be analyzed using different methods.

The elimination half lives of the derived diamines in urine are relatively short (2-5 h), which means that the urine samples need to be collected at the end of the exposure and results mostly reflect the exposure of the data collection (Cocker, 2011).

Further information on this topic, including relationships found between airborne and urine concentrations, is available in section 6.2.

7.1.5 Summary

Diisocyanates are reactive molecules which easily form adducts with nucleophilic biological macromolecules, specifically albumin or haemoglobin. Also glutathione conjugates are considered relevant. The reaction products can be found in high concentrations at the site of entry and the distribution into the body may continue for longer times. The corresponding amines have been detected in human and animal urine samples after exposure to different diisocyanates, mainly by the inhalation route.

7.2 Acute effects

7.2.1 Human data

Acute human exposure to diisocyanates is in particular related to irritation and sensitisation, as reported in sections 7.4.1. and 7.5.1. Some rare cases of human fatalities attributed to TDI-induced chemical pneumonitis have been published, but there was no information on exposure (NRC, 2004).

7.2.2 Animal data

Acute oral toxicity

Low to moderate acute toxicity has been observed following oral exposure. Oral LD50 values are summarised in the Table 21.

Substance	Oral LD ₅₀ (mg/kg bw)	References			
TDI	>2000	(ECHA, 2019)			
Mixture of 2,4-TDI and 2,6 TDI (80:20)	5110 (M), 5620 (F)	(NTP, 1986)			
TDI (mixed isomers)	5840	(ECHA, 2019)			
HDI	959	(ECHA, 2019)			
HDI	746-959	(OECD, 2001)			
MDI	>2000	(ECHA, 2019)			
MDI	>7616	(ECHA, 2019)			
MDI	>10000	(ECHA, 2019)			
NDI	>5000	(ECHA, 2019)			
IPDI	4814	(ECHA, 2019)			
IPDI	5490	(ECHA, 2019)			

Table 21: Oral LD50 values

Acute dermal toxicity

Exposure to diisocyanates via the dermal route has not been shown to result in deaths of test animals (see Table 22)

Table	22	Dermal	LD50	values
-------	----	--------	------	--------

Substance	Dermal LD₅₀ (mg/kg bw)	References
TDI	>9400	(ECHA, 2019)
HDI	>7000	(ECHA, 2019)
Polymethylene Polyphenylisocyanate (PAPI)	>9400	(ECHA, 2019)
IPDI	>7000	(ECHA, 2019)

Acute inhalation toxicity

Acute exposure to diisocyanates by inhalation can cause pulmonary haemorrhage, emphysema, pneumonia and death. At lower doses, the symptoms include mouthbreathing, lacrimation, salivation and restlessness. (ATSDR, 2018, OEHHA, 2019, Montelius, 2001).

Concentration-dependent signs of respiratory distress, including irregular and laboured breathing, reduced breathing rate and red encrusted nostrils, were reported in rats exposed to PMDI for six hours (doses 0.7-20 mg/m³) (Pauluhn, 2000a). Acute exposure of rats to PMDI at 15.8 and 38.7 mg/m³ during 150 minutes resulted in an increase in respiratory rate (Pauluhn et al., 1999).

In a 4-hour inhalation study with HDI monomer vapour or HDI isocyanurate prepolymer aerosol in rats, the main findings included nasal discharge, laboured respiration and spasms of the eyelid muscles. The HDI monomer showed a higher potency and longer-lasting signs of respiratory distress than the aerosol (Pauluhn, 2000b). Lee et al. (2003) compared the effects of HDI monomer vapour (3 h; 1 or 10 mg/m³) with HDI biuret aerosol (5 h; 1 or 10 mg/m³) in mice. The HDI monomer vapour seemed to react in the upper airways, showing no lung pathology or influx of macrophages or neutrophils. The HDI biuret, on the other hand, seemed to deposit in alveolar ducts and terminal bronchioles.

LC50 values are summarised in Table 23.

Substance	Inhalation LC ₅₀	References
TDI	13.9 ppm (99 mg/m ³)	(Duncan et al., 1962)
TDI	66 ppm (470 mg/m ³)	(ECHA, 2019)
Mixture of 2,4-TDI and 2,6 TDI (80:20)	66 ppm (470 mg/m ³)	(ECHA, 2019)
HDI (monomer)	124 mg/m3 (18 ppm)	(Pauluhn, 2000b)
HDI (isocyanurate)	462 mg/m3	(Pauluhn, 2000b)
MDI	490 mg/m3 (48 ppm)	(ECHA, 2019)
MDI	415 mg/m3 (41 ppm)	(ECHA, 2019)
NDI	270 mg/m3 (31 ppm)	(ECHA, 2019)
IPDI	40 mg/m3 (4.4 ppm)	(ECHA, 2019)
IPDI	31 mg/m3 (3.4 ppm)	(ECHA, 2019)

Table 23 Inhalation LC50 values (rats)

7.2.3 In vitro data

No relevant data available.

7.2.4 Summary

The main diisocyanate-induced acute effects reported are related to symptoms occurring after exposure by inhalation. Diisocyanates can cause pulmonary haemorrhage, emphysema, pneumonia and death. Some of the diisocyanates have a harmonised classification as Acute Tox 2, 3 or 4 (see Section 2).

7.3 Specific target organ toxicity / Repeated dose toxicity

7.3.1 Human data

Case reports and case series have been published concerning suspected central and peripheral nerve toxicity following heavy exposure to TDI efor example during a fire at a polyurethane foam factory (Axford et al., 1976, Le Quesne et al., 1976) or dockworkers exposed to liquid TDI from a punctured storage drum (Singer and Scott, 1987).

Hughes et al. (2014) recently evaluated the available data on the neurotoxicity of diisocyanates to determine whether a causal association could be established between diisocyanate exposure (the studies involved exposure to TDI, MDI, HDI, or unspecified diisocyanates) and neurotoxicity. Using the Hill criteria for causality, Hughes et al. (2014) concluded that there was limited evidence for strength of association and consistency, and the data were inadequate to establish a causal association between diisocyanates and neurotoxicity. The investigators noted several limitations of the studies included in their systematic review such as limited exposure information (including the lack of objective exposure measures and no dose-response assessment), co-exposure to known neurotoxicants, and lack of objective measures of neurotoxicity. Additionally, they noted that no plausible mechanisms of toxicity were identified.

7.3.2 Animal data

Oral studies

Repeated dose effects were studied in rats (0, 7 (only in the first study group), 15, 30, 60, 120, 240mg/kg bw; mice (first study group 0, 6, 12, 25, 50, 100 mg/kg bw, and second group 0, 15, 30, 60 and 120 mg/kg bw) exposed to TDI by oral gavage during 13 weeks. The exposure resulted in mucoid bronchopneumonia in male and female rats at 240 mg/kg

bw. In male rats a depressed mean body weight was seen at 120 and 240 mg/kg bw. 2/10 female mice of the 240 mg/kg bw dose group and one of the 120 mg/kg bw group died as a result of exposure. No effects were seen in male mice. (NTP, 1986)

Inhalation studies

No studies indicating systemic effects in specific target organs were found. The upper airways are the target organ for local effects upon inhalation exposure, which has been shown in several studies performed with different diisocyanates. The effects seem to be more related to concentration than to duration of exposure. (ATSDR, 2018, DECOS, 2018).

Focal inflammatory lesions and accumulation of foreign material in alveolar macrophages were observed in rats exposed to PMDI (3.3 and 13.7 mg/m³) 6 h/day during two weeks. At 3.3 mg/m³, the respiratory rate increased slightly, whereas at 13.7 mg/m³ serious nasal discharge, laboured breathing, breathlessness and an increase in inflammatory cells in BAL fluid was observed. (Pauluhn et al., 1999)

Three-week exposure of rats with monomeric HDI (0.03, 0.12, 1.03 mg/m³ (0.004, 0.017, 0.15 ppm); corresponding to (0.015, 0.06, 0.51 mg/m³ NCO); 5 h/day, 5 days/week) resulted in lesions (squamous metaplasia, epithelial hyperplasia and globlet cell hyperplasia) in different nasal regions even at the lowest dose. These effects were considered reversible. Chronic inflammation was observed at the two highest doses and degeneration of the olfactory epithelium occurred at the highest dose. The changes in the olfactory epithelium persisted two weeks after exposure and were regarded as critical, adverse effects. (Shiotsuka et al., 2006)

Whole-body exposure of rats to HDI monomer vapour for 13 weeks (6 h/day, 5 days/week; 0.07, 0.3, 0.96 mg/m³, (0.010, 0.043, 0.14 ppm; corresponding to 0.035, 0.15, 0.48 mg/m³ NCO), resulted in nasal lesions, including hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia and mucous cell hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium, and infiltration of inflammatory cells mainly in subepithelial tissues. Effects were seen at all dose levels, but were minor at the lowest dose. Degenerative changes in olfactory epithelium were observed only in two male rats at the highest dose. No effects were observed in the larynx, trachea or lungs. (OECD, 2001, OEHHA, 2019)

Also in a chronic study where rats were exposed to monomeric HDI at concentrations up to 1.13 mg/m³ (0.16 ppm, corresponding to 0.56 mg/m³ NCO) for two years, the nasal cavity was the principal target organ, with chronic inflammation and olfactory epithelium degeneration reported as the main findings (Shiotsuka et al., 2010).

Adverse effects in the respiratory system were observed in rats exposed three weeks to HDI isocyanurate or biuret (3, 15, 75 mg/m³, 6h/day, 5 days/week). Histopathological findings covered focal hyperplasia in the larynx and trachea. Inflammation, fibrosis, septum thickening and an increase in alveolar macrophages in the bronchioalveolar region were also observed. The findings occurred at the highest dose level in the groups exposed to HDI isocyanurate or biuret, and to a lesser extent at the mid-dose level of the HDI biuret group. (Pauluhn and Mohr, 2001). A sub-chronic (13-week) study was performed with rats exposed to HDI isocyanurate or biuret (0.4, 3, 25 mg/m³, 6h/day, 5 days/week) (Pauluhn and Mohr, 2001). At the highest dose-level, both tested chemicals caused bronchioalveolar lesions (increase in alveolar macrophages, thickening of septa, fibrosis and bronchioalveolar proliferation). No changes in lung functions were observed.

7.3.3 In vitro data

No relevant data available.

7.3.4 Summary

A few studies indicate a potential for neurotoxic effects in humans exposed to diisocyanates. The data are however inadequate to establish a causal association between

diisocyanates and neurotoxicity. No indications of neurotoxicity have been observed in animal studies.

The main specific target organ effects reported in several animal studies are varying levels of lesions in the respiratory tract occurring upon inhalation of diisocyanates. Minor, reversible, nasal lesions have been observed already at low doses ($0.03-0.07 \text{ mg/m}^3$; corresponding to $0.004-0.01 \text{ mg/m}^3$ NCO), repeated exposure three or thirteen weeks) and at higher concentrations, the lesions were more severe.

7.4 Irritancy and corrosivity

7.4.1 Human data

In humans, exposure to (di)isocyanates can result in irritation of the skin, mucous membranes, eyes, and respiratory tract. The IPCS (1987) concluded from their review of volunteer studies that short term exposure to TDI causes eye and nose irritation with a threshold of 0.35 - 0.92 mg/m³ (0.17-0.44 mg/m³ NCO) with skin irritation generally arising at higher concentrations. As there is clear evidence that respiratory sensitisation occurs at much lower exposure levels, these effects are not further discussed in this report.

7.4.2 Animal data

Skin irritation

MDI caused skin irritations in acute dermal irritation/corrosion guideline tests performed in rabbits. In addition, the results obtained with TDI, HDI and IPDI indicate that the substances are corrosive. NDI did not cause skin irritation. (ECHA, 2019)

Eye irritation

Application of TDI, HDI and IPDI to the eyes of rabbits resulted in serious eye irritation. MDI was slightly irritating, whereas NDI did not cause eye irritation. (ECHA, 2019)

Respiratory irritation

Inhalation of TDI has been shown to cause histological alterations (inflammation, hyperplasia, degeneration, ulceration and metaplasia) in the nasal cavity, trachea and lungs of the exposed animals (Arts et al., 2008, Buckley et al., 1984, Johnson et al., 2007, Loeser, 1983, Matheson et al., 2005, Sangha and Alarie, 1979, Zissu, 1995, Gordon et al., 1985, Wong et al., 1985). A NOAEL of 0.031 ppm (0.22 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.11 mg/m³ NCO) for histopathological nasal changes was identified in the study by Sangha and Alarie (1979).

For the 2,4-TDI isomer, RD₅₀ values (expressing 50% decrease in respiratory frequency) of 0.813, 0.498, 0.386, 0.249, 0.199 and 0.199 ppm (5.8, 3.5, 2.7, 1.8, 1.4 and 1.4 mg/m³, corresponding to 2.8, 1.7, 1.3, 0.87, 0.68 and 0.68 mg/m³ NCO) were obtained in mice following exposure durations of 10, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 minutes (Sangha and Alarie, 1979). The RD₅₀ value for the 2,6-isomer was 0.26 ppm (1.9 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.92 mg/m³ NCO) (180 minutes exposure) (Weyel et al., 1982). Additional studies presented RD₅₀ values of 0.24 ppm (1.7 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.82 mg/m³ NCO) (Barrow et al., 1978), 0.39 ppm (2.8 mg/m³, corresponding to 1.4 mg/m³ NCO) (de Ceaurriz et al., 1981) and 0.67 ppm (4.8 mg/m³, corresponding to 2.3 mg/m³ NCO) (Schaper, 1993).

In the study of Weyel and Schaffer (1985), an RD_{50} value of 32 mg/m³ (3.1 ppm) was obtained when mice were exposed to 4,4'-MDI for 4 hours (corresponding to 11 mg/m³ NCO). At 7 mg/m³ (0.68 ppm, corresponding to 2.3 mg/m³ NCO) an increased respiratory rate followed by a gradually declining respiratory rate was observed, indicating that the substance caused pulmonary irritation rather than sensory irritation. The RD₅₀ values for HDI monomer were determined in mice as 0.35 ppm (2.4 mg/m³, corresponding to 1.2 mg/m³ NCO) at 60 minutes and 0.17 ppm (1.2 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.60 mg/m³ NCO) at 180 minutes (Sangha et al., 1981).

In a three-week rat study, the animals were exposed to monomeric HDI 5 h/day. Sneezing was observed at the first study week among animals of the high-dose group (0.300 ppm; 2.06 mg/m³, corresponding to 1.0 mg/m³ NCO) and at the second week in rats exposed to 0.150 ppm (1.03 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.51 mg/m³ NCO). (OECD, 2001, OEHHA, 2019)

An immediate decrease in minute volume occurred in rats exposed to HDI monomer 30 minutes at 4 mg/m³ (0.58 ppm, corresponding to 2.0 mg/m³ NCO) or higher doses, showing a clear dose-response. The maximum depression of minute volume was calculated to occur at exposure levels higher than 70 mg/m³ (Pauluhn, 2015).

In the study by Pauluhn (2004) the effects of different diisocyanates on lung weight and total protein and lactate dehydrogenase in the BALF was measured and interpreted as indicators of pulmonary irritation. The results did not show a clear correlation between the NOAECs and the free isocyanate moieties, and the author concluded that the content of free NCO seems to be a poor predictor of the potency to cause pulmonary irritation.

7.4.3 In vitro data

No relevant data available.

7.4.4 Summary

Diisocyanates are well-known to cause skin and eye irritation, and have harmonised classifications as skin and eye irritating substances. Some diisocyanates (TDI, HDI and IPDI) are also corrosive. Diisocyanates are also causing respiratory irritation, and studies investigating RD_{50} values have been published. A NOAEL of 0.031 ppm (0.22 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.015 ppm/0.11 mg/m³ as NCO) has been reported for nasal effects of TDI.

7.5 Sensitisation

7.5.1 Human data

The most common adverse health effect of diisocyanate exposure is respiratory allergy of which asthma is the most disabling effect. Less prevalent are other forms of sensitisation, like allergic contact dermatitis. The below paragraphs concentrate on describing human data concerning asthma and its relation to peak, cumulative and average inhalation exposure, dermal exposure as well as timing of exposure. The most relevant studies and findings are then summarised together with animal data in Section 7.5.3.

When interpreting the human data on diisocyanate-induced asthma it is important to note that methods to assess both the exposure and the outcome have developed over the time and consequently it is not straightforward to compare older and more recent studies.

Isocyanates may be in the form of vapours or aerosols, they are highly reactive and therefore very unstable. Even in the same air sample several different chemical species may be present. Also there has been a trend in the industry to reduce the content of free monomers in formulations and replace the monomers with prepolymers and polyisocyanates (Streicher et al., 2000). Most of the sampling and analytical methods address only diisocyanate monomers and quantification of polyisocyanates (oligomers) is much more complex (Bello et al., 2004). Studies using such methods would not give comparable information of the overall exposure in current and historical situations with different share of monomers vs prepolymers or polyisocyanates.

Asthma is characterised by a variable airway obstruction. The diagnosis is a clinical one, based on typical symptoms and evidence of variable airway obstruction. For the latter the diagnostic methods include peak expiratory flow monitoring, spirometry and measurement

of bronchial hyperresponsiveness. The latter diagnostic tool was not used in the studies before early 1990s.

The methods to attribute asthma to a given occupational exposure in epidemiological studies have also been variable. Instead of merely comparing occurrence of asthma by occurrence of exposure, studies may have included case definitions that contain some causality consideration at individual level, for example occurrence of work-related symptoms, monitoring serial peak expiratory flow including periods at and off work or indicators of specific sensitization.

Occupational asthma as a recognised occupational disease on the other hand is based on national compensation criteria which typically include rules concerning the asthma diagnosis itself, evidence of causality between the factor at work as well as a requirement of a certain level of severity of disease. Data from such systems is briefly discussed, but not considered useful for risk assessment purposed for various reasons.

7.5.1.1 Respiratory sensitisation

Case reports and case studies

ECHA (2018a) summarised 86 human case reports or case studies that had been published between 1955 and 2013. These reports primarily provide overwhelming proof that humans exposed to diisocyanates may suffer from a broad spectrum of respiratory effects including asthma and pathological remodelling of the airways. Also a number of fatal cases have been reported, albeit not in recent years. On the other hand very few of these studies include reliable exposure (let alone exposure-response) information and they feature only a small number of patients. For all of these reasons, these reports are therefore principally unsuited for use in quantitative risk assessment.

Some of the case reports describe OA cases also in non-industrial occupations like among hospital personnel applying orthopaedic plaster casts containing diisocyanates (Sommer et al., 2000, Donnelly et al., 2004). More recently, Suojalehto et al. (2011) reported two such OA cases in nurses, confirmed with a placebo controlled inhalation chamber challenge test with MDI. Based on a casting simulation with 15 minute sampling, exposures were estimated for breathing zone ($0.11 \ \mu g \ NCO/m^3$), near casting spot ($0.55 \ \mu g \ NCO/m^3$) and removing plaster cast, near sawing spot ($2.5 \ \mu g \ NCO/m^3$). During actual hospital work the exposures were lower due to the 60 minute sampling period including phases without casting work. No estimates of past peak exposures were given and the total NCO, instead of specific isocyanate types, was measured.

Epidemiological studies

This paragraph as well as the summary description of the epidemiological studies in Appendix 3 is based on the work reported by ECHA (2018a). However, some details from the original studies have been added that are relevant for exposure-response considerations. Also, some studies published only more recently have been added.

As the NCO group is considered to be responsible for the sensitising properties of isocyanates, several different diisocyanates (and sometimes also their oligomers) are presented together (see also Bello et al. (2004)). In case an eligible study for dose-response assessment would be found, transfer of this to the whole group of diisocyanates could be considered.

The tables in Appendix 3 comprise three reviews on TDI from the early 2000s (Diller, 2002, Ott, 2002a, Ott et al., 2003b), one more recently (Daniels, 2018), two case-control studies on asthma due to TDI, MDI or HDI (Meredith et al., 2000, Tarlo et al., 1997) and many longitudinal as well as cross sectional studies. The longitudinal studies are of different length, ranging from 1 year to 19 years (Cassidy et al., 2010). Most of the studies were performed with workers exposed to TDI.

In what follows, the most relevant studies are described. The studies are grouped in reviews, longitudinal, case-control and cross-sectional studies. The focus is on studies that may provide quantitative information on exposure and exposure-response relationships. Also human voluntary studies and data from occupational disease statistics are briefly described.

Reviews

In the early 2000s, three reviews on respiratory effects due to TDI were published (Diller (2002), Ott (2002) and Ott et al (2003)). Diller (2002) reviewed studies on occupational asthma (OA) due to TDI to calculate prevalence and incidence of TDI-induced asthma. The author states that the reviewed studies are heterogeneous (regarding population, validity of diagnosis of TDI asthma, industry, exposure levels), of limited validity, and difficult to interpret.

The prevalence of asthma due to TDI was estimated from ten cross-sectional studies conducted in TDI manufacturing, foam production, applications of varnish or paint, and other uses. The studies included 788 individuals and covered the 38-year period from 1954 to 1992. The reported prevalence of OA in the exposed populations varied widely (from 0 to 85 %) and ranged from 0 to 10 % since the late 1980s at workplaces with mean TDI exposure levels < 15 ppb (108 μ g TDI/m³, 52 μ g NCO/m³) and was higher in workplaces with higher exposures. Later reviews have reported a prevalence of work-related allergic respiratory disorders due to TDI was estimated to be 1-10 % and prevalence due to MDI 13-27 % by the Health Council of the Netherlands (Gezondheidsraad, 2008). In a study by Pronk et al prevalence of bronchial hyperreactivity was as high as 20 % in spray painters, who were mainly exposed to HDI oligomers (Pronk et al., 2009).

It should be noted that cross-sectional studies are likely to look at survivor populations and therefore disease frequency may be underestimated.

Incidence of OA due to TDI was estimated by Diller (2002) from nine longitudinal studies conducted in TDI manufacturing, research and development, and flexible foam production. The studies included 2751 workers under risk and cover the 38-year period from 1954 to 1992. Annual incidence of TDI asthma has been up to more than 5 % before 1980 and was reported to be between 0 and 0.7 % thereafter. The downward trend is attributed to a downward trend in TDI exposure. The review reports sparse and mostly qualitative information on the exposure levels and the incidence of TDI-induced asthma is not discussed with regard to particular exposure levels.

The reviews of Ott (2002) and Ott et al (2003) however focus on exposure-response relationships (Ott, 2002b, Ott et al., 2003a). Error! Reference source not found. Appendix 3 gives an overview of the exposure levels and the incidence of OA in the studies reviewed by Ott (2002). It shows that annual OA incidence rates were reported as 5-6 % in earlier times (1950s-1970s) both in TDI manufacture and in TDI using industries and that incidence declined to < 1 % with reduction of TDI concentrations to < 5 ppb (= 36 µg TDI/m³, 17 μ g NCO/m³) (8 h personal samples). The second review by Ott *et al* (2003) also reports annual asthma incidences between 0.7 to 1.1 % from four newer studies (1970s to 1990s) with TWA concentrations mostly < 5 ppb (= 36 μ g TDI/m³, 17 μ g NCO/m³). However, short-term TDI concentrations were > 20 ppb (= $145 \ \mu g \ TDI/m^3$, 70 μ g NCO/m³) and occasionally > 80 ppb (= 570 μ g TDI/m³, 275 μ g NCO/m³). The author of the two reviews assumes that the majority of asthma cases may arise from TDI shortterm concentrations > 20 ppb (= $145 \ \mu g \ TDI/m^3$, 70 $\mu g \ NCO/m^3$). For example, in one of the longest studies in a TDI manufacturing facility, 7 of 19 cases had reported previous incidents of exposure to TDI, 2 of them related to rashes that had developed while handling TDI or waste products containing TDI (Ott et al., 2000a). Likewise, in a cross-sectional study in a urethane mould plant designed to minimise exposure to MDI and where continuous monitoring of MDI area levels showed concentrations below 5 ppb (= 51 μ g MDI/m³, 17 μ g NCO/m³), asthma cases were considered to be due to intermittent higher than normal exposures to MDI during non-routine working activities (Bernstein et al., 1993b). However, when trying to establish a threshold or exposure-response relationship

60

for sensitisation, one has to keep in mind that very high exposure concentrations, for example during accidental spills, might also lead to irritant induced asthma (Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome, RADS).

More recently, Daniels (2018) reviewed studies on occupational asthma risk from exposure to TDI, performed a meta-regression analysis of the suitable studies, calculated BMD₀₁ and BMDL₀₁ values, and applied a low dose extrapolation to calculate a risk-based OEL corresponding to a 45 year working life extra risk of 1/1000. Studies judged suitable for dose-response analyses were those reporting data sufficient to estimate three key variables for dose-response modelling: i) the number of potential OA incidence cases; ii) the average TDI airborne exposure level over the observation period; and iii) the number of person-years at risk. Data sources were limited to study populations exposed to average TDI concentrations below 20 ppb. Data on eight TDI-exposed populations were suitable for analysis. There were 118 OA cases in a population contributing 13 590 person-years. The quadratic model showed the best fit resulting in a BMDL₀₁ of 4.32 ppb of TDI. Given the severity of disease and in the absence of specific information on human toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics an uncertainty factor of 10 was applied resulting in an OEL of 0.4 ppb. Also a low-dose extrapolation using either linear no threshold (LNT) or quadratic rate function was performed to estimate an OEL corresponding to a working lifetime extra risk of 1/1000. The guadratic model had the best fit and resulted in an OEL of 0.3 ppb of TDI corresponding to 1/1000 extra risk. ECHA notes that the above ppb values of 0.4 and 0.3 for TDI would correspond to NCO concentrations of 1.4 and 1.0 µg NCO/m³. It is to be noted that with LNT rate function the exposure concentration corresponding to a 1/1000 excess was lower (0.018 ppb of TDI). An extra risk of 1/100 corresponded to an exposure of 1 ppb (quadratic rate function) or 0.2 ppb (linear rate function), i.e. 3.4 and 0.7 µg NCO/m³ respectively. The two dose-responses are presented in Table 24.

Average TDI exposure (ppb)	Extra risk (cases per 1000 persons)				
	Quadratic rate function	Linear rate function			
5	238	245			
1	10	55			
0.3	1	-			
0.1	<1	6			
0.02	-	1			
0.01	<1	<1			

Table 24: Excess risk of TDI-induced OA from continuous TDI exposure over a 45-yearworking lifetime (Daniels, 2018).

The role of peak exposures was not assessed. Moreover Daniels (2018) acknowledged that "Data on the appropriate exposure index for dose-response modelling are uncertain. It remains unclear whether TDI-induced asthma is a consequence of low cumulative exposure, exposure intensity, or some combination that also accounts for time ordering of intermittent exposure." and "For this study, it is assumed that the risk of TDI sensitization is related to average exposure, which may also be a correlate of peak exposures." The extra risk per average exposure was calculated per 1000 workers who are continuously exposed to that average level of TDI over a 45-year working lifetime. The case definition varied between the studies and was based either on work-related symptoms compatible with OA, a diagnosis by a physician or review of medical files.

It is to be noted that studies with exposure to HDI (e.g. Pronk et al. (2007) and Pronk et al. (2009) see below) were not included. Also the study of Collins et al. (2017) (see below) was not included as it was published after the literature search for the review was performed.

Longitudinal studies

Gui et al. (2014) published a study on health effects due to TDI which indicates that even keeping 8-h TWA below 5 ppb (= 36 μ g TDI/m³, 17 μ g NCO/m³) and peak exposures below 20 ppb (= 145 μ g TDI/m³, 70 μ g NCO/m³) may not prevent sensitisation, and dermal exposure may contribute to the induction of the effect. This inception cohort study was conducted in a newly built factory in Europe, which is reported to apply TDI-based stateof-the-art polyurethane foam production technology. Newly hired workers (n = 49) were evaluated pre-employment, after 6 months and after 12 months. Over the first year of employment, 7 workers (14 %) had findings that could indicate TDI-related health effects (new asthma symptoms: n = 3, TDI-specific Immunoglobin G (IgG): n = 1, new airflow obstruction: n = 1, decline in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) \geq 15 %: n =3). Yet more thorough medical evaluation, such as bronchodilator testing or serial peak flow monitoring at and off work was not possible and there were thus no clinically confirmed cases of OA. Baseline spirometry was available only for 49% or the workers and was done at a different site than the follow-up testing, thus hampering the possibility to detect a > b15% decline in FEV_1 at follow-up. Twelve workers (25 %) were lost to follow-up. Among these workers, current asthma symptoms were reported (at baseline or 6 months) in a significant higher percentage compared to those who completed the 12-month follow-up. Exposure to TDI measured by continuous fixed-point air sampling was below the LOD (0.1 ppb) in 90 % of the samples. The maximum recorded was 10.0 ppb (72 μ g TDI/m³, 34 μ g NCO/m³). No air sampling period exceeded an 8-h TWA of 5 ppb and peak exposures recorded were below 20 ppb. However, fixed area samples may underestimate personal exposures, especially those near the source when fulfilling cleaning or maintenance tasks. Personal sampling performed on seven workers showed TDI levels < LOD. Skin exposure probably has occurred, because TDI was detected in 27% of the surface samples taken on surfaces such as handrails and tables, which workers touch without gloves. In addition, 28 % of the workers reported potential skin contact and during site visits, unprotected hand contact with uncured or just cured foam was noted.

Cassidy et al. (2017) reported a surveillance study, for which the exposure assessment was published by Middendorf et al. (2017). Based on these, Collins et al. (2017) reported asthma incidence among 197 workers in US facilities producing TDI. The workers were followed from 2007 to 2012. New asthma cases were identified from the medical monitoring program by application of standardised annual medical assessment, including spirometry and questionnaires on symptoms and exposure. Workers could also report symptoms consistent with asthma at any time. If symptoms or spirometry indicated possible asthma, further medical evaluation was performed. TDI air concentrations and questionnaires were used to estimate exposure for different exposure groups. Seven cases were identified as consistent with TDI-induced asthma (0.009 per person-years). Two more cases were considered consistent with asthma but indeterminate regarding workrelatedness (total asthma incidence rate of 0.012 per person-years). Increased risk of cases consistent with TDI asthma was observed for cumulative exposure (OR = 2.08, CI 1.07-4.05, per unit increase in log ppb-years) and peak TDI exposures (OR = 1.18, 95%) CI 1.06-1.32, per unit increase in parts per billion). The ORs were adjusted for age. When comparing the predicted probability of being a TDI-induced asthma case by exposure the probability increased by 153% when cumulative exposure increased from 5 to 20 ppbyears (Table 25) while by estimated peak exposure it increased 962% from 5 to 20 ppm (Table 26). Further alternative outcome definitions included a FEV₁ decline of 350 ml or 10% or more in any 12-month period (which also triggered medical examinations) as well as respiratory symptoms qualifying for clinical examination for possible work-related asthma (also reported in Table 25 and Table 26).

Outcome	N	Cumulative exposure (ppb-years)			vears)
		5	10	15	20
TDI-induced asthma	7	0.053	0.085	0.111	0.134
TDI-induced or indeterminate asthma	9	0.061	0.081	0.096	0.107
FEV ₁ decline	19	0.147	0.177	0.198	0.213
Symptoms of work-related asthma	23	0.143	0.160	0.170	0.178

Table 25: Predicted probability for being a case for median age of 42 by cumulative exposure (Collins et al., 2017).

Table 26: Predicted probability for being a case for median age of 42 by estimated peak exposure (= Estimated highest 95th percentile for the worker's highest TWA potential exposure) (Collins et al., 2017).

Outcome	Ν		Peak expo		
		5	10	15	20
TDI-induced asthma	7	0.051	0.029	0.065	0.138
TDI-induced or indeterminate asthma	9	0.025	0.045	0.081	0.140
FEV ₁ decline	19	0.090	0.118	0.153	0.196
Symptoms of work-related asthma	23	0.109	0.132	0.159	0.190

Of the seven cases with findings consistent with TDI-induced asthma, four had less than 1 year of job tenure (range 1 to 7 months), one had worked for 2 years when beginning participation, and the other two had worked at the job for 7 and 8 years. Tenure at the time of an event that met criteria for further evaluation for asthma ranged from 3 months to 8 years. Two of the seven had less than 1 year tenure at the time of event and one less than 2 years. Of the two participants with more than 7 years of job tenure, one had a triggering event at the time of intake and the other 4 months from the start of the study. ECHA notes that these findings of relatively quick onset of symptoms after employment are similar to those observed by Meredith et al. (2000). However, the findings by Collins et al. (2017) are descriptive in nature without specific risk calculations regarding the time windows.

Collins et al. (2017) did not try discerning the effect of cumulative and peak exposure in their analyses. Both exposure metrics include some methodological uncertainty. Firstly, the peak exposures were not based on measurements but each worker was assigned a peak exposure value corresponding to the highest 95^{th} percentile 8h TWA of all the plant and task specific 8h TWAs that applied to that worker's task history. Middendorf et al. (2017) reported that in the overall data set collected for the short term high exposure potential tasks, the short term exposure measurement results ranged from below the LOQ of about 0.1 ppb to as high as 19 ppb ($65 \mu g NCO/m^3$), 200 ppb (1400 $\mu g NCO/m^3$) and 1726 ppb ($6000 \mu g NCO/m^3$) in the three plants, respectively. Collins and colleagues, however, did not use these measured data as they were not collected in sufficient number to allow estimating peak exposures at individual level. As the range of TWA percentile based peak exposure estimates was 0.01 to 19.2 ppb, it seems that these peak estimates may underestimate the real maximum peaks experienced by the workers. Secondly, as regards cumulative exposure it is to be noted that at the onset of the study the mean duration of job tenure was 11.8 years. However, the cumulative exposures used in the

study were calculated using the self-reported date of first TDI exposure for those about 25% participants who reported that date, but for the remaining 75% the exposure was assumed to commence only at the start of the study when the hire-date preceded the start of the study, or was assumed to begin at their hire date when this occurred after the start of the study. This convention of calculating the cumulative exposure fails to capture altogether the cumulative exposure that preceded the start of the study for those participants that did not self-report the start date of their exposure. Given that 75% of participants did not report such a date and as the mean job tenure at onset of the study was 11.8 years (compared to study duration of 5 years), this indicates potential for quite an important underestimation of the real cumulative exposures. Finally, according to Middendorf et al. (2017), no attempt was made to characterize dermal exposure and biomonitoring was considered but not included.

Case-control studies

The two case-control studies also indicate a dose-response relationship for OA. Meredith et al. (2000) conducted a case-control study on asthma in two UK companies. For company A, 27 OA cases were matched to 51 controls by sex and work area. In company B seven cases were identified and all non-cases (n = 12) served as controls, because matching was not possible (moving between work areas, few workers). Data from the two sites were analysed separately.

In company A, 24 cases were attributed to TDI (n = 22 in the manufacture of moulded and block flexible poly urethane foam, n = 2 in factories involved in flame bonding and surface coating of fabrics) and three cases were attributed to MDI (batch moulding of rigid PU components (vehicle roof liners) at 200 °C). Personal exposure measurements by job category, which were performed for a separate study (1979-1986), as well as data collected after 1986 by occupational hygiene consultants were used to estimate the 8-h TWA and peak exposure for each subject based on job title and date. Peak exposures were between 1 - 50 ppb (= 7 - 361 μ g TDI/m³, 3 – 174 μ g NCO/m³), and in 31 subjects (44%) peak exposure was > 20 ppb (= 145 μ g TDI/m³, 70 μ g NCO/m³). There was no difference between cases and controls in the means of estimated peak exposures. Mean 8-h TWA was 1.5 ppb (= 11 μ g TDI/m³, 5 μ g NCO/m³) for cases and 1.2 ppb (= 9 μ g TDI/m³, 4 μ g NCO/m³) for controls. With a conditional logistic regression analysis an odds ratio (OR) for exposure above the median of the control group $(1.125 \text{ ppb TDI}, \text{ i.e. 4 } \mu\text{g NCO/m}^3)$ was calculated as 3.2 (95% CI 0.96 – 10.6; p = 0.06). The OR for each 0.1 ppb increase in exposure (as 8-h TWA) was 1.07 (95 % CI 0.99 – 1.16; adjusted for smoking and atopic diseases, p = 0.10). The adjusted OR was higher for smoking (2.4) as well as for history of atopic disease (3.4), but not statistically significant. In 11 (41%) of the cases, symptoms began in the first year of employment at the plant and in nine they occurred within 3 months. The OR for each 0.1 ppb increase in current 8-h TWA was higher for cases with symptoms occurring within a year from start of employment (1.5, 95% CI 0.82 - 2.7, p = 0.18) than among those with a later onset of symptoms (1.04, 95% CI 0.95 – 1.13, p =0.41). Although this analysis was based on relatively small numbers of cases and referents in each of the time windows, the authors concluded that there seemed to be no association between current exposure to isocyanates and the development of asthma more than 1 year from employment. The authors analysed also the role of exposure metrics other than current 8-hour TWA (TWA for all jobs since employment, TWA in the first job, the highest estimated TWA and the highest estimated peak exposure). None of these measures of exposure was associated with disease which developed after 1 year of employment.

Cases of company B (n = 7) were attributed to MDI from a chemical plant in which MDI and polymeric MDI mixtures were processed and poured into drums. Some processes involved heating the mixtures. Personal monitoring results from 1988 were available (Marcali method to the middle of 1990 (Marcali, 1957), HPLC thereafter). For each subject, the proportion of measurements \geq LOD of the Marcali method (2 ppb = 21 µg MDI/m³, 7 µg NCO/m³) and > 5 ppb (= 52 µg MDI/m³, 17 µg NCO/m³) were calculated. Measurements < 2 ppb were treated as being zero. Ninety percent of the 269 TWA samples were < 2 ppb. For the two groups this meant that 169/185 TWA samples for controls and 74/84 for cases

64

were < 2 ppb. Mean and median exposures were < LOD for cases and controls. Median of the highest concentration recorded for each subject was 3 ppb (= $31 \ \mu g \ MDI/m^3$, $10 \ \mu g \ NCO/m^3$) for both groups. The proportion of measurements $\geq 2 \ ppb$ was 0.09 for controls and 0.18 for cases. The proportion of measurements $> 5 \ ppb$ was 0.004 for controls and 0.09 for cases. $3/7 \ cases$ and $1/11 \ controls$ had at least one 8 h TWA exposure measurement $> 5 \ ppb$ (OR 7.5; p = 0.09). The authors conclude: "Asthma can occur at low concentrations of isocyanates, but even at low concentrations, the higher the exposure the greater the risk."

Tarlo et al. (1997) used a case-control study design, treating 20 companies with compensated isocyanate asthma claims as cases and 203 companies without claims as controls, to investigate the association between isocyanate exposure level and asthma claims. OA cases with identified isocyanate exposure during the 4-year period from mid-1984 to mid-1988 were identified in the Ontario Workers' Compensation Board. Exposure data were taken from a database of the Ontario Ministry of Labour which is based on company's regulatory monitoring obligation if a worker is likely to inhale or to come into contact with isocyanates: air samples collected during the same 4-year period during which the OA claims arose. For the study, exposure in the companies was determined as a binary variable on the basis of the highest level identified (always < 5 ppb vs. ever \geq 5 ppb). The estimated incidence of OA in the 4-year study period was 2.7 % for high exposure companies with claims, 2.2 % for low exposure companies with claims and 0.9 % overall in the total 223 companies surveyed (56 out of 6 308 workers). Combined across isocyanate types, 10/20 (50 %) companies with claims were in the high exposure category and 50/203 (25 %) companies without claims were in the high exposure category (OR = 3.1; 95 %; CI: 1.1–8.5; p = 0.03). The study included cases with exposure to HDI, MDI or TDI.

Cross-sectional studies

In two publications, Pronk and colleagues reported on exposure-response relationships of respiratory symptoms and sensitisation in cross-sectional studies among large populations occupationally exposed to isocyanate oligomers during spray painting (Pronk et al., 2009, Pronk et al., 2007). In the first study the included companies were mainly car body refinish shops, but also furniture paint shops and industrial paint shops. In total, 581 workers from 128 companies took part in the study, including 50 office workers (no tasks outside the office), 241 spray painters (workers involved in spray painting) and 290 others (mostly mechanics and metal workers. Asthmatic and COPD-like symptoms were assessed using questionnaires. Also, HDI-specific IgE and IgG serology was performed.

Individual cumulative exposure estimates were obtained by combining personal task-based inhalational measurements for 23 isocyanate compounds (monomers and oligomers) and time-activity information.

Exposure = $\sum_{n=1}^{6} (Time)_n \times (\% > LOD)_n \times (Median NCO Concentration)_n$

- The personal exposure is expressed in μ g NCO/m³ x hours/month.
- n describes the task (spray painting, mixing, cleaning paint equipment, assisting a spray painter, sanding, welding).
- (Time)_n is the time task n was performed expressed in hours per month. On average, 82 h [SD, 89] out of a 161 h [SD, 26] working month were spent on exposed tasks.
- (% > LOD)_n is the percentage of samples above the limit of detection (LOD) for task n.
- (Median NCO concentration)_n is the median inhalational isocyanate concentration during task n expressed in μ g NCO/m³.

Cumulative exposure in spray painters ranged from 4 to 66,464 μ g NCO/m3*h/months (median 3,682 μ g NCO/m3*h/month). Statistically significant associations were found for an interquartile range (IQR) increase in cumulative exposure (about 2000-fold increase)

and prevalence ratio of asthmatic symptoms, COPD-like symptoms, work-related chest tightness, and work-related conjunctivitis, while not for work-related rhinitis (Table 27).

Table 27 Association between respiratory symptoms and cumulative isocyanate (NCO)exposure (Pronk et al., 2007)

Symptom	PR * (95% CI)
Asthma-like symptoms	1.2 (1.0 - 1.5)
COPD-like symptoms	1.3 (1.0 - 1.7)
Work-related chest tightness	2.0 (1.0 - 3.9)
Work-related rhinitis	1.3 (0.9 – 1.7)
Work-related conjunctivitis	1.5 (1.0 - 2.1)

* PR = prevalence ratio for an interquartile range increase of cumulative exposure (from 1.7 to 3382 μ g NCO/m3*h/months) adjusted for age, sex, smoking and atopy.

In a second cross-sectional study in a subsample of 229 workers (participation rate 66% of the invited), associations between isocyanate exposure and more objective respiratory effect measures (BHR, baseline spirometry, exhaled nitric oxide (eNO)) were assessed. BHR₂₀ was used, i.e. a fall of 20 % in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) during a methacholine challenge test with methacholine of 2.5 mg (~10 µmol) or less indicates bronchial hyperresponsiveness (Sterk et al., 1993, Pronk et al., 2009). The same exposure estimation was used as in the first study and median exposure in spray painters was 4,530 µg NCO/m3*h/months (range 15.4-66,464 µg NCO/m3*h/month). Workers with higher isocyanate exposure were more often hyperresponsive. The IQR increase in cumulative exposure (about 9000-fold increase) was associated with a BHR prevalence ratio of 2.0 and a prevalence ratio of 2.7 when outcome was defined as BHR combined with asthma-like symptoms (see Table 28).

Health outcome	N	PR* (95% CI)
BHR ₂₀	33	2.0 (1.1 - 3.8)
FEV ₁ /FVC < 70%	18	2.7 (1.1 - 6.8)
eNO ppb \geq 90 th percentile	22	0.8 (0.4 - 1.6)
Combined parameters		
$BHR_{20} + FEV_1/FVC < 70\%$	10	6.1 (1.2 - 32)
BHR_{20} + eNO ppb \geq 90 th percentile	6	7.0 (0.7 – 72)
BHR ₂₀ + asthma-like symptoms	19	2.7 (1.0 - 6.8)
BHR ₂₀ + COPD-like symptoms	15	1.5 (0.6 – 3.9)
BHR ₂₀ + work-related chest tightness	3	0.9 (0.1 - 8.3)
BHR ₂₀ + work-related rhinitis	10	2.2 (0.6 - 8.0)
BHR ₂₀ + work-related conjunctivitis	7	4.3 (0.7 - 28)

Table 28: Association between health end-points and cumulative isocyanate (NCO)	
exposure (Pronk et al., 2009).	

* PR = prevalence ratio for an interquartile range increase of cumulative exposure (from 0.3 to

2799 µg NCO/m3*h/months) adjusted for age, sex, smoking and atopy

Asthma-like symptoms were more often reported in workers with higher exposure, but the association was not statistically significant: adjusted PR per IQR increase in exposure was 1.3 (95 % CI 0.9 - 1.7). Both in Pronk et al 2007 and Pronk et al 2009, HDI-specific IgE serology was positive only in about 1-4% of the exposed and did not show consistent statistically significant associations with asthma-like symptoms or BHR₂₀.

The prevalence of atopy was significantly lower in the two exposed groups, spray painters and other exposed (about 39% in each) as compared to office workers (55%), which the authors considered indicating healthy worker selection among those exposed groups.

The exposure was mainly for HDI oligomers and according to Pronk et al. (2007), the exposure was estimated from measurements quantifying diisocyanates, several monoisocyanates, aminoisocyanates and oligomers of HDI and MDI. Exposure was then expressed in μq reactive isocyanate group (NCO) to be able to add up exposure to different isocyanate compounds. Widespread exposure to especially HDI oligomers was found with highest exposures during spray painting. Thus the NCO group concentrations used in the study reflect not only exposure to diisocyanates, but a combination of compounds including also monoisocyanates and oligomers of diisocyanates which may have different potencies as regards respiratory sensitisation. Pronk et al. (2009) reported that among spray painters the median (range) exposure (as μq NCO/m3*h/months) to total isocyanate was 4530 (15.4–66464) while for HDI it was two orders of magnitude less 36.2 (1.3–472). Among other exposed workers similar differences were reported (Total isocyanate 5.6 (0-3785), HDI 0.7 (0-354)). Pronk et al (2007) state that animal studies indicate that relative potencies of different isocyanate compounds are variable. Theoretically, this kind of information might be used to calculate a weighted total NCO concentration. However, for many of the measured isocyanate compounds, this information is not available, which limits the possibilities to use the information on oligomer levels for calculation of overall NCO levels weighted by toxic properties. Moreover, because exposure to HDI and its individual oligomers correlated highly, this would practically only have led to a rescaling of the exposure variable.

The role of peak exposures was not assessed and the exposure assessment does not consider the effect of respirator use. According to Pronk et al. (2007) a working day of a spray painter consists of cycles of short tasks, and even exposure during spray painting is highly variable for all workers. Therefore, isocyanate exposure in this study consists of a series of peaks, which is highly correlated with average exposure through the duration of the tasks. Consequently, it is not possible to differentiate between cumulative and peak exposure.

Dermal exposure was not considered in the analysis of the exposure response relationship by Pronk et al. (2009).

Finally, the outcome analysed was not specifically OA, nor diagnosed asthma in general but BHR_{20} (or BHR_{20} + asthma symptoms). However, such a case definition is widely used in asthma epidemiology.

Human volunteer studies

Most cases of diisocyanate-induced OA described above have occurred under conditions of repeated exposures over a period of days, months or years. However, it seems also possible to develop airway effects suggestive of asthma after a single exposure. Vandenplas et al. (1999) studied seventeen subjects without previous respiratory symptoms and without occupational exposure to diisocyanates. The subjects were randomly exposed to ambient air and TDI (5 ppb for 6 h followed by 20 ppb for 20 min) in a single-blind crossover design. At least 4 weeks separated the two exposure events. None of the subjects experienced significant respiratory symptoms in response to the exposures. Exposure to TDI produced a modest decrease in specific airway conductance (sGaw, p = 0.05) and maximal expiratory flow at 25% of FVC (MEF25%, p = 0.02) when compared to

ambient air exposure. The rest of the lung function parameters were not affected (including airway parameters like FEV1, FEV1/FVC ratio and maximal expiratory flow at 50% (MEF50%)). A slight but statistically significant increase in albumin in bronchial lavage fluid was seen following the TDI exposure when compared with that recovered after exposure to ambient air (26.4 vs 21.8 μ g/ml, p = 0.04). This suggests that single exposure to TDI is associated with minimal but detectable changes in airway calibre and in epithelial barrier permeability. It remained uncertain, however, why the detected effect of TDI was limited to sGaw and MEF25% which are assumed to reflect changes in different portions of the airways (both small and large airways) while other airway parameters were not affected. The concentrations of potential indicators of epithelial cell dysfunction (secretory component and CC16) and pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-a, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, and IL-8) in BL and BAL were not significantly altered by TDI exposure. Nor did cellular studies provide evidence of an influx of inflammatory cells into the airway compartment in response to TDI. The authors admitted that an inflammatory response of the airways to TDI exposure could have been missed, since the BAL procedure was performed at a short interval after the end of the exposure. They concluded however, that the results suggest that the observed changes in pulmonary function tests were not directly related to airway inflammation or injury.

The concentrations of 5 and 20 ppb of TDI correspond to 17 and 70 μg NCO/m³, respectively.

Occupational disease register data

OA from isocyanates is a well-known occupational disease. Information on such diseases is compiled in numerous national reporting systems. These are either relying on cases claimed/recognised/compensated to/by the social security/worker compensation scheme or on voluntary reporting systems most often based on physician reports. Such data for diisocyanates were recently reviewed and collected (ECHA, 2018a). Studies based on such data indicate a decline in isocyanate OA, for example in Belgium (Vandenplas et al., 2011), France (Paris et al., 2012) and Ontario (Buyantseva et al., 2011), likely reflecting an effect of improved exposure control. However, due to various shortcomings, for example underreporting, level of detail available for the health outcome (diagnosis), causative agent and exposure intensity and lack of information on population at risk, such data are not useful for setting an OEL or establishing a dose-response for diisocyanates.

Challenges of exposure-response considerations of human data

The above studies indicate that asthma incidence decreases when exposure levels decrease. However, despite modern standards and air levels below current OELs, risks for workers may exist and no definitive minimum level of exposure to diisocyanates for humans is known, below which sensitisation and asthma will not occur in susceptible individuals. Beside this limitation in knowledge, all tabulated studies (Appendix 3) also show limitations that cause uncertainty for the derivation of an exposure-response relationship for diisocyanates regarding sensitisation.

Markers of effect

First of all, when describing an exposure-response relationship, the relevant outcome has to be defined. The endpoint of interest here is respiratory sensitisation, which finally leads to the clinical picture of allergic asthma in humans.

OA can be defined as "a disease characterised by variable airflow limitation and/or hyperresponsiveness and/or inflammation due to causes and conditions attributable to exposure to a particular occupational environment and not to stimuli encountered outside the workplace." Allergic or immunological OA includes both OA caused by agents with an allergic IgE-mediated mechanism as well as OA induced by specific occupational agents in which the responsible allergic or immune mechanisms have not yet been identified or fully characterised ((Bernstein et al., 2013), p.3).

A critical event in the development of occupational respiratory allergy is the induction of sensitisation. If sensitisation is prevented, elicitation of asthma (and other clinical

manifestations of respiratory allergy) will also be prevented. There seems to be high variability in individual susceptibility in already sensitised subjects, and it will be difficult to estimate a "safe" exposure level for this group. Therefore, sensitisation of naïve individuals rather than elicitation in already sensitised persons is suggested to be a more suitable endpoint to serve as the basis for an OEL (Dotson et al., 2015). A possible marker for the induction of sensitisation in IgE mediated allergy is the IgE specific for the antigen. However, unlike in the case of high molecular weight OA causing substances, in the case of diisocyanates, the diisocyanate-specific IgE have been detected only in a fraction and in some studies only a small fraction of symptomatic subjects(Kimber et al., 2014) and other mechanisms maybe involved (see Section 7.9). Consequently there is no universal reliable marker of induction of diisocyanate sensitisation that could be used as a basis for derivation of an OEL or risk-based values from epidemiological studies. Therfore, markers of the elicitation phase of the sensitisation need to be considered. Adverse effects of diisocyanates on the respiratory tract investigated in epidemiological studies include accelerated lung function decline respiratory symptoms, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness their combination or clinically verified asthma (Appendix 3).

Respiratory symptoms are often assessed by self-reporting and therefore do not constitute an objective measure. In addition, respiratory symptoms do not have to be specific for asthma, but may also include for example COPD-like symptoms. However, work-related respiratory symptoms assessed by validated questionnaires, often combined with more objective measures, like bronchial hyperresponsiveness, are often used as outcome measure in epidemiological studies of OA.

Accelerated lung function decline as another outcome was examined in longitudinal epidemiological studies in diisocyanate-exposed workers and reviewed by Ott and coworkers (Ott, 2002a, Ott et al., 2003b). In these reviews, the effect of TDI on accelerated lung function decline was investigated. Eleven longitudinal studies (five in TDI production units and six in sites using TDI) as well as three cross-sectional studies in units using TDI were included. Decline in FEV_1 was seen in earlier studies and in follow-up studies of workers who continued to work after their diagnosis of OA. However, no consistent evidence of accelerated loss in FEV₁ was found in more recent longitudinal studies with 8h TWA exposure mostly < 5 ppb (= 36 μ g TDI/m³, 17 μ g NCO/m³) and even with shortterm TDI concentrations > 20 ppb (= 145 μ g TDI/m³, 70 μ g NCO/m³). However, accelerated lung function decline is not a sensitive outcome measure of asthma, as asthma is characterised by variable airflow obstruction, and lung function may not be decreased permanently. The time of day at spirometry may therefore have a large impact on lung function. There is a diurnal variation, which also may be influenced by shift work. Before to after shift changes in lung function can have high specificity, but have low sensitivity for the validation of occupational asthma (Nicholson et al., 2010). They are not reliable for separating subjects with and without OA (Vandenplas et al., 2013). Further, there is a large intrinsic variability. Thus, the ECHA concludes that accelerated lung function decline does not serve as a suitable predictive marker for dose-response considerations of elicitation of diisocyanate asthma.

ECHA considers that reasonably relevant markers for asthma examined in the available studies are: clinically verified asthma; work-related symptoms compatible with asthma assessed with a validated method; and non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR), assessed by a methacholine or histamine challenge. For the latter, lung function (FEV₁) of the subjects is measured before the challenge and after inhalation of increasing doses of methacholine. After a certain fall in FEV₁ (for example 15 or 20 %) or when the maximum cumulative dose is reached, the test is stopped. A subject is defined as being hyperresponsive if a certain cumulative dose of methacholine leads to a certain fall in FEV₁ (Pronk et al., 2007, Sterk et al., 1993). Besides BHR alone a narrower definition of asthma proposed for epidemiology is the concurrent presence of BHR and wheezing (Toelle et al., 1992). Specific inhalation challenge tests (with the diisocyanate suspected as the cause of sensitisation) are regarded as the reference standard against which other tests for the diagnosis of asthma are validated. The specific challenge test is time consuming,

expensive, and needs special facility and expertise (Toelle et al., 1992). These are not performed in larger groups required in epidemiological studies but are rather used in clinical practice and for worker compensation purposes.

Exposure assessment

A further problem in selecting studies for dose-response assessment is related to exposure assessment. To assign a quantitative exposure value to a specific effect requires reliable quantitative measurements. However, measurement of airborne isocyanates is still a challenge today (Section 6.1.). In addition, the methods for measurement/analysis of inhalation exposure have changed over time and therefore different methods were used in the epidemiological studies (sometimes within the same study) and results may not be comparable. For example, in the older studies the Marcali method (Marcali, 1957) was used for analysis, which is reported to significantly underestimate exposure (Ott et al., 2003b). Also the site of measurement is of importance, as discrepancies between simultaneously measured area and personal exposure levels are reported (Butcher et al., 1977).

There are also questions concerning the dosimetry and temporal exposure patterns relevant for the effect (see animal experiments). An important issue is the fact that peak exposures are thought to be relevant in inducing sensitisation (see above). The risk of sensitisation may therefore be better reflected by an index that quantifies the occurrence of short intense peaks of exposure than by average or cumulative exposure measures ((Checkoway et al., 2004)p. 310). However for elicitation of clinically manifest respiratory sensitisation, Pronk et al. (2009) found in their study in Dutch spray painters an association between the cumulative exposure and hyperresponsiveness and Collins et al. (2017) reported an association both between cumulative and peak exposure metrics are highly correlated. For another risk industry and risk factor of OA, bakeries and wheat flour and a-amylase, there is indication that tasks with peak exposures are actually an important determinant in the overall exposure (Meijster et al., 2007).

Setting aside the role of peak exposures it remains also unclear whether cumulative exposure, (average) exposure intensity, or some combination that also accounts for time ordering of intermittent exposure, is the most relevant exposure metric and if a specific time-window after start of exposure, or the entire working life should be considered setting exposure limits based on scientific studies relying on elicitation of respiratory sensitisation. For example, in the case-control study of Meredith et al. (2000) there was little evidence of a dose-response by any exposure metrics among OA cases that occurred later than 1 year after start of employment in the exposure job. For another occupational sensitizer, platinum salts, it has been reported in a prospective study that among newly hired workers, the risk of sensitisation (as measured by skin prick tests) was somewhat more strongly determined by recent exposure than average or cumulative exposure during the follow-up (Heederik et al., 2016). During the average follow-up of 3.9 years the risk of becoming sensitized peaked between 500 to 600 days since start of employment.

Routes of exposure

Dermal exposure as an important route of entry may contribute to induction of respiratory sensitisation which precedes elicitation (North et al., 2016). This was shown in animal models and is thought to be relevant for humans as well (Bello et al., 2007) and with further animal evidence recently published by Pollaris et al 2019. Dermal exposure is difficult to measure and to quantify and is often not reported and never quantified in epidemiological studies. For another occupational sensitizer, beryllium salts, it was recently reported that metrics of peak inhalation exposure, indices of skin exposure, and using material containing beryllium salts were all significantly associated with beryllium sensitisation (Virji et al., 2019). However it was not possible to tease apart the independent effects of skin exposure from inhalation exposure, as these exposures occurred simultaneously and were highly correlated.

Last but not least, the quality of exposure assessment in epidemiological studies also depends on the level at which exposure is described. In some studies, exposure levels are

given on factory or area level only. For example, longitudinal studies on TDI asthma often report mean exposure levels for a group of workers and the respective incidence of disease (Appendix 3). Some studies investigate exposure groups using ranks (low/medium/high) without assigned quantitative exposure levels. Despite of the apparently high number of human studies available, only few studies provide quantitative exposure estimates on an individual worker level. These also differ regarding their quality, because they may be based on personal sampling of the individual worker or may be task-based.

A further uncertainty in exposure assessment relates to the use of personal protective equipment. Many studies do not report on it. Other studies try to account for the use. For example, in a retrospective study, the sampling record was not considered if it indicated that respiratory protection was used. Respiratory protection was taken into account by subtracting 50 % of calculated exposure values for exposed jobs in a longitudinal study (Clark et al., 2003). Another longitudinal study considered exposure only when not wearing respiratory protection (Hathaway et al., 1999). All these approaches to account or not for RPE introduce error in the exposure assessment, may bias the results, and make it harder to compare results from different studies. In addition, the use of personal protective equipment may be associated with the exposure level, as is indicated by the report of Gui et al. (2014). Here, self-reported glove use differed significantly between the exposure risk groups (25 % of the workers in the low, 32 % in the medium, 100 % in high exposure risk group).

Co-exposure

Co-exposures to other isocyanates or to other substances, such as irritants, are likely to be present at several of the workplaces studied, and they may influence the observed effect of the studied diisocyanate. Some reports do not even mention potential co-exposures, others report co-exposures, but do not quantify them (for example (Cassidy et al., 2010, Omae, 1984)).

Study design

Limitations due to the study design for example include the lack of an (unexposed) control group, a small number of cases (Collins et al., 2017) and selection bias. The latter includes different issues. Susceptible individuals will not be hired based on entry examinations. Selfselection of workers is likely, as individuals with allergy or respiratory problems will not apply for work at a chemical plant (Hathaway et al., 2014). The studied workers therefore mostly are selective populations that are "healthier" in terms of respiratory diseases. The selective loss of exposed symptomatic individuals is especially important in cross-sectional studies on diisocyanate related health effects. These studies are likely to underestimate the risk for workers, because workers with symptoms may already have left their job and are not available for the study. Cross-sectional occupational studies therefore are prone to both "healthy worker hire bias" and "healthy worker survivor bias" (Le Moual et al., 2008). The potential for this kind of bias may be reduced in prospective longitudinal studies, but they also miss workers with health problems who have left before the start of the study as well as those who are lost to follow-up. The most meaningful estimate of the incidence of health effects could be achieved by an inception cohort study (which includes newly hired workers) with further investigations also of those workers who left their job.

The inception cohort study of polyurethane foam production workers in Eastern Europe illustrates the healthy worker survivor effect. It describes a loss to follow-up of 25 % (12 out of 49 exposed workers) after the first year of employment. Among these workers, current asthma symptoms were reported (at baseline or 6 months) in a significant higher percentage compared to those who completed the 12-month follow-up.

Likewise, a study of health effects of HDI in painters and auto body refinish workers found significant differences between the workers who left the auto body shops and those who stayed. This 1-year follow-up subsequent to a cross-sectional study investigated whether or not a healthy worker effect may exist in the auto body industry (Redlich et al., 2002). Forty-eight workers from seven shops were contacted (Redlich, 2010), 13 of these (27 %)

71

had left their original shop and three (6 %) were lost to follow-up. Those who left were less experienced in the industry and more likely to have a history of asthma and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. The authors conclude: "*The differences in workers who stayed at their shop compared to those who left, combined with the low asthma prevalence and high job turnover rate, all suggest that a healthy worker effect may exist in the auto body industry, and may in part account for the low prevalence of asthma noted in SPRAY and other cross-sectional studies of diisocyanate workers.*"

In conclusion:

- 1. The immunological pathways central to diisocyanate asthma are not fully understood (see Ch 7.9). There is no single reliable marker of induction of respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates that could be used to identify either a threshold or a dose response relationship for <u>induction</u> of sensitisation from the human data reviewed.
- 2. The epidemiological studies reviewed do not suggest a definite threshold for <u>elicitation</u> of respiratory sensitisation and the studies also have limitations for assessing dose response relationships if using strict criteria. However, two studies (Collins et al., 2017, Pronk et al., 2009) and one meta-regression analysis of eight studies (Daniels, 2018) come close to meeting such strict criteria. As explained, each of them has one or more methodological limitations linked to: distinguishing the role of peak exposures and cumulative exposure; the effect of respiratory protection in the estimation of exposure; healthy worker effect; effect of dermal exposure; combining exposures of several (di)isocyanates with possibly different sensitisation potential; and using outcomes other than (occupational) asthma caused by diisocyanates. However, each study detected an exposure-response relationship.

This conclusion is in line with the recent conclusion of ECHA's Committee for Risk Assessment (ECHA, 2018b). More precisely:

- Regarding human data, there are a large number of studies available. However, none of them is considered adequate for deriving a reliable exposure-response relationship curve due to a number of limitations in those studies. The limitations include lack of reliable information on exposure (including difficulties in assessing dermal exposure and peak inhalatory exposures), lack of sensitive predictive markers for diisocyanate sensitisation, low statistical power (e.g. due to small sample size or low disease incidence), inadequate correction for the presence of confounding factors (e.g. for concomitant exposure to other respiratory sensitisers and irritants or for previous exposure to sensitising agents), lack of an unexposed control group or the "healthy worker effect".
- In addition, respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates can be induced both via the dermal and the inhalation route, and thus both exposure routes have to be considered. An important role of dermal route in respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates has been shown in animal studies (e.g. Pauluhn, 2013; North et al. 2016), and is considered to be relevant for humans as well (Bello et al., 2007). However, as for either route a threshold is unknown, and neither the quantitative nor mechanistic interaction between the inhalation and dermal route is sufficiently understood, it is not possible for RAC to set any DNEL that will be meaningful for the risk characterisation.

ECHA notes that the studies of Collins et al. (2017) and Daniels (2018) were not yet available at the time of the above RAC conclusion. Furthermore, Section 8.1 describes national or international approaches taken to overcome the above uncertainties and to provide science-based values either to identify a threshold or a dose-response to inform on setting an occupational limit value.

7.5.1.2 Skin sensitisation

72

As explained above diisocyanates are potent respiratory sensitisers and they also test positive in animal tests of skin sensitisation. In case reports they have also been reported to cause allergic contact dermatitis in humans, but such cases seem to be less frequent than cases of occupational asthma (Bello et al., 2007, Ebino et al., 2001, Engfeldt et al., 2013, Goossens et al., 2002, Nguyen and Lee, 2012).

7.5.2 Animal data

Respiratory sensitisation

Several studies investigating the effects of different diisocyanates in *in vivo* asthma models have been published. A dose-response relationship has been observed for TDI-induced bronchial hyperreactivity in guinea pigs and rabbits (ATSDR, 2018, Montelius, 2001).

Inhalation tests

In the study by Marek et al. (1999), challenge tests showed increased bronchial responses to acetylcholine or methacholine in guinea pigs previously exposed to 0.01 ppm (0.071mg/m³) TDI (corresponding to 0.034 mg/m³ NCO), four times one hour (NOAEC 0.005 ppm). Airway hyperresponsiveness was also seen shortly after a shorter (1 h) exposure to 3 ppm (21 mg/m³) TDI (corresponding to 10 mg/m³ NCO). The effect persisted for 48 h (Gagnaire et al., 1996).

Exposure of guinea pigs to 0.2 ppm (1.4 mg/m³) TDI (corresponding to 0.68 mg/m³ NCO), 3 h/d, 5 days, followed by challenge at 0.02 ppm (0.14 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.068 mg/m³ NCO) resulted in increased respiratory rates. Such effects were not detected if the original exposure concentration was 0.02 ppm. (Aoyama et al., 1994).

Airway hypersensitivity symptoms, including exertional breathing, was observed upon challenge in rats exposed to 1.14 ppm (8.1 mg/m³) 2,4-TDI (corresponding to 3.9 mg/m³ NCO) for 4 days (4 h/d), or 0.41 ppm (2.9 mg/m³, corresponding to 1.4 mg/m³ NCO) 4 or 5 days. The symptoms were more severe in the high-dose group. Inflammatory events, involving a prominent eosinophil infiltration in the central and peripheral airways, were observed in lung histopathological analyses (Kouadio et al., 2014)

Pauluhn and Poole (2011) presented a dose-dependent increase in respiratory rate and bronchioalveolar lavage parameters in rats exposed to MDI (sensitisation 5 days, 1000, 5000, 10 000 mg/m³ x minutes (336, 1680, 3380 mg NCO/m³ x minutes), duration 10 or 360 minutes; challenge four times 30 minutes, 40 mg/m³ x minutes (13.44 mg NCO/m³ x minutes). When analysing the results, the authors identified an elicitation threshold of 5 mg/m³. The potential to cause sensitisation was slightly higher for high-dose, short-term exposure than equal cumulative exposure during a longer exposure period.

Inhalation exposure of guinea pigs with 0.069 mg/m³ (0.01 ppm) monomeric HDI (corresponding to 0.034 mg/m³ NCO) during eight weeks (6 h/day, 5 days/week) did not cause any alterations in basal respiratory mechanical or cardiovascular parameters. Also, a 60-minutes challenge to the same concentration of HDI did not induce any marked effects in functional parameters. An increase in airway constriction was observed immediately after exposure in one studied animal when assessing the nonspecific airway responsiveness with 1% or 2% acetylcholine, but not with lower concentrations. After an eight-week latency period, no such effects were seen. (Marek et al., 1997)

Combined dermal and inhalation exposure studies

Diisocyanates have also been shown to cause respiratory hypersensitivity upon dermal exposure (Selgrade et al., 2006, Karol et al., 1981, Rattray et al., 1994, Pauluhn, 2005, Pauluhn, 2008). In the study by Pollaris et al. (2019), repeated intranasal exposure (5 days/week during 5 weeks) of mice to 0.1% TDI resulted in immunological alterations indicative of sensitisation (mixed Th1/Th2 cell response), but no airway hyperreactivity. However, when the mice first received two dermal applications (0.5% TDI), followed by

73

repeated intranasal exposure by the same protocol as for the other group, airway hyperreactivity was observed (Pollaris et al., 2019).

Pauluhn et al. (Pauluhn, 2015, Pauluhn, 2014, Pauluhn and Poole, 2011, Pauluhn, 2008, Pauluhn, 2005) have, specifically for diisocyanates, developed a respiratory sensitisation testing protocol with Brown-Norway rats, aiming at evaluation of the acute ethiopathology rather than chronic airway inflammation. Basically, initial systemic sensitisation is achieved by dermal application of the chemical, followed by recurrent inhalation priming, aiming at inducing and amplifying the allergic characteristics of airway inflammation. Hereby, the aim is to avoid tolerance of the lungs towards the allergen during the initial sensitisation phase. Pauluhn et al. (Pauluhn, 2005, Pauluhn, 2008, Pauluhn, 2015, Pauluhn and Poole, 2011)) evaluated concentration *x* time (C *x* t)-response relationships for elicitation-based endpoints and identified the importance of minimising respiratory irritant effects on breathing patterns when optimising the inhalation doses in respiratory sensitisation studies. Allergic pulmonary inflammation was identified by measuring neutrophilic granulocytes in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. The Brown-Norway model has been evaluated as appropriate for the identification of NOAEC/LOAEC values for the elicitation-response of diisocyanates (North et al., 2016).

In the rat asthma model with MDI, sensitisation by two topical applications (40 μ I MDI, days 1 and 7) followed by inhalation challenges (four times 38 mg/m³ (3.7 ppm; corresponding to 12 mg/m³ NCO) or three times 37 mg/m³ (3.6 ppm) and a fourth challenge at 8, 18 or 30 mg/m³ (0.78, 1.8, 2.9 ppm; corresponding to 2.7, 6.0, 10 mg/m³ NCO); duration 30 minutes) induced an increased influx of neutrophils and delayed-onset respiratory responses. The results showed a correlation between the elicitation dose and respiratory response. The authors concluded that the asthma-like responses seemed to be more dependent on the inhalation challenge dose than the dose used for dermal induction. (Pauluhn, 2005, Pauluhn, 2008). Also with TDI and HDI, respiratory sensitisation occurred in Brown-Norway rats after epicutaneous sensitisation and repeated inhalation challenge (Pauluhn, 2014, Pauluhn, 2015).

Pauluhn (2015, 2014) estimated 8-hour human workplace equivalent concentrations (HEC) corresponding to the elicitation results of their rat studies. The NOAELs for elicitation in rats were considered to be 1000 mg TDI/m³ x min (143 ppm x min) and 900 mg HDI/m³ x min (129 ppm x min). Based on that, the HEC values for TDI and HDI were estimated as 0.003 ppm (0.02 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.0096 mg/m³ NCO), and 0.03 ppm (0.2 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.10 mg/m³ NCO), respectively.

In a guinea pig study comparing the effects of HDI monomers with HDI biuret and HDI isocyanurate, a sensitising effect was observed upon sensitisation with the HDI monomer (intradermal injections 3x0.3%, or one injection and inhalation 27 mg/m³ (3.9 ppm; corresponding to 13 mg/m³ NCO), 3 h/day for five days) and challenge with an HDI-conjugate. The findings were increased respiratory rate and recruitment of eosinophilic granulocytes. The highest responses were seen when induction was by intradermal injection. In contrast, no signs of respiratory sensitisation were seen in the guinea pigs exposed to HDI biuret or HDI isocyanurate. (Pauluhn et al., 2002)

The study by Rattray et al. (1994) indicated a more clear induction of bronchial hyperreactivity in guinea pigs by a single intradermal or epidermal application of MDI (doses 0.0003-0.3% and 10-100% MDI, respectively) followed by inhalation challenge 21 days later at concentrations of 25.9-36.5 mg/m³ (2.5-3.6 ppm; corresponding to 8.7-12 mg/m³ NCO) than by exposure to MDI by inhalation only [19.4-23.7 mg/m³ (1.9-2.3 ppm; corresponding to 6.5-8.0 mg/m³ NCO) 3 h/day for 5 consecutive days, and challenge 21 days after the first exposure at 34.6-44.1 mg/m³ (3.4-4.3 ppm; corresponding to 12-15 mg/m³ NCO)].

The review by Schupp and Collins (2012) suggested that respiratory irritation and sensitisation caused by diisocyanates may be interdependent events with thresholds. The NOAECs and LOAECs for irritation and sensitisation appeared to be in the same order of magnitude. When reviewing TDI data on different species of test animals, the LOAECs for

respiratory sensitisation where normally 0.02-0.4 ppm (0.14-2.8 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.068-0.19 mg/m³ NCO); NOAECs 0.005-0.03 ppm (0.036-0.21 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.017-0.10 mg/m³ NCO). The lowest LOAEC was obtained when an induction protocol with six weeks of exposure was used. (Schupp and Collins, 2012, Matheson et al., 2005).

No statistically significant cross-reactivity was observed in relation to airway hyperreactivity when mice were sensitised with MDI and challenged with TDI, or the other way around, although positive cross-reactivity reactions were seen in some of the animals. In addition, there was only a nonsignificant increase in lung inflammation in bronchioalveolar lavage fluid in the cross-exposed groups. A significant asthma-like response, including airway hyperreactivity, occurred only if sensitisation and challenge were performed with the same substance (MDI or TDI). The potency of the responses obtained with MDI were similar to those of TDI. (Pollaris et al., 2016).

Skin sensitisation

The skin sensitisation potential of several diisocyanates has been know already long ago (Thorne et al., 1987, Tanaka, 1980, Tominaga et al., 1985, Koschier et al., 1983, Rattray et al., 1994, Gad et al., 1986, Hilton et al., 1996, Zissu et al., 1998).

Studies on delayed hypersensitivity showed sensitisation in 18/20 guinea pigs treated with TDI (grade V allergen on the Magnusson-Kligman scale (Magnusson and Kligman, 1969), induction concentration 5%, test concentration 1%) and in 14/20 animals treated with HDI (grade IV allergen; induction concentration 1%, test concentration 0.1% (Zissu et al., 1998).

Thorne et al. (1987) studied the sensitising potential of HDI, TDI and MDI in mice. The results of this study showed that HDI was the most potent one, followed by MDI and TDI. The doses that caused sensitisation in 50% of the animals were 0.088 mg/kg bw, 0.73 mg/kg bw and 5.3 mg/kg bw for HDI, MDI and TDI, respectively. Cross-reactions between each of the tested diisocyanates were also demonstrated when sensitisation and challenge were induced using different diisocyanates. The responses were however greater when the sensitisation and challenge treatments were done with the same diisocyanate, than with one diisocyanate for sensitisation and another for challenge. TDI was less potent to cause cross-reactions than MDI or HDI.

Treatment with a 5% solution of TDI (2,4-TDI:2,6-TDI 4:1) resulted in ear swelling of previously unexposed mice in a skin sensitisation test. No effects were seen with an 1% test solution. After sensitisation, positive reactions could also be observed upon exposure at the lower test concentration (1%) (Tanaka, 1980).

7.5.3 In vitro data

No relevant data available.

7.5.4 Summary

The respiratory sensitisation potential of diisocyanates is well established based on both human and animal evidence. The most important clinical manifestation of this respiratory sensitisation is occupational asthma. Unlike in the case of high molecular weight OA causing substances, in the case of diisocyanates, the diisocyanate-specific IgE have been detected only in a small fraction of symptomatic subjects. Other immunological mechanisms may be involved (see Ch 7.9). Consequently there is no reliable overall marker for induction of respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates that could be used to identify either a threshold or a dose-response relationship for induction of sensitisation from the human data reviewed. However, a number of observations have been made as regards factors influencing the risk of elicitation of respiratory sensitisation (i.e. occurrence of asthma).

The studies exploring the factors influencing the risk of diisocyanate induced asthma have indicated that average, cumulative and peak exposure all may influence the risk. None of the studies has been able to take into account in the same model the relative contributions of these mutually interlinked exposure metrics. Collins et al. (2017) observed an increased risk of cases consistent with TDI asthma both for cumulative exposure (OR = 2.08, 95% CI 1.07-4.05, per unit increase in log ppb-years) and for peak TDI exposures (OR = 1.18, 95% CI 1.06-1.32, per unit increase in ppb). When using cumulative exposure as an exposure metric, the probability of being an asthma case increased with 153% from 5 to 20 ppb-years, and when using estimated peak exposure the probability increased with 962% from 5 to 20 ppm. In a cross-sectional study, Pronk et al (2009) reported that the interquartile increase in cumulative exposure (about 9000-fold increase) was associated with a prevalence ratio of 2.0 (95% CI 1.1 – 3.8) of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) and a prevalence ratio of 2.7 (95% CI 1.0 – 6.8) when outcome was defined as BHR combined with asthma-like symptoms. The exposure was mainly for HDI.

There is evidence that the risk of diisocyanate induced occupational asthma is higher during the first one or two years after the start of exposure than several years later, while there is no study that would have followed workers over a period close to a full 40 year working career. Meredith et al (2000) reported in a case-control design that the OR for each 0.1 ppb increase in current exposure (expressed as 8-h TWA) was higher for cases with symptoms occurring within a year from start of employment (1.5, 95% CI 0.82 – 2.7, p = 0.18) than among those with a later onset of symptoms (1.04, 95% CI 0.95 – 1.13, p = 0.41). Although this analysis was based on relatively small numbers of cases and referents in each of the time windows, the authors concluded that there seemed to be no association between current exposure to isocyanates and the development of asthma more than 1 year from employment. The exposure was mainly to TDI. Similar observations were made by Collins et al (2017) in a longitudinal study which reported that of the seven cases with findings consistent with TDI-induced asthma, four had less than 1 year of job tenure (range 1 to 7 months), one had worked for 2 years when beginning participation, and the other two had worked at the job for 7 and 8 years.

Human workplace equivalent concentrations (HEC) corresponding to the elicitation results of rat studies were calculated by Pauluhn (2015, 2014). The HEC 8-hour values for TDI and HDI were estimated as 0.003 ppm (0.02 mg/m3) and 0.03 ppm (0.2 mg/m3), respectively.

There is evidence that also dermal exposure is a risk factor for the induction phase of respiratory sensitisation (North et al., 2016). This was shown in animal models and is thought to be relevant for humans as well (Bello et al., 2007) and with further animal evidence recently published by Pollaris et al 2019. Dermal exposure is difficult to measure and to quantify and is often not reported and never quantified in epidemiological studies.

Diisocyanates also test positive in standard animal skin sensitisation assays and cases of human allergic contact dermatitis have been reported. However, the human evidence does not indicate skin sensitisation being subject to a similar occupational epidemics as respiratory sensitisation.

ECHA considers that it is appropriate to derive a dose-response based on the concentration of the NCO group and to apply that to all diisocyanates (see Section 7.9).

The recent conclusion of ECHA's Committee for Risk Assessment (ECHA, 2018b) was that none of human studies available was considered adequate for deriving a reliable exposureresponse relationship curve due to a number of limitations in those studies (see concluding remarks of Section 7.4.1 for details). ECHA notes that the studies of Collins et al. (2017) and Daniels (2018) have provided quantitative estimates of exposure response relationship and were not yet available at the time of the above RAC conclusion. Furthermore, Section 8.1 describes national or international approaches taken to overcome the above uncertainties and to provide science-based values either to identify a threshold or a doseresponse to inform on setting an occupational limit value.

76

7.6 Genotoxicity

7.6.1 Human data

The alkaline Comet assay was used to analyse DNA strand breaks in lymphocytes of workers having respiratory symptoms and with a history of exposure to diisocyanates (Marczynski et al., 2005). In a controlled atmosphere chamber, five workers (TDI-exposure history 2.5-12.5 years) were exposed during four times, 30 minutes to increasing concentrations of TDI (0.036-0.22 mg/m³ (0.005-0.03 ppm; corresponding to 0.017-0.10 mg/m³ NCO); 80:20 mixture of the 2,4- and 2,6-isomers). Whole-blood samples were taken before the start of the experiment and 30 min and 19 h after the end of exposure. Analysis of Olive tail moments (product of the Comet tail length and the fraction of total DNA in the tail) revealed no statistical differences before and after exposure or between subjects exposed to TDI or to one of the other diisocyanates tested (MDI, HDI). The authors reported a small susceptible group of the workers (about 10%) with elevated Olive tail moments (increase \geq 1.0) showing much higher frequencies of DNA strand breaks in lymphocytes after exposure (no further details were provided).

7.6.2 Animal data

Negative results, indicating no concern for genotoxicity, were obtained in a number of *in vivo* studies using TDI.

Positive results were obtained when assessing the potential of TDI to induce chromosomal aberrations or sister chromatid exchange (ATSDR, 2018, Ji et al., 2008). An inhalation study with TDI and MDI produced an increase in haemoglobin adducts, which might indicate a genotoxic potential, but no effects were seen in micronucleus tests (Lindberg et al., 2011).

Positive results were obtained with MDI in the rat micronucleus test of Zhong and Siegel (2000), whereas another study (Pauluhn et al., 2001) was negative.

HDI did not induce mutagenicity in a mouse micronucleus test (Wagner et al., 2000).

In vivo studies are summarised in Table 29.

Species (test system)	Investigation	Route of administration	Result	Reference	
2,4-TDI:2,6-T	DI (80:20)				
Mouse and rat	Micronuclei (bone marrow)	Inhalation	Negative	(Loeser, 1983)	
Mouse	Micronuclei (bone marrow and peripheral blood)	Inhalation	Negative	(Lindberg et al., 2011)	
Mouse	Chromosomal aberration, sister chromatid exchange (bone marrow)	Inhalation	Positive	(Ji et al., 2008)	
4,4'-MDI					
Mouse	Micronuclei (bone marrow and peripheral blood)	Inhalation	Negative	(Lindberg et al., 2011)	
Rat	Micronuclei (bone marrow)	Inhalation	Positive	(Zhong and Siegel, 2000)	

Table 29 In vivo genotoxicity studies

Species (test system)	Investigation	Route of administration	Result	Reference
Rat	Micronuclei (bone marrow)	Inhalation	Negative	(Pauluhn et al., 2001)
Rat	DNA adduct formation (epidermis and liver)	Dermal	Negative	(Vock and Lutz, 1997)
Rat	DNA adduct formation (epidermis)	Dermal	Negative	(Vock et al., 1995)

7.6.3 In vitro data

Inconclusive/equivocal results were seen in Ames tests with TDI and MDI. All studies showed negative results in the absence of metabolic activation, whereas some were positive when metabolic activation was induced. Chromosomal aberration studies and sister chromatid exchange tests with TDI and MDI have shown equivocal results. HDI, on the other hand, was tested negative for mutagenicity in bacteria (Ames test) and mammalian cells, as well as in the CHO/HPRT mutation assay. NDI was negative in the Ames test, but induced chromosomal aberrations and was tested positive in the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase forward mutation assay (V79 cells). IPDI did not cause positive results in the Ames test or in the CHO/HPRT forward mutation assay (ATSDR, 2018, DECOS, 2018, IARC, 1999, OECD, 2001)

7.6.4 Summary

Based on the available data, some studies indicate that diisocyanates may cause genotoxicity. The study results are however inconclusive/equivocal.

7.7 Carcinogenicity

7.7.1 Human data

Epidemiological data on cancer consist of studies on TDI. IARC (1999) reviewed three cohort studies in Sweden, UK and USA. Based on these three studies, IARC concluded that there is inadequate evidence for the carcinogenicity of TDI in humans. After the IARC review, updates have been published for all three cohorts. ECHA concludes that the results of these updates are in line with the initial IARC conclusion (see below).

In the Swedish cohort of 4175 workers, non-significant increases in rectal cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) were observed in the first analysis (Hagmar et al., 1993). In an update with 11 more years of follow up, fewer total cancer cases than expected were observed, although the lung cancer incidence was increased in women (Mikoczy et al., 2004). Women with "apparent exposure" to TDI or MDI did not, however, have a higher lung cancer incidence than those with "no or low exposure".

In the UK cohort of 8288 workers, slight increases in pancreatic cancer (standardised mortality ratio (SMR) 2.71, 95% CI 1.00-5.95) and lung cancer (SMR 1.76, 95% CI 1.00-2.85) were found that were not statistically significant (Sorahan and Pope, 1993). In an update, with 10 years of additional follow up, no significantly increased risk was observed in workers exposed to isocyanate and no trends were found between risks of lung cancer or risks of non-malignant diseases of the respiratory system and durations of "lower" or "higher" exposures to diisocyanates (Sorahan and Nichols, 2002). For pancreatic cancer, SMRs were increased for males and females, but without statistical significance.

In the US cohort, involving 4,611 men and women employed in four polyurethane foam manufacturing plants for at least 3 months between the late 1950s and 1987, mortality from non-Hodgkin's lymphoma was increased, but not to statistically significant levels (SMR 1.54, 95% CI 0.42-3.95). The study was considered inconclusive because of the low

number of deceased persons in the short follow-up time (Schnorr et al., 1996). This cohort was updated with an extended follow-up of 18 years (Pinkerton et al., 2016). Mortality from all causes (SMR 1.16; 95% CI 1.10-1.23) and all cancers (SMR 1.27; 95% CI 1.14-1.42) was significantly elevated. Among cancer causes of death, mortality from larynx (SMR 4.00; 95% CI 1.99-7.16), lung (SMR 1.59; 95% CI 1.32-1.89), and other and unspecified cancer (SMR 1.51; 95% CI 1.00-2.18) was significantly increased. No exposure-response was, however, observed for these cancers. The risk estimates were not adjusted for the effect of smoking. Mortality from breast, intestine, and brain cancers and non-Hodgkin lymphoma were slightly increased, although not significantly, and was somewhat associated with either exposure duration or cumulative TDI exposure.

Before the latest updates of the three cohort studies, Bolognesi et al. (2001)reviewed the data on carcinogenicity of TDI and MDI and concluded that the few epidemiological studies available have been based on young cohorts and short follow-up and are not conclusive.

Prueitt et al. (2017) reviewed the data on TDI and respiratory cancer, with focus on dermal exposure. They reported that overall, several of the epidemiology studies reported associations between respiratory cancers and female polyurethane foam manufacturing workers, but there were no positive exposure-response relationships in any of these cohorts, and the evidence indicates that the increased respiratory cancer risks in female workers were likely unrelated to exposure to diisocyanates. It was considered more likely that the observed associations were related to one or more confounders, such as smoking, but specific information on smoking was not available for any of the cohorts. The epidemiology studies reviewed did not indicate that occupational exposure to TDI *via* inhalation in the polyurethane foam manufacturing industry, with some degree of dermal exposure to TDI, is associated with an increased risk of developing respiratory cancer.

7.7.2 Animal data

Whole-body inhalation exposure of 60 male and 60 female rats with MDI (6 h/day, 5 days/week, 2 years; nominal concentrations 0.2, 1.0, 6.0 mg/m³ (0.020, 0.098, 0.59 ppm), corresponding to 0.067, 0.34, 2.9 mg/m³ NCO) resulted in six cases of lung adenoma and one lung adenocarcinoma in male rats of the high-dose (6.0 mg/m³) group. Among females, lung adenomas were found in 2/59 animals exposed to the highest dose. No other tumour findings were reported. (Reuzel et al., 1994). The development of local irritation and cytotoxicity and subsequent hyperplasia is suggested as the mechanism for tumour formation.

One bronchio-alveolar lavage adenoma was observed among 80 female rats exposed to MDI (2.05 mg/m³ (0.20 ppm), corresponding to 0.69 mg/m³ NCO) 18 h/d, 5 days/week, 2 years). No other findings indicating a carcinogenic potential were reported (Feron et al., 2001).

Inhalation exposure of male and female rats to TDI (0.05 and 0.15 ppm (0.36 and 1.1 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.17 and 0.53 mg/m³ NCO), 6 h/day, 5 days/week, two years) did not provide any evidence of carcinogenicity (IARC, 1999, Loeser, 1983).

No indications of a carcinogenic potential were observed when rats were exposed to monomeric HDI at concentrations up to 1.13 mg/m^3 (0.16 ppm; corresponding to 0.56 mg/m³ NCO) for two years (Shiotsuka et al., 2010).

In contrast to the negative findings observed upon inhalation exposure, increased frequencies of several types of tumours (*rat*: subcutaneous fibromas and sarcomas in males and females, pancreatic acinar cell adenomas in males, pancreatic islet cell adenomas, neoplastic nodules of the liver and fibroadenomas in females; *mice*: mammary gland hemangiomas, hemangiosarcomas, hepatocellular adenomas in females) were observed when male/female rats and mice were exposed to TDI in corn oil (0, 60, 120 mg/kg bw/day female rats; 0, 30, 60 mg/kg bw/day male rats; 0, 120, 240 mg/kg bw/day male mice; 5 days/week, 105 weeks (mice) or 106 weeks (rats), oral gavage) (Dieter et al., 1990, NTP, 1986). It has been discussed that these findings are likely to have been

results of exposure to toluene diamines, formed from TDI in the acidic gastric environment, an event which is not considered relevant for worker exposure (Dieter et al., 1990, Sielken et al., 2012).

7.7.3 Summary

In an assessment of the carcinogenic potential of TDI, IARC (1999) concluded that there is inadequate evidence for the carcinogenicity of TDI in humans and sufficient evidence for the carcinogenicity of TDI in experimental animals. The overall conclusion was that TDI is possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B).

Regarding monomeric and polymeric MDI, IARC (1999) concluded that there is inadequate evidence for carcinogenicity in humans, and there is limited evidence in experimental animals for carcinogenicity of a mixture containing monomeric and polymeric MDI. Overall, IARC evaluated that MDI (industrial preparation) is not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3).

Taking into consideration the update-publications related to the old cohorts, and the animal data published after the IARC review, ECHA concludes that there is no new information indicating a carcinogenicity potential of diisocyanates and the current data is still in line with the harmonised CLP-classification of several diisocyanates (Carc 2; Suspected of causing cancer).

7.8 Reproductive toxicity

7.8.1 Human data

No epidemiological studies were identified concerning reproductive toxicity and exposure to diisocyanates.

7.8.2 Animal data

Diisocyanates have not been reported to cause effects on reproduction or development in animal studies.

No effects on parameters related to reproductive toxicity were observed in a 2generation inhalation study with TDI in rats (0.3 ppm (2.1 mg/m³), corresponding to 1.0 mg/m³ NCO; 6 h/day, 5 days/week) (Tyl et al., 1999b). In a 2-year study with rats and mice no histological alterations in gonads were observed (Loeser, 1983).

HDI did not cause any effects on reproduction, gestation or early neonatal development in rats exposed by inhalation at doses up to 0.3 ppm (2.1 mg/m³), corresponding to 1.0 mg/m³ NCO, in a combined reproductive/ developmental/ neurotoxicity screening test (Astroff et al., 2000).

Exposure of pregnant rats to 0.5 ppm (3.6 mg/m³) TDI (80% 2,4-TDI:20% 2,6-TDI), corresponding to 1.7 mg/m³ NCO, during gestation days 6-15 showed a higher incidence of litters with poorly ossified cervical centrum no. 5 as compared to controls. However, the maternal body weight was significantly decreased, and dams showed respiratory symptoms and the litter findings were therefore considered as secondary to maternal toxicity. (Tyl et al., 1999a)

In the developmental toxicity study of Buschmann (1996), no significant treatment related effects were observed when rats were exposed to 9 mg/m³ (0.88 ppm) monomeric MDI, (corresponding to 3.0 mg/m³ NCO) on gestation days 6-15. The increase in asymmetric sternebrae observed in exposed litters was within normal variations.

Exposure to polymeric MDI aerosols during gestation days 6-15 at concentrations of 12 mg/m³ resulted in developmental toxicity effects (reduced placental and foetal body weights, increased incidence of skeletal variations and retardations) in rat offspring. At this dose level there was however severe maternal toxicity effects. No indications of maternal

or developmental toxicity were observed at the lower dose levels of 1 and 4 mg/m³. (Gamer et al., 2000)

No developmental toxicity effects were seen in a pre-natal developmental toxicity test performed in rats with inhalation exposure to HDI up to 0.3 ppm (2.1 mg/m^3 , corresponding to mg/m³ NCO) (Astroff et al., 2000).

7.8.3 Summary

There are no indications of reproductive or developmental toxicity effects of diisocyanates.

7.9 Mode of Action consideration

Respiratory sensitisation

Studies generally report very similar diisocyanate-induced hazardous effects in humans and test animals, namely irritation and sensitisation. Upon exposure, the NCO-group of the diisocyanate molecule is expected to form biomolecular conjugates when reacting quickly with the NH₂-group of proteins, like albumin. Glutathione is likely to play an important role in the formation of the diisocyanate-albumin conjugates, and glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms may have an influence on the outcome. The conjugated proteins are captured by immature dendritic airway cells, which, after maturation, migrate to lymph nodes and present the diisocyanate conjugates to naïve T-cells. Available data indicates a mixed Th1/Th2 cell response, involving both type I and type IV (identified by CD⁸⁺-T-cells and secretion of IFNγ and delayed reactions and lack of atopy as a recognized risk factor for diisocyanate-induced asthma) hypersensitivity. Also alternative mechanisms, like oxidative stress, have been suggested to be involved in triggering the development of asthma (DECOS, 2018, Cartier et al., 1989, Sastre et al., 1990, Wisnewski et al., 2013, Wisnewski et al., 2015, Shin et al., 2013, Liu and Wisnewski, 2003)

Based on the activity of the NCO-group, a common mechanism of action can be assumed for all diisocyanates. This assumption is partly supported by data indicating immunological cross-reactivity between diisocyanates in humans, but it is noted that lack of cross-reactivity has also been reported in human and mouse studies (Pollaris et al., 2016, Aul et al., 1999, Baur, 1983, Grammer et al., 1990, Lushniak et al., 1998, Malo et al., 1983, Mapp et al., 1985, Redlich, 2010, Wass and Belin, 1989).

Diisocyanate-induced asthma has been reported following exposure to several different diisocyanates. There is evidence that even a single low level exposure to TDI may result in minimal but detectable changes in airway calibre and in epithelial barrier permeability compatible with those observed in asthmatics (Vandenplas et al., 1999). Once a person becomes sensitised, asthmatic responses may be triggered upon exposure to very low concentrations of diisocyanates. At higher doses, the asthmatic reactions may also be linked to local irritation and non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness. It is not clear whether mechanisms involving IgE are occurring simultaneously with cellular (delayed-type) responses, but obviously the development of diisocyanate-induced occupational asthma is due complex mechanisms, differing from those related to conventional respiratory sensitisation (DECOS, 2018). Bronchial challenge tests with subjects having TDI-induced asthma were not able to detect specific IgE and atypical/delayed responses have been reported in subjects with TDI-induced asthma (Son et al., 1998, DECOS, 2018).

An enhanced production of MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1), suggesting an activation of macrophages, has been detected in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of persons with diisocyanate-induced occupational asthma (Bernstein et al., 2002, Lummus et al., 1998).

Dermal exposure seems to have an impact on respiratory sensitisation, but the mechanisms are unclear.

Irritation

Diisocyanates can cause skin, eye and respiratory irritation, including histological changes. The physico-chemical properties of different diisocyanates determine their deposition and thereby the main area of the respiratory tract where the local effects occur. Repeated inhalation exposure can result in local inflammatory effects. At high exposure concentrations, non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness may occur as a result of direct tissue injury and epithelial cell inflammation (Shin et al., 2013).

7.10 Lack of specific scientific information

The mechanisms of diisocyanate sensitisation are not fully understood. Unlike in high molecular weight substances that cause occupational asthma, specific IgE antibodies are not frequently detected and therefore mechanisms other than IgE mediated may play a role. Consequently there is no reliable marker of induction of respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates that could be used to identify either a threshold or a dose-response relationship for induction of sensitisation.

8. Occupational asthma risk assessment and exposure limit values

8.1 Published approaches for occupational asthma risk assessment and OELs for diisocyanates

Relevant publications presenting approaches for occupational asthma risk assessment or other bases for OELs for diisocyanates are summarised below.

8.1.1 ACGIH 2016

The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) reviewed the human and animal data to estimate an exposure level below which induction of TDI-induced sensitisation is unlikely (ACGIH, 2016). Comparison was made between annual incidence of TDI-induced OA in various populations (Adams 1975, Ott et al 2000) and (average) exposure levels associated with those incidences as well as animal experimental data. ACGIH established an 8-hour TWA of 1 ppb expected to result in further reduction of TDI-induced OA. ACGIH acknowledged, however, that when applying the 8-hour TWA of 1 ppb:

- not all new cases of TDI-induced OA may be eliminated; and
- workers who have already been sensitised to TDI may not be protected.

The report acknowledged that health effects associated with time-weighted average concentrations may be influenced by the occurrence of single or multiple peak exposures. With reference to the review of Ott et al 2003 it was stated that among employees exposed up to 5 ppb, more recent longitudinal studies with ongoing medical surveillance have produced no consistent evidence of accelerated FEV₁ loss. Therefore, ACGIH recommended also a STEL of 5 ppb, which is intended to minimise the number and magnitude of peak exposures.

The 8-hour TWA of 1 ppb (7 μ g/m³) and STEL of 5 ppb (35 μ g/m³) of TDI correspond to 3.4 and 17 μ g NCO/m³, respectively.

ECHA notes that in the absence of a reliable marker for induction of respiratory sensitisation, it is difficult to quantitatively assess a possible threshold or dose-response for induction of sensitisation to TDI. Consequently, it is difficult to assess the uncertainties related to the approach applied by ACGIH to derive a limit under which no induction of OA would occur. However, as explained in Section 7.5.1., accelerated FEV₁ loss is not considered a sensitive predictive marker of asthma, as asthma is characterised by variable airflow limitation, and lung function may not be decreased permanently.

ECHA also acknowledges ACGIH general position framework of setting Threshold limit values and Biological exposure indices (TLVs/BEIs). More specifically: "ACGIH® formulates a conclusion on the level of exposure that the typical worker can experience without

adverse health effects. The TLVs[®] and BEIs[®] represent conditions under which ACGIH[®] believes that nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed without adverse health effects. They are not fine lines between safe and dangerous exposures, nor are they a relative index of toxicology^{" 14}. Since ACGIH TLVs and BEIs are based solely on health factors, there is no consideration given to economic or technical feasibility.

8.1.2 DECOS 2018

The Dutch Expert Committee on Occupational Safety (DECOS) prepared an advisory report for the Dutch Health Council recommending a health-based occupational exposure limit for di- and triisocyanates (DECOS, 2018). For the purpose of setting an exposure limit of sensitisers The Dutch Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment has set a risk level of 1% extra risk of sensitisation due to occupational exposure. This refers to an extra risk of 1% unit, for example from a general population prevalence of 2 per 100 to a prevalence of 3 per 100 among those occupationally exposed. Consequently the DECOS risk assessment was focused on the dose-response in the range of 1-5% of extra risk.

DECOS used the data from studies of Pronk (Pronk et al., 2009, Pronk et al., 2007) and Collins et al. (2017). DECOS performed risk calculations from the published data of Collins et al. (2017) or performed further in-house analyses from the original data made available to them concerning the studies of Pronk et al., 2007 and 2009.

As regards the data of Pronk et al. (2009) and Pronk et al. (2007), both individual level and group level data were used for an exposure-response analysis. Based on individual level data and using bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR₂₀) as outcome lead to an exposure level of 0.10 μ g NCO/m³ corresponding to an extra risk of 1% (of BHR₂₀). Slightly higher exposure levels were calculated when the outcome was defined as BHR₂₀ + wheeze (See Table 30). However, the model based on BHR₂₀ was statistically more significant. Based on group level data analysis similar results were achieved.

Outcome	Prevalence in the reference category	P	Exposure µg NCO/m3 corresponding to the extra risk level (% unit) of outcome			
			1%	2%	3%	5%
BHR20	4/48 (6.3%)	0.039	0.10	0.19	0.37	1.39
BHR20 + wheeze	2/48 (4.2%)	0.098	0.13	0.36	0.97	7.09

Table 30: Extra risk of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR₂₀) and BHR₂₀ + wheeze by exposure to NCO calculated by DECOS from the original data of Pronk et al. (2009).

ECHA notes that the extra risk of 1% refers to an increase of 1 percentage unit. I.e. from a background risk of 6.3% of BHR₂₀ in the reference category to a risk of 7.3% of BHR₂₀ among those with an exposure level of 0.10 μ g NCO/m³ or an increase from 4.2% to 5.2% at 0.13 μ g NCO/m³ when BHR₂₀ + wheeze was used as health outcome.

As regards Collins et al. (2017), DECOS used the published exposure-response relationship for a risk calculation for cases with (symptoms of) TDI-induced occupational asthma by cumulative exposure to TDI. DECOS established a linear relationship between log transformed cumulative exposure and log transformed odds ratio of TDI-induced occupational asthma and used it to calculate cumulative exposure levels that corresponded to extra risks of 1%, 2%, 3% and 5% (referring to increases of percentage units). The

¹⁴ <u>https://www.acgih.org/tlv-bei-guidelines/policies-procedures-presentations/tlv-bei-position-statement</u>

cumulative exposures to TDI were then converted to 8 hour TWAs and then converted to an exposure metric of concentration of NCO groups (μ g/m³). An exposure level of 0.14 μ g NCO/m³ for 8 hours was associated with an extra risk of TDI-induced asthma of 1% (Table 31).

Table 31 Extra risk of TDI-induced asthma by exposure to NCO calculated by DECOS from the data of Collins et al. (2017).

Outcome	Exposure µg NCO/m3 corresponding to the extra risk level (% unit) of outcome				
	1%	2%	3%	5%	
TDI-induced asthma	0.14	0.38	0.65	1.34	

ECHA notes that in these calculations the cumulative exposures (ppm-years) reported in the original paper of Collins et al. (2017) were converted to a TWA value assuming an exposure duration of 11.8 years. The 11.8 years is the reported mean duration of job tenure at the time of enrolment to the study. However, according to Collins et al. (2017) the cumulative exposures reported in the study were calculated using the self-reported date of first TDI exposure for those about 25% participants who reported that date. For the rest, the exposure was assumed to commence at the start of the study when the hiredate preceded the start of the study, or was assumed to begin at their hire date when this occurred after the start of the study. The study was conducted during a 5-year period from June 2007 to June 2012, so the cumulative exposures used and reported by Collins et al. (2017) were accumulated over a clearly shorter period than the average 11.8 years' employment time at the start of the study. Meaning that the average exposure level resulting to the calculated cumulative exposure during those years was higher than when using the 11.8 years assumption. The above convention of calculating the cumulative exposure also fails to capture altogether the cumulative (or peak) exposure that preceded the start of the study for that majority of the study participants that did not self-report the start date of their exposure.

As explained in Section 7.5.1 Collins et al. (2017) observed an increased risk of cases consistent with TDI asthma both for cumulative exposure (OR = 2.08, 95% CI 1.07-4.05, per unit increase in log ppb-years) and for peak TDI exposures (OR = 1.18, 95% CI 1.06-1.32, per unit increase in parts per billion). So both cumulative exposure and peak exposure predicted an increased risk. When comparing probability of being an asthma case by exposure it was reported that by cumulative exposure the probability increased by 153% from 5 to 20 ppb-years while by estimated peak exposure it increased 962% from 5 to 20 ppm. These comparisons are based on statistical models based only on seven cases, and on estimated rather than measured peak exposures. However, they indicate that also peak exposures may play a role while the study did not try discerning the effect of cumulative and peak exposure.

The risk-based OEL recommended by DECOS (2018) is 0.1 μ g NCO/m³ which is based on the Dutch Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment reference risk level of 1% extra risk of sensitisation due to occupational exposure.

8.1.3 Daniels 2018

Daniels (2018) calculated BMD₀₁ and BMDL₀₁ values and used a low dose extrapolation to calculate a risk-based OEL for TDI corresponding to a working lifetime (45 years) extra risk of 1/1000 using first several models and finally both a linear no threshold (LNT) and a quadratic rate function. The quadratic model had the best fit and resulted in an OEL of 0.3 ppb of TDI corresponding to 1/1000 extra risk. ECHA notes that the 0.3 ppb of TDI would correspond to a NCO concentration of 1 μ g NCO/m³. It is to be noted that with LNT rate function the exposure concentration corresponding to a 1/1000 excess was lower (0.018)

84

ppb of TDI). An extra risk of 1/100 corresponded to an exposure of 1 ppb (quadratic rate function) or 0.2 ppb (linear rate function), i.e. 3.4 and 0.7 μ g NCO/m³, respectively.

Average TDI exposure as µg NCO/m ³	Extra risk (cases	s per 1000 persons)	
17	238	245	
3.4	10	55	
1.0	1	-	
0.34	<1	6	
0.069	-	1	
0.034	<1	<1	

Table 32 Extra risk of TDI-induced OA from continuous TDI exposure over a 45-year	
working lifetime by exposure expressed as μ g NCO/m ³ (from Daniels (2018)).	

The role of peak exposures was not assessed. Moreover, Daniels (2018) acknowledged that "Data on the appropriate exposure index for dose-response modeling are uncertain. It remains unclear whether TDI-induced asthma is a consequence of low cumulative exposure, exposure intensity, or some combination that also accounts for time ordering of intermittent exposure." And "For this study, it is assumed that the risk of TDI sensitization is related to average exposure, which may also be a correlate of peak exposures." The case definition varied between the studies and was based either on work-related symptoms compatible with OA, a diagnosis by a physician or review of medical files. The extra risk per average exposure was calculated per 1000 workers who are continuously exposed to that average level of TDI over a 45-year working lifetime. This seems a conservative assumption given the case-control study of Meredith et al 2000 were exposure intensity did not correlate with risk of asthma occurring several years after onset of employment in the risk job.

ECHA notes that the analysis includes some cross-sectional studies for which the person year experience is estimated for the period before the survey took place. These estimates may be biased because the population from which the survivors originated, is not known. No sub-analyses were reported restricted to longitudinal studies only. In addition, the model with the highest fit, used for risk calculations was not statistically significant. Some of the studies are also relatively old, and potentially subject to the exposure measurement inaccuracies described at the beginning of Ch 7.5.1.

8.1.4 DFG

The German MAK commission (DFG, 2019) has used different approaches when proposing substance specific limit values (MAK values) for some diisocyanates.

The values for MDI (see Table 6) are derived based on slight breathing difficulties observed in workers at MDI concentrations of 0.1 mg/m³. No effects were observed at 0.05 mg/m³ (5 ppb, corresponding to 17 μ g/m³ NCO) which is set as the 8-hour MAK-value. The value applies also for polymeric MDI, as the reactive NCO groups are attached to MDI and polymeric MDI to the same extent. In long-term inhalation studies in rats, the NOAEC for MDI, as well as for polymeric MDI was identified as 0.2 mg/m³ (local lung effects), indicating no need to adjust the MAK value. (DFG, 2008)

For HDI, the MAK value (see Table 8), is set on the basis of the occurrence of metaplasia and/or hyperplasia with hyaline degeneration of the respiratory epithelium and the mucus-secreting glands in a two-year inhalation study with rats. The NOAEC was considered to be 5 ppb ($35 \ \mu g/m^3$, corresponding to $17 \ \mu g/m^3$ NCO), which was selected as MAK value. (DFG, 2013)

No MAK value has been established for TDI (DFG, 2015).

8.1.5 ANSES (2019)

In its draft recommendation for occupational limits for TDI¹⁵ (currently ongoing consultation), ANSES considered that the available epidemiological studies reporting data on respiratory effects suffer from several limitations for the establishment of dose-response relationships. ANSES concluded that the available animal studies indicate that the induction of respiratory sensitisation (as well as respiratory irritation) is a threshold phenomenon and the effect levels of respiratory irritation and induction of respiratory sensitisation are similar and therefore an OEL based on irritation adequately protects against both irritation and sensitisation. Consequently respiratory irritation was selected as the critical end point for the OEL, while it was considered that protection against irritation will avoid sensitisation, but not allergic reactions in "sensitised" individuals. To determine the point of departure to establish a STEL for respiratory irritation, ANSES retained the study of Vandenplas et al. (1999) as the key study. A LOAEC of 20 ppb was identified from this human experimental study of in which healthy volunteers were exposed to TDI (see Section 7.5.1.1). When applying an assessment factor of 3 for the conversion from LOAEC to NOAEC and an intra-species assessment factor of 5, they ended up with a 15-minute STEL value of 1.3 ppb (9 μ g /m³, corresponding to 4 μ g /m³ NCO).

As there was no reliable data from which an 8-hour OEL could be derived, a pragmatic 8-hour value was calculated by dividing the 15-minute STEL with a factor of 32 (32 times 15-minutes during an 8-hour work shift; aiming at minimising the risk of exceeding the STEL), resulting in a value of 0.04 ppb (0.28 μ g/m³, corresponding to 0.14 μ g/m³ NCO).

8.2 Exposure limit values

8.2.1 Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs)

ECHA considers that the most appropriate way to prevent asthma caused by diisocyanates would be to prevent respiratory sensitisation altogether, i.e. to prevent its induction. However, in the absence of a reliable marker for induction of respiratory sensitisation due to diisocyanates, it is not possible to identify a threshold or to derive a dose-response for the **induction** of respiratory sensitisation.

The next best approach is to derive an exposure limit to prevent **elicitation** of respiratory sensitisation, i.e. the occurrence of clinically manifest asthma. **However, ECHA considers** that the data available do not allow identification of a threshold average exposure concentration below which no cases of asthma would occur among those workers where the induction of respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates has already taken place.

Therefore, ECHA has not proposed an OEL but proposes to further develop the approach to derive an exposure response and then establish an OEL.

8.2.1.1 Dose-response (Exposure-response)

ECHA considers that it is appropriate to derive an exposure-response based on the concentration of the NCO group and to apply that to all diisocyanates. Also the recent recommendation for occupational exposure limits for diisocyanates by DECOS (2018) followed the group approach (NCO group). In the restriction Background Document (ECHA, 2018a) all diisocyanates were grouped together as "*the functional (di)isocyanate group is the important chemical group of these substances*". As presented in Table 5, several countries have already set their current OEL values for the group, based on the NCO concentrations.

¹⁵ Document for public consultation:

https://www.anses.fr/fr/system/files/REC_NEC_VLEP_TDI_pourconsult_paraphV3.pdf

Daniels (2018) established an exposure-response, which was based on eight studies covering 118 cases of TDI-induced asthma and 13 590 person-years, and which reports the dose-response for the extra risk range of 1/1000 to 250/1000, and NCO concentration range of 0.03 to 17 μ g NCO/m³. DECOS (2018) established an exposure-response based on 19 cases of asthma or 33 cases with bronchial hyperresponsiveness (Pronk et al., 2009, cross-sectional so no person-years reported) and 7 cases of asthma and 765 person-years (Collins et al., 2017) and reports the exposure-response for extra risk range of 1/100 to 5/100, and NCO concentration range of 0.1 to 1.4 or 7.1 μ g NCO/m³ (depending on outcome definition) (see also Section 8.1).

ECHA notes that the exposure intensity resulting in a 1/100 extra risk differs between DECOS (0.10 μ g NCO/m³ based on Pronk data and 0.14 NCO/m³ based on Collins data) and Daniels (0.7 – 3.4 μ g NCO/m³, depending on the model used). As the model by DECOS is not a linear one and is only presented for extra risks of 1, 2, 3, and 5 %, it is difficult to compare the dose-responses further. However the (best fitted) quadratic rate function model of Daniels estimates an extra risk of 1/1000 per 1 μ g NCO/m³, while a 10 times lower exposure (0.1 μ g NCO/m³) was associated with a 10 times higher extra risk (1/100) with the DECOS model regardless if BHR₂₀ or BHR₂₀ + asthma symptoms was used as outcome measure. There are methodological differences and differences in exposure (HDI or TDI) between the two methods. The model of Daniels calculated the extra risk for a continuous exposure during a 45 year working lifetime, while it is not clear how the duration of exposure was taken into account in the in-house calculations of DECOS.

Overall ECHA notes that none of the dose-responses addressed the effect of peak exposures or included dermal exposure. ECHA notes that data from other causative agents of OA indicate that peak and cumulative exposure are highly correlated and so are dermal and inhalation exposure (see section 7.5.3.1) indicating that it would be difficult to discern their individual effects. ECHA also notes that the calculation spreading the cumulative exposure over a period of 45 years seems relatively conservative given the risk calculations by onset of employment by Meredith et al. (2000), the similar descriptive data of Collins et al. (2017) that indicate that 1-2 years after the onset of exposure the risk is clearly levelling off, and similar observations for OA causative agents other than diisocyanates. Some further uncertainties are also described in Sections 8.1.2 and 8.1.3 for each of the three exposure-response approaches. Finally ECHA notes that the exposure-response by Daniels (2018) and Collins et al (2017) are based on TDI exposure which accounts for 60% of current diisocyanate use in Europe while the dose-response from Pronk et al (2007, 2009) is predominantly based on HDI exposure which accounts for 4% of current use in Europe.

ECHA does not consider it possible to conclude that any one of the three exposure-responses is clearly more reliable than the other. Therefore ECHA recommends to RAC to consider all three exposure-response relationships in a weight of evidence approach to establish an overall exposure-response¹⁶.

Not many animal studies have involved inhalation exposure at several concentrations, based on which a correlation between doses and responses could be identified. Pauluhn and Poole (2011) presented a dose-dependent increase in respiratory rate and bronchioalveolar lavage parameters in rats exposed to MDI. Pauluhn (2015, 2014) estimated 8-hour human workplace equivalent concentrations (HEC) corresponding to the

¹⁶ Originally ECHA considered that the data from Daniels (2018) would be the most suitable as it is based on the highest number of cases, several studies and the substance TDI which is currently the most used diisocyanate and also because it reports the extra risk for the widest range of exposure intensity. However, RAC's attention is drawn to some methodological deficiencies in some of the studies used by Daniels (2018) and ECHA suggests to list the pros and cons of each of the three exposure-response relationships and to use them all following a statistical reanalysis to combine them. This could not be achieved in advance of the public consultation due to time constraints.

elicitation results of rat studies performed with TDI and HDI using a protocol with dermal sensitisation and inhalation challenge. The NOAELs for elicitation in rats were considered to be 1000 mg TDI/m³ x min and 900 mg HDI/ m³ x min. Based on this, the HEC values for TDI and HDI were estimated as 0.003 ppm (0.02 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.0096 mg/m³ NCO), and 0.03 ppm (0.2 mg/m³, corresponding to 0.10 mg/m³ NCO), respectively.

8.2.2 Short Term Exposure Limits (STELs)

The risk of asthma from diisocyanates is influenced by both cumulative and peak exposures. For the same reasons as above, it is not possible to identify a threshold or exposure-response for induction of respiratory sensitisation by peak exposures. Likewise it is not possible to identify a threshold peak exposure below which no cases of asthma would occur among those workers where the induction of respiratory sensitisation to diisocyanates has already taken place. Furthermore, there are no data available to derive an exposure-response describing the extra risk of asthma by peak exposure level.

Nevertheless ECHA considers that setting a 15 minute STEL would further enhance prevention of diisocyanate induced asthma. ECHA notes that ACGIH (2016) has proposed for TDI a STEL value (0.005 ppm, 0.035 mg/m³ of TDI) that is 5 times higher than their recommended value for an 8-hour TWA (0.001 ppm, 0.007 mg/m³) for TDI. DFG (2008) recommended for MDI and polymeric MDI a 'momentary value' (0.1 mg/m³) which is two times higher than the 8-hour MAK value (0.05 mg/m³), as it was considered that exposure to concentrations above 0.2 mg/m³ may be relevant for the induction of specific hyper-reactivity in the airways. AGS (2006) has for TDI set a peak (ceiling) value which is four times higher than the 8-hour limit value [0.02 ppm (0.14 mg/m³) vs 0.005 ppm (0.035 mg/m³)]. The AGS 15-minute short-term limit value is identical with their 8 h limit value (0.005 ppm).

ECHA considers that when using the exposure-responses described in Section 8.2.1 to establish an OEL (8-hour TWA), a 15 min STEL of not more than 5 times higher than that OEL value should be established.

8.2.3 Biological Limit Value (BLV)

It is difficult to find a correlation between air monitoring data for total NCO group and biomarkers. Most correlations between air and urine concentrations (diisocyanates vs related diamine) found in literature are for the specific diisocyanates compounds and not to the concentrations of diisocyanates as a group. Also for specific diisocyanates the data is fairly limited and it is difficult to compare correlations. **Thus, no biological limit value is proposed.**

8.2.4 Biological Guidance Value (BGV)

No biological guidance value is proposed as the background levels of the general population are in most cases non detectable.

8.3 Notations

As diisocyanates cause skin and respiratory sensitisation, and as a result, the notations '**skin sensitisation**' and '**respiratory sensitisation**' are proposed. In addition, a '**skin**' notation is proposed because the available data indicates that diisocyanates absorbed after exposure via the dermal route can reach the systemic circulation and have a marked impact on the development of respiratory sensitisation.

9. Groups at Extra Risk

Workers who have been sensitised to (di)isocyanates are at increased risk to develop respiratory symptoms, also at very low exposure concentrations. This is, however, already

covered by the derived exposure-responses which are based on elicitation of asthma in already (di)isocyanate sensitised persons.

Workers with pre-existing asthma or other respiratory problems may have an increased risk to develop respiratory symptoms caused by diisocyanate-induced irritation.

REFERENCES

- ACGIH 2016. Toluene diisocyanate, 2,4. or 2,6- (or as a mixture). From ACGIH®, Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, 7th Edition. Copyright 2001. Reprinted with permission.
- ADAMS, W. G. 1975. Long-term effects on the health of men engaged in the manufacture of tolylene di-isocyanate. *Br J Ind Med*, 32, 72-8.
- AGS 2006. Begründung zu 2-Methyl-m-phenylendiisocyanat in TRGS 900 [Background document for 2-methyl-m-phenylenediisocyanate in TRGS 900], date: 2006-01. Ausschuss für Gefahrstoffe. Bundesanstalt für Arbeitsschutz und Arbeitsmedizin (BAuA), Dortmund, Germany. <u>http://www.baua.de/de/Themen-von-A-Z/Gefahrstoffe/TRGS/pdf/900/900-2-methyl-m-phenylendiisocyanat.pdf?</u> blob=publicationFile (last accessed 2019-05-22).
- AKBAR-KHANZADEH, F. & RIVAS, R. D. 1996. Exposure to isocyanates and organic solvents, and pulmonary-function changes in workers in a polyurethane molding process. *J Occup Environ Med*, 38, 1205-12.
- ALEXANDERSSON, R., GUSTAFSSON, P., HEDENSTIERNA, G. & ROSEN, G. 1986. Exposure to naphthalene-diisocyanate in a rubber plant: symptoms and lung function. *Arch Environ Health*, 41, 85-9.
- ALEXANDERSSON, R., HEDENSTIERNA, G., PLATO, N. & KOLMODIN-HEDMAN, B. 1987. Exposure, lung function, and symptoms in car painters exposed to hexamethylendiisocyanate and biuret modified hexamethylendiisocyanate. Arch Environ Health, 42, 367-73.
- ALEXANDERSSON, R., HEDENSTIERNA, G., RANDMA, E., ROSEN, G., SWENSON, A. & TORNLING, G. 1985. Symptoms and lung function in low-exposure to TDI by polyurethane foam manufacturing. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 55, 149-57.
- AOYAMA, K., HUANG, J., UEDA, A. & MATSUSHITA, T. 1994. Provocation of respiratory allergy in guinea pigs following inhalation of free toluene diisocyanate. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol*, 26, 403-7.
- ARTS, J. H., DE JONG, W. H., VAN TRIEL, J. J., SCHIJF, M. A., DE KLERK, A., VAN LOVEREN, H. & KUPER, C. F. 2008. The respiratory local lymph node assay as a tool to study respiratory sensitizers. *Toxicol Sci*, 106, 423-34.
- ASTROFF, A. B., STURDIVANT, D. W., LAKE, S. G., SHIOTSUKA, R. N., SIMON, G. S. & ANDREWS, L. S. 2000. Developmental toxicity of 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) in the Sprague-Dawley rat. *Teratology*, 62, 205-13.
- ATSDR 2018. Toxicological profile for toluene diisocyanate and methylenediphenyl diisocyanate. U.S. Department of health and human services. Public Health Service Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.
- AUL, D. J., BHAUMIK, A., KENNEDY, A. L., BROWN, W. E., LESAGE, J. & MALO, J. L. 1999. Specific IgG response to monomeric and polymeric diphenylmethane diisocyanate conjugates in subjects with respiratory reactions to isocyanates. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 103, 749-55.
- AUSTIN, S. 2007. Biological monitoring of TDI-derived amines in polyurethane foam production. *Occupational Medicine*, 57, 444-448.

- AXFORD, A. T., MCKERROW, C. B., JONES, A. P. & LE QUESNE, P. M. 1976. Accidental exposure to isocyanate fumes in a group of firemen. *Br J Ind Med*, 33, 65-71.
- BARROW, C. S., ALARIE, Y. & STOCK, M. F. 1978. Sensory irritation and incapacitation evoked by thermal decomposition products of polymers and comparisons with known sensory irritants. *Arch Environ Health*, 33, 79-88.
- BAUR, X. 1983. Immunologic cross-reactivity between different albumin-bound isocyanates. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 71, 197-205.
- BELGIANCA 2005. European Union risk assessment report. Methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI), CAS No: 26447-40-5, EINECS No: 247-714-0, final report. European Commission.
- BELLO, A., XUE, Y., GORE, R., WOSKIE, S. & BELLO, D. 2019. Assessment and control of exposures to polymeric methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (pMDI) in spray polyurethane foam applicators. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*, 222, 804-815.
- BELLO, D., HERRICK, C. A., SMITH, T. J., WOSKIE, S. R., STREICHER, R. P., CULLEN, M. R., LIU, Y. & REDLICH, C. A. 2007. Skin exposure to isocyanates: reasons for concern. *Environ Health Perspect*, 115, 328-35.
- BELLO, D., REDLICH, C. A., STOWE, M. H., SPARER, J., WOSKIE, S., STREICHER, R. P., HOSGOOD, H. D. & LIU, Y. 2008. Skin Exposure to Aliphatic Polyisocyanates in the Auto Body Repair and Refinishing Industry: II. A Quantitative Assessment. Ann Occup Hyg, 52, 117-124.
- BELLO, D., WOSKIE, S. R., STREICHER, R. P., LIU, Y., STOWE, M. H., EISEN, E. A., ELLENBECKER, M. J., SPARER, J., YOUNGS, F., CULLEN, M. R. & REDLICH, C. A. 2004. Polyisocyanates in occupational environments: a critical review of exposure limits and metrics. *Am J Ind Med*, 46, 480-91.
- BERNSTEIN, D. I., CARTIER, A., COTE, J., MALO, J. L., BOULET, L. P., WANNER, M., MILOT, J., L'ARCHEVEQUE, J., TRUDEAU, C. & LUMMUS, Z. 2002. Diisocyanate antigen-stimulated monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 synthesis has greater test efficiency than specific antibodies for identification of diisocyanate asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 166, 445-50.
- BERNSTEIN, D. I., KORBEE, L., STAUDER, T., BERNSTEIN, J. A., SCINTO, J., HERD, Z. L. & BERNSTEIN, I. L. 1993a. The low prevalence of occupational asthma and antibody-dependent sensitization to diphenylmethane diisocyanate in a plant engineered for minimal exposure to diisocyanates. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 92, 387-96.
- BERNSTEIN, D. I., KORBEE, L., STAUDER, T., BERNSTEIN, J. A., SCINTO, J., HERD, Z. L. & BERNSTEIN, I. L. 1993b. The low prevalence of occupational asthma and antibody-dependent sensitization to diphenylmethane diisocyanate in a plant engineered for minimal exposure to diisocyanates. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 92, 387-396.
- BERNSTEIN, I. L., CHANG-YEUNG, M. & MALO, J. L. 2013. Definition and classification of asthma in the workplace. *In:* MALO JL, C.-Y. M., BERNSTEIN DL (ed.) *Asthma in the workplace.* 4 ed. Boca Raton, London, New York: CRC Press.
- BODNER, K. M., BURNS, C. J., RANDOLPH, N. M. & SALAZAR, E. J. 2001. A longitudinal study of respiratory health of toluene diisocyanate production workers. *J Occup Environ Med*, 43, 890-7.

- BOLOGNESI, C., BAUR, X., MARCZYNSKI, B., NORPPA, H., SEPAI, O. & SABBIONI, G. 2001. Carcinogenic risk of toluene diisocyanate and 4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate: epidemiological and experimental evidence. *Crit Rev Toxicol*, 31, 737-72.
- BRORSON, T., SKARPING, G. & NIELSEN, J. 1990. Biological monitoring of isocyanates and related amines. II. Test chamber exposure of humans to 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI). *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 62, 385-9.
- BRUCKNER, H. C., AVERY, S. B., STETSON, D. M., DODSON, V. N. & RONAYNE, J. J. 1968. Clinical and immunologic appraisal of workers exposed to diisocyanates. *Arch Environ Health*, 16, 619-25.
- BUCKLEY, L. A., JIANG, X. Z., JAMES, R. A., MORGAN, K. T. & BARROW, C. S. 1984. Respiratory tract lesions induced by sensory irritants at the RD50 concentration. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 74, 417-29.
- BUDNIK, L. T., NOWAK, D., MERGET, R., LEMIERE, C. & BAUR, X. 2011. Elimination kinetics of diisocyanates after specific inhalative challenges in humans: mass spectrometry analysis, as a basis for biomonitoring strategies. *J Occup Med Toxicol*, 6, 9.
- BUGLER, J., CLARK, R. L. & HILL, I. D. 1991. The acute and long-term respiratory effects of aromatic di-isocyanates. A five year longitudinal study of polyurethane foam workers. III report 10848. Manchester, UK: International Isocyanate Institute.
- BUSCHMANN, J., KOCH, W., FUHST, R. & HEINRICH, U. 1996. Embryotoxicity study of monomeric 4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) aerosol after inhalation exposure in Wistar rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*, 32, 96-101.
- BUTCHER, B. T., JONES, R. N., O'NEIL, C. E., GLINDMEYER, H. W., DIEM, J. E., DHARMARAJAN, V., WEILL, H. & SALVAGGIO, J. E. 1977. Longitudinal study of workers employed in the manufacture of toluene-diisocyanate. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 116, 411-21.
- BUYANTSEVA, L. V., LISS, G. M., RIBEIRO, M., MANNO, M., LUCE, C. E. & TARLO, S. M. 2011. Reduction in diisocyanate and non-diisocyanate sensitizer-induced occupational asthma in Ontario. *J Occup Environ Med*, 53, 420-6.
- CARTIER, A., GRAMMER, L., MALO, J. L., LAGIER, F., GHEZZO, H., HARRIS, K. & PATTERSON, R. 1989. Specific serum antibodies against isocyanates: association with occupational asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 84, 507-14.
- CASSIDY, L. D., DONEY, B., WANG, M. L., KURTH, L., CONNER, P. R., COLLINS, J. J., CARSON, M., MOLENAAR, D., REDLICH, C. A. & STOREY, E. 2017. Medical Monitoring for Occupational Asthma Among Toluene Diisocyanate Production Workers in the United States. J Occup Environ Med, 59 Suppl 12, S13-s21.
- CASSIDY, L. D., MOLENAAR, D. M., HATHAWAY, J. A., FEELEY, T. M., CUMMINGS, B. J., SIMPSON, P. & LI, S. H. 2010. Trends in pulmonary function and prevalence of asthma in hexamethylene diisocyanate workers during a 19-year period. J Occup Environ Med, 52, 988-94.
- CHECKOWAY, H., PEARCE, N. & KRIEBEL, D. 2004. *Research methods in occupational epidemiology, ed. 2. Monographs in epidemiology and biostatistics,* Oxford, New York, Oxford University Press.

- CHRISTENSEN, F., NILSSON, N. & NYANDER JEPPESEN, C. 2014. Survey of certain isocyanates (MDI and TDI), part of the LOUS-review. The Danish Environmental Protection Agency.
- CLARK, R. L., BUGLER, J., MCDERMOTT, M., HILL, I. D., ALLPORT, D. C. & CHAMBERLAIN, J. D. 1998. An epidemiology study of lung function changes of toluene diisocyanate foam workers in the United Kingdom. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 71, 169-79.
- CLARK, R. L., BUGLER, J., PADDLE, G. M., CHAMBERLAIN, J. D. & ALLPORT, D. C. 2003. A 17-year epidemiological study on changes in lung function in toluene diisocyanate foam workers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 76, 295-301.
- CLAYTON, M. & BAXTER, N. 2015. Air-Fed Visors Used for Isocyanate Paint Spraying— Potential Exposure When the Visor Is Lifted. *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, 59, 1179-1189.
- COCKER, J. 2011. Biological Monitoring for Isocyanates. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 55, 127-131.
- COLLINS, J. J., ANTEAU, S., CONNER, P. R., CASSIDY, L. D., DONEY, B., WANG, M. L., KURTH, L., CARSON, M., MOLENAAR, D., REDLICH, C. A. & STOREY, E. 2017. Incidence of Occupational Asthma and Exposure to Toluene Diisocyanate in the United States Toluene Diisocyanate Production Industry. *J Occup Environ Med*, 59 Suppl 12, S22-s27.
- CREELY, K. S., HUGHSON, G. W., COCKER, J. & JONES, K. 2006. Assessing Isocyanate Exposures in Polyurethane Industry Sectors Using Biological and Air Monitoring Methods. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 50, 609-621.
- DAFTARIAN, H. S., ROEGNER, K. C. & REH, C. M. 2000. NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation Report 98-0011-2801. Brodhead, Wisconsin: Woodbridge Corporation.
- DAHLIN, J., SPANNE, M., DALENE, M., KARLSSON, D. & SKARPING, G. 2008. Size-Separated Sampling and Analysis of Isocyanates in Workplace Aerosols - PArt II: Aging od Aerosols from Thermal Degradation of Polyurethane. Ann Occup Hyg, 52, 375-383.
- DAHLQVIST, M., TORNLING, G., PLATO, N. & ULFVARSON, U. 1995. Effects within the week on forced vital capacity are correlated with long term changes in pulmonary function: reanalysis of studies on car painters exposed to isocyanate. *Occup Environ Med*, 52, 192-5.
- DANIELS, R. D. 2018. Occupational asthma risk from exposures to toluene diisocyanate: A review and risk assessment. *Am J Ind Med*, 61, 282-292.
- DAY, B. W., JIN, R. & KAROL, M. H. 1996. In vivo and in vitro reactions of toluene diisocyanate isomers with guinea pig hemoglobin. *Chem Res Toxicol*, 9, 568-73.
- DE CEAURRIZ, J. C., MICILLINO, J. C., BONNET, P. & GUENIER, J. P. 1981. Sensory irritation caused by various industrial airborne chemicals. *Toxicol Lett*, 9, 137-43.
- DECOS 2018. Di- and triisocyanates. Health-based recommendation on occupational exposure limits. Report to the State Secretary of Social Affairs and Employment. No. 2018/20. The Hague, Health Council of the Netherlands.

- DELEBECQ, E., PASCAULT, J.-P., BOUTEVIN, B. & GANACHAUD, F. 2013. On the versatility of urethane/urea bonds: Reversibility, blocked isocyanate, and non-isocyanate polyurethane. *Chemical Reviews*, 113, 80-118.
- DFG 1997. 4,4'-Methylene diphenyl isocyanate (MDI) and "polymeric MDI" (PMDI) [MAK value documentation, 1997]. *The MAK Collection for Occupational Health and Safety, volume 8. Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, (ed.).* Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).
- DFG 2000. 4,4'-Methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) (inhalable fraction) [BAT Value Documentation, 2000]. *The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety*.
- DFG 2003. Toluene diisocyanate [MAK Value Documentation, 2003]. *The MAK Collection for* Occupational Safety and Health, volume 20. Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).
- DFG 2007. Addendum to 4,4'-Methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (inhalable fraction)[BAT Value Documentation, 2007]. *The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety.*
- DFG 2008. 4,4'-Methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) [101-68-8] and "polymeric" MDI (PMDI) [9016-87-9] [MAK Value Documentation, 2008]. The MAK Collection For Occupational Health and Safety. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), (ed.), 1-52. Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).
- DFG 2012. Hexamethylendiisocyanat (HDI) [BAT Value Documentation in German language, 2012]. *The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety.*
- DFG 2013. Hexamethylene diisocyanate [MAK Value Documentation, 2013b]. *The MAK Collection For Occupational Health and Safety. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), (ed.. Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area.* Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).
- DFG 2015. Toluylendiisocyanate [MAK Value Documentation in German Language, 2015]. *The MAK Collection For Occupational Health and Safety. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), (ed.. Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area*
- DFG 2017. Hexamethylene diisocyanate, 2,4-toluene diisocyanate, 2,6-toluene diisocyanate, isophorone diisocyanate and 4,4'-methylene diphenyl diisocyanate Determination of hexamethylenediamine, 2,4-toluenediamine, 2,6-toluenediamine, isophoronediamine and 4,4'-methylenedianiline in urine using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry [Biomonitoring Methods, 2017]. *The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety*.
- DFG 2019. *MAK- und BAT-Werte-Liste 2019*, Ständige Senatskommission zur Prüfung gesundheitsschädlicher Arbeitsstoffe. Mitteilung 55. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.
- DIEM, J. E., JONES, R. N., HENDRICK, D. J., GLINDMEYER, H. W., DHARMARAJAN, V., BUTCHER, B. T., SALVAGGIO, J. E. & WEILL, H. 1982. Five-year longitudinal study of workers employed in a new toluene diisocyanate manufacturing plant. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 126, 420-8.

- DIETER, M. P., BOORMAN, G. A., JAMESON, C. W., MATTHEWS, H. B. & HUFF, J. E. 1990. The carcinogenic activity of commercial grade toluene diisocyanate in rats and mice in relation to the metabolism of the 2,4- and 2,6-TDI isomers. *Toxicol Ind Health*, 6, 599-621.
- DILLER, W. F. 2002. Frequency and trends of occupational asthma due to toluene diisocyanate: a critical review. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg*, 17, 872-7.
- DODGE, D. E. & SILVA, R. 2016a. Methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (monomer and polymeric forms) reference exposure levels. Technical support document for the derivation of noncancer reference exposure levels. Appendix D1, date: 2016-03. Oakland, CA, USA: California Environmental Protection Agency.
- DODGE, D. E. & SILVA, R. 2016b. Toluene diisocyanate reference exposure levels. Technical support document for the derivation of noncancer reference exposure levels. Appendix D1, date: 2016-03. Oakland, CA, USA: California Environmental Protection Agency.
- DONNELLY, R., BUICK, J. B. & MACMAHON, J. 2004. Occupational asthma after exposure to plaster casts containing methylene diphenyl diisocyanate. *Occup Med (Lond)*, 54, 432-4.
- DOTSON, G. S., MAIER, A., SIEGEL, P. D., ANDERSON, S. E., GREEN, B. J., STEFANIAK, A. B., CODISPOTI, C. D. & KIMBER, I. 2015. Setting Occupational Exposure Limits for Chemical Allergens--Understanding the Challenges. *J Occup Environ Hyg*, 12 Suppl 1, S82-98.
- DRAGOS, M., JONES, M., MALO, J. L., GHEZZO, H. & GAUTRIN, D. 2009. Specific antibodies to diisocyanate and work-related respiratory symptoms in apprentice carpainters. *Occup Environ Med*, 66, 227-34.
- DUNCAN, B., SCHEEL, L. D., FAIRCHILD, E. J., KILLENS, R. & GRAHAM, S. 1962. Toluene diisocyanate inhalation toxicity: pathology and mortality. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J*, 23, 447-456.
- EBINO, K., UEDA, H., KAWAKATSU, H., SHUTOH, Y., KOSAKA, T., NAGAYOSHI, E., LEMUS, R. & KAROL, M. H. 2001. Isolated airway exposure to toluene diisocyanate results in skin sensitization. *Toxicol Lett*, 121, 79-85.
- ECHA 2018a. Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC) Annex to the Background document to the Opinion on the Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions on diisocyanates.
- ECHA 2018b. Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC) Opinion on the Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions. Diisocyanates. European Chemicals Agency.
- ECHA 2019. Registered substances dissemination site. <u>https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances</u> (last visited 03.09.2019). European Chemicals Agency.
- ENGFELDT, M., ISAKSSON, M., ZIMERSON, E. & BRUZE, M. 2013. Several cases of work-related allergic contact dermatitis caused by isocyanates at a company manufacturing heat exchangers. *Contact Dermatitis*, 68, 175-80.
- FENT, K. W., TRELLES GAINES, L. G., THOMASEN, J. M., FLACK, S. L., DING, K., HERRING, A. H., WHITTAKER, S. G. & NYLANDER-FRENCH, L. A. 2009a.

Quantification and Statistical Modeling- Part II: Dermal Concentrations of Monomeric and Polymeric 1,6-Hexamethylene Diisocyanate. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 53, 691-702.

- FENT, K. W., TRELLES GAINES, L. G., THOMASEN, J. M., FLACK, S. L., HERRING, A. H., WHITTAKER, S. G. & NYLANDER-FRENCH, L. A. 2009b. Quantification and Statistical Modeling-Part I: Breathing-Zone Concentrations of Monomeric and Polymeric 1,6-Hexamethylene Diisocyanate. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 53, 677-689.
- FERON, V. J., KITTEL, B., KUPER, C. F., ERNST, H., RITTINGHAUSEN, S., MUHLE, H., KOCH, W., GAMER, A., MALLETT, A. K. & HOFFMANN, H. D. 2001. Chronic pulmonary effects of respirable methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) aerosol in rats: combination of findings from two bioassays. *Arch Toxicol*, 75, 159-75.
- FIOH 2019. Workplace air monitoring results (μg/m3) for isocyanates from different industry sectors during the period 2008-2016 in Finland. the Finnish Industrial hygiene measurement registry in FIOH, Finland. (FIOH 2019) (Link to the data: https://www.ttl.fi/kemikaalit-ja-tyo/isosyanaatit/ Last accessed 10.07.2019).
- GAD, S. C., DUNN, B. J., DOBBS, D. W., REILLY, C. & WALSH, R. D. 1986. Development and validation of an alternative dermal sensitization test: the mouse ear swelling test (MEST). *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 84, 93-114.
- GAGNAIRE, F., BAN, M., MICILLINO, J. C., LEMONNIER, M. & BONNET, P. 1996. Bronchial responsiveness and inflammation in guinea-pigs exposed to toluene diisocyanate: a study on single and repeated exposure. *Toxicology*, 114, 91-100.
- GAMER, A. O., HELLWIG, J., DOE, J. E. & TYL, R. W. 2000. Prenatal toxicity of inhaled polymeric methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) aerosols in pregnant wistar rats. *Toxicol Sci*, 54, 431-40.
- GEE, J. B. & MORGAN, W. K. 1985. A 10-year follow-up study of a group of workers exposed to isocyanates. *J Occup Med*, 27, 15-8.
- GEZONDHEIDSRAAD 2008. Prevention of work-related airway allergies. Recommended occupational exposure limits and periodic screening. Publication no. 2008/03E, Den Haag, The Netherlands, Gezondheidsraad (Health Council of the Netherlands).
- GLEDHILL, A., WAKE, A., HEXT, P., LEIBOLD, E. & SHIOTSUKA, R. 2005. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of an inhalation dose of [14C] 4,4'- methylenediphenyl diisocyanate in the male rat. *Xenobiotica*, 35, 273-92.
- GOOSSENS, A., DETIENNE, T. & BRUZE, M. 2002. Occupational allergic contact dermatitis caused by isocyanates. *Contact Dermatitis*, 47, 304-8.
- GORDON, T., SHEPPARD, D., MCDONALD, D. M., DISTEFANO, S. & SCYPINSKI, L. 1985. Airway hyperresponsiveness and inflammation induced by toluene diisocyanate in guinea pigs. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 132, 1106-12.
- GRAMMER, L. C., HARRIS, K. E., MALO, J. L., CARTIER, A. & PATTERSON, R. 1990. The use of an immunoassay index for antibodies against isocyanate human protein conjugates and application to human isocyanate disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 86, 94-8.
- GUI, W., WISNEWSKI, A. V., NEAMTIU, I., GURZAU, E., SPARER, J. A., STOWE, M. H., LIU, J., SLADE, M. D., RUSU, O. A. & REDLICH, C. A. 2014. Inception cohort study of workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate at a polyurethane foam factory: initial oneyear follow-up. Am J Ind Med, 57, 1207-15.

- HAGMAR, L., STROMBERG, U., WELINDER, H. & MIKOCZY, Z. 1993. Incidence of cancer and exposure to toluene diisocyanate and methylene diphenyldiisocyanate: a cohort based case-referent study in the polyurethane foam manufacturing industry. *Br J Ind Med*, 50, 1003-7.
- HATHAWAY, J. A., DEWILDE, A., SHEPPERLY, D. C., NGUYEN, L. T. & JOHNSON, J.E. 1999. Evaluation of pulmonary function in workers exposed to hexamethylene diisocyanate. *J Occup Environ Med*, 41, 378-83.
- HATHAWAY, J. A., MOLENAAR, D. M., CASSIDY, L. D., FEELEY, T. M. & CUMMINGS, B. J. 2014. Cross-sectional survey of workers exposed to aliphatic diisocyanates using detailed respiratory medical history and questions regarding accidental skin and respiratory exposures. *J Occup Environ Med*, 56, 52-7.
- HEEDERIK, D., JACOBS, J., SAMADI, S., VAN ROOY, F., PORTENGEN, L. & HOUBA, R. 2016. Exposure-response analyses for platinum salt-exposed workers and sensitization: A retrospective cohort study among newly exposed workers using routinely collected surveillance data. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 137, 922-9.
- HENRIKS-ECKERMAN, M. L., MAKELA, E. A., LAITINEN, J., YLINEN, K., SUURONEN, K., VUOKKO, A. & SAUNI, R. 2015. Role of dermal exposure in systemic intake of methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) among construction and boat building workers. *Toxicol Lett*, 232, 595-600.
- HILTON, J., DEARMAN, R. J., BOYLETT, M. S., FIELDING, I., BASKETTER, D. A. & KIMBER, I. 1996. The mouse IgE test for the identification of potential chemical respiratory allergens: considerations of stability and controls. *J Appl Toxicol*, 16, 165-70.
- HOFFMANN, H. D., LEIBOLD, E., EHNES, C., FABIAN, E., LANDSIEDEL, R., GAMER, A. & POOLE, A. 2010. Dermal uptake and excretion of 14C-toluene diisocyante (TDI) and 14C-methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) in male rats. Clinical signs and histopathology following dermal exposure of male rats to TDI. *Toxicol Lett*, 199, 364-71.
- HOLNESS, D. L., BRODER, I., COREY, P. N., BOOTH, N., MOZZON, D., NAZAR, M. A. & GUIRGUIS, S. 1984. Respiratory variables and exposure-effect relationships in isocyanate-exposed workers. *J Occup Med*, 26, 449-55.
- HSL. *Biological monitoring in the workplace: A guide to its practical application to chemical exposure* [Online]. [Accessed].
- HUANG, J., WANG, X. P., CHEN, B. M., UEDA, A., AOYAMA, K. & MATSUSHITA, T. 1991. Immunological effects of toluene diisocyanate exposure on painters. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol*, 21, 607-11.
- HUGHES, M. A., CARSON, M., COLLINS, M. A., JOLLY, A. T., MOLENAAR, D. M., STEFFENS, W. & SWAEN, G. M. 2014. Does diisocyanate exposure result in neurotoxicity? *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*, 52, 242-57.
- IARC 1999. IARC monographs on the evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans. Re-evaluation of some organic chemicals. Vol 71. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.

- IFA 2010. MEGA evaluations for the preparation of REACH exposure scenarios for MDI and TDI (2000 to 2009) in Germany. Institut fur Arbeitsschutz der Deutschen Gesetzlichen Unfallversicherung.
- IPCS 1987. Toluene diisocyanates. International Programme on Chemical Safety. Environmental Health Criteria 75. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- JANG, A. S., CHOI, I. S., KOH, Y. I., MOON, J. D. & LEE, K. J. 2000. Increase in airway hyperresponsiveness among workers exposed to methylene diphenyldiisocyanate compared to workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate at a petrochemical plant in Korea. *Am J Ind Med*, 37, 663-7.
- JI, Y. B., YU, L., ZOU, Z. & LANG, L. 2008. The effects of TDI on mice marrow cells. 2008 Proceedings of Information Technology and Environmental System Sciences: Itess 2008, Vol 1, 501-504.
- JOHNSON, V. J., YUCESOY, B., REYNOLDS, J. S., FLUHARTY, K., WANG, W., RICHARDSON, D. & LUSTER, M. I. 2007. Inhalation of toluene diisocyanate vapor induces allergic rhinitis in mice. *J Immunol*, 179, 1864-71.
- JONES, K., COCKER, J. & PINEY, M. 2013. Isocyanate exposure control in motor vehicle paint spraying: evidence from biological monitoring. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 57, 200-9.
- JONES, K., JOHNSON, P. D., BALDWIN, P. E. J., COLDWELL, M., COOKE, J., KEEN, C., HARDING, A.-H., SMITH, D. & COCKER, J. 2017. Exposure to Diisocyanates and Their Corresponding Diamines in Seven Different Workplaces. *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, 61, 383-393.
- JONES, R. N., RANDO, R. J., GLINDMEYER, H. W., FOSTER, T. A., HUGHES, J. M., O'NEIL, C. E. & WEILL, H. 1992. Abnormal lung function in polyurethane foam producers. Weak relationship to toluene diisocyanate exposures. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 146, 871-7.
- KÄÄRIÄ, K., HIRVONEN, A., NORPPA, H., PIIRILÄ, P., VAINIO, H. & ROSENBERG, C. 2001a. Exposure to 2,4- and 2,6-toluene diisocyanate (TDI) during production of flexible foam: determination of airborne TDI and urinary 2,4- and 2,6-toluenediamine (TDA). Analyst, 126, 1025-1031.
- KÄÄRIÄ, K., HIRVONEN, A., NORPPA, H., PIIRILÄ, P., VAINIO, H. & ROSENBERG, C. 2001b. Exposure to 4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) during moulding of rigid polyurethane foam: determination of airborne MDI and urinary 4,4'methylenedianiline (MDA). *Analyst*, 126, 476-479.
- KAKOOEI, H., SHAHTAHERI, S. J. & KARBASI, H. A. 2006. Evaluation of workers' exposure to methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) in an automobile manufacturing company, Iran. *Int J Occup Saf Ergon*, 12, 443-9.
- KAROL, M. H., HAUTH, B. A., RILEY, E. J. & MAGRENI, C. M. 1981. Dermal contact with toluene diisocyanate (TDI) produces respiratory tract hypersensitivity in guinea pigs. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 58, 221-30.
- KENNEDY, A. L., STOCK, M. F., ALARIE, Y. & BROWN, W. E. 1989. Uptake and distribution of 14C during and following inhalation exposure to radioactive toluene diisocyanate. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 100, 280-92.

- KENNEDY, A. L., WILSON, T. R., STOCK, M. F., ALARIE, Y. & BROWN, W. E. 1994. Distribution and reactivity of inhaled 14C-labeled toluene diisocyanate (TDI) in rats. *Arch Toxicol*, 68, 434-43.
- KIM, H., KIM, Y. D. & CHOI, J. 1997. Seroimmunological characteristics of Korean workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate. *Environ Res*, 75, 1-6.
- KIMBER, I., DEARMAN, R. J. & BASKETTER, D. A. 2014. Diisocyanates, occupational asthma and IgE antibody: implications for hazard characterization. *J Appl Toxicol*, 34, 1073-7.
- KOSCHIER, F. J., BURDEN, E. J., BRUNKHORST, C. S. & FRIEDMAN, M. A. 1983. Concentration-dependent elicitation of dermal sensitization in guinea pigs treated with 2,4-toluene diisocyanate. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 67, 401-7.
- KOUADIO, K., ZHENG, K. C., TOURE, A. A., DOSSO, M. & TODORIKI, H. 2014. IL-4 and IL-5 secretions predominate in the airways of wistar rats exposed to toluene diisocyanate vapor. *J Prev Med Public Health*, 47, 57-63.
- LE MOUAL, N., KAUFFMANN, F., EISEN, E. A. & KENNEDY, S. M. 2008. The healthy worker effect in asthma: work may cause asthma, but asthma may also influence work. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 177, 4-10.
- LE QUESNE, P. M., AXFORD, A. T., MCKERROW, C. B. & JONES, A. P. 1976. Neurological complications after a single severe exposure to toluene di-isocyanate. *Br* J Ind Med, 33, 72-8.
- LEE, C. T., FRIEDMAN, M., POOVEY, H. G., IE, S. R., RANDO, R. J. & HOYLE, G. W. 2003. Pulmonary toxicity of polymeric hexamethylene diisocyanate aerosols in mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 188, 154-64.
- LEE, H. S. & PHOON, W. H. 1992. Diurnal variation in peak expiratory flow rate among workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate in the polyurethane foam manufacturing industry. *Br J Ind Med*, 49, 423-7.
- LEWALTER J 2002. Personal communication to the Commission.
- LEWALTER, J. S.-S.-H., W 1994. Untersuchung der molekularbiologischen Konsequenzen des 4,4'-Methylenbiphenyldisocyanat (MDI)-Umgangs. In: Kessel R (Ed.)
- Dokumentationsband über die 34. Jahrestagung der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Arbeitsmedizin und Umweltmedizin e.V., Gentner Verlag, Stuttgart, 329–334.
- LILJELIND, I., NORBERG, C., EGELRUD, L., WESTBERG, H., ERIKSSON, K. & NYLANDER-FRENCH, L. A. 2010. Dermal and Inhalation Exposure to Methylene Bisphenyl Isocyanate (MDI) in Iron Foundry Workers. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 54, 31-40.
- LIND, P., DALENE, M., SKARPING, G. & HAGMAR, L. 1996. Toxicokinetics of 2,4- and 2,6-toluenediamine in hydrolysed urine and plasma after occupational exposure to 2,4- and 2,6- toluene diisocyanate. *Occup Environ Med*, 53, 94-9.
- LINDBERG, H. K., KORPI, A., SANTONEN, T., SAKKINEN, K., JARVELA, M., TORNAEUS, J., AHONEN, N., JARVENTAUS, H., PASANEN, A. L., ROSENBERG, C. & NORPPA, H. 2011. Micronuclei, hemoglobin adducts and respiratory tract irritation in mice after inhalation of toluene diisocyanate (TDI) and 4,4'methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI). *Mutat Res*, 723, 1-10.

- LITTORIN, M., AXMON, A., BROBERG, K., SENNBRO, C. J. & TINNERBERG, H. 2007. Eye and airway symptoms in low occupational exposure to toluene diisocyanate. *Scand J Work Environ Health*, 33, 280-5.
- LIU, Q. & WISNEWSKI, A. V. 2003. Recent developments in diisocyanate asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol, 90, 35-41.
- LOCKEY, J. E., REDLICH, C. A., STREICHER, R., PFAHLES-HUTCHENS, A., HAKKINEN, P. J., ELLISON, G. L., HARBER, P., UTELL, M., HOLLAND, J., COMAI, A. & WHITE, M. 2015. Isocyanates and Human Health: Multistakeholder Information Needs and Research Priorities. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 57, 44-51.
- LOESER, E. 1983. Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity studies with 2,4/2,6-toluenediisocyanate (80/20) in rats and mice. *Toxicol Lett*, 15, 71-81.
- LOFSTEDT, H., WESTBERG, H., SELDEN, A. I., BRYNGELSSON, I. L. & SVARTENGREN, M. 2011. Respiratory symptoms and lung function in foundry workers using the hot box method: a 4-year follow-up. *J Occup Environ Med*, 53, 1425-9.
- LOFSTEDT, H., WESTBERG, H., SELDEN, A. I., LUNDHOLM, C. & SVARTENGREN, M. 2009. Respiratory symptoms and lung function in foundry workers exposed to low molecular weight isocyanates. *Am J Ind Med*, 52, 455-63.
- LUMMUS, Z. L., ALAM, R., BERNSTEIN, J. A. & BERNSTEIN, D. I. 1998. Diisocyanate antigen-enhanced production of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha by peripheral mononuclear cells of workers with occupational asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 102, 265-74.
- LUSHNIAK, B. D., REH, C. M., BERNSTEIN, D. I. & GALLAGHER, J. S. 1998. Indirect assessment of 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) exposure by evaluation of specific humoral immune responses to MDI conjugated to human serum albumin. *Am J Ind Med*, 33, 471-7.
- MAGNUSSON, B. & KLIGMAN, A. M. 1969. The identification of contact allergens by animal assay. The guinea pig maximization test. *J Invest Dermatol*, 52, 268-76.
- MAÎTRE, A., BERODE, M., PERDRIX, A., ROMAZINI, S. & SAVOLAINEN, H. 1993. Biological monitoring of occupational exposure to toluene diisocyanate. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 65, 97-100.
- MAÎTRE, A., BERODE, M., PERDRIX, A., STOKLOV, M., MALLION, J. M. & SAVOLAINEN, H. 1996. Urinary hexane diamine as an indicator of occupational exposure to hexamethylene diisocyanate. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 69, 65.
- MALO, J. L., OUIMET, G., CARTIER, A., LEVITZ, D. & ZEISS, C. R. 1983. Combined alveolitis and asthma due to hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI), with demonstration of crossed respiratory and immunologic reactivities to diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI). *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 72, 413-9.
- MAPP, C. E., DAL VECCHIO, L., BOSCHETTO, P. & FABBRI, L. M. 1985. Combined asthma and alveolitis due to diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) with demonstration of no crossed respiratory reactivity to toluene diisocyanate (TDI). *Ann Allergy*, 54, 424-9.

- MARCALI, K. 1957. Microdetermination of toluenediisocyanates in atmosphere. *Analytical Chemistry*, 29, 552-558.
- MARCZYNSKI, B., MERGET, R., MENSING, T., RABSTEIN, S., KAPPLER, M., BRACHT, A., HAUFS, M. G., KAFFERLEIN, H. U. & BRUNING, T. 2005. DNA strand breaks in the lymphocytes of workers exposed to diisocyanates: indications of individual differences in susceptibility after low-dose and short-term exposure. *Arch Toxicol*, 79, 355-62.
- MAREK, W., MENSING, T., RIEDEL, F., VISO, N., MARCZYNSKI, B. & BAUR, X. 1997. Hexamethylene diisocyanate induction of transient airway hyperresponsiveness in guinea pigs. *Respiration*, 64, 35-44.
- MAREK, W., POTTHAST, J., MARCZYNSKI, B., MENSING, T. & BAUR, X. 1999. Subchronic exposure to diisocyanates increases guinea pig tracheal smooth muscle responses to acetylcholine. *Respiration*, 66, 156-61.
- MATHESON, J. M., JOHNSON, V. J., VALLYATHAN, V. & LUSTER, M. I. 2005. Exposure and immunological determinants in a murine model for toluene diisocyanate (TDI) asthma. *Toxicol Sci*, 84, 88-98.
- MEIJSTER, T., TIELEMANS, E., DE PATER, N. & HEEDERIK, D. 2007. Modelling exposure in flour processing sectors in the Netherlands: a baseline measurement in the context of an intervention program. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 51, 293-304.
- MEREDITH, S. K., BUGLER, J. & CLARK, R. L. 2000. Isocyanate exposure and occupational asthma: a case-referent study. *Occup Environ Med*, 57, 830-6.
- MIDDENDORF, P. J., MILLER, W., FEELEY, T. & DONEY, B. 2017. Toluene Diisocyanate Exposure: Exposure Assessment and Development of Cross-Facility Similar Exposure Groups Among Toluene Diisocyanate Production Plants. J Occup Environ Med, 59 Suppl 12, S1-s12.
- MIKOCZY, Z., WELINDER, H., TINNERBERG, H. & HAGMAR, L. 2004. Cancer incidence and mortality of isocyanate exposed workers from the Swedish polyurethane foam industry: updated findings 1959-98. *Occup Environ Med*, 61, 432-7.
- MIRMOHAMMADI, M., HAKIMI IBRAHIM, M., AHMAD, A., KADIR, M. O. A., MOHAMMADYAN, M. & MIRASHRAFI, S. B. 2010. Indoor air pollution evaluation with emphasize on HDI and biological assessment of HDA in the polyurethane factories. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 165, 341-347.
- MONTELIUS, J. E. 2001. Scientific basis for Swedish occupational standards: XXII. Consensus report for toluene diisocyanate (TDI), diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI), hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI). Arbete och hälsa 2001:20. http://hdl.handle.net/2077/4254.
- MUSK, A. W., PETERS, J. M. & BERSTEIN, L. 1985. Absence of respiratory effects in subjects exposed to low concentrations of TDI and MDI: a reevaluation. *J Occup Med*, 27, 917-20.
- MUSK, A. W., PETERS, J. M., DIBERARDINIS, L. & MURPHY, R. L. 1982. Absence of respiratory effects in subjects exposed to low concentrations of TDI and MDI. *J Occup Med*, 24, 746-50.
- NGUYEN, R. & LEE, A. 2012. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by isocyanates in resin jewellery. *Contact Dermatitis*, 67, 56-7.

- NICHOLSON, P. J., CULLINAN, P., BURGE, P. S. & BOYLE, C. 2010. Occupational asthma: Prevention, identification & management: Systematic review & recommendations, London, UK, British Occupational Health Research Foundation (BOHRF).
- NORTH, C. M., EZENDAM, J., HOTCHKISS, J. A., MAIER, C., AOYAMA, K., ENOCH, S., GOETZ, A., GRAHAM, C., KIMBER, I., KARJALAINEN, A., PAULUHN, J., ROGGEN, E. L., SELGRADE, M., TARLO, S. M. & CHEN, C. L. 2016. Developing a framework for assessing chemical respiratory sensitization: A workshop report. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*, 80, 295-309.
- NRC 2004. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals: Volume 4. National Research Council,.
- NTP 1986. NTP Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of commercial grade 2,4 (80%)-and 2,6 (20%)-toluene diisocyanate (CAS No. 26471-62-5) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (gavage studies). National Toxicology Program Tech Rep Ser 251:1-194.
- OECD 2001. Hexamethylen diisocyanate. SIDS initial assessment report for 12th SIAM. OECD SIDS UNEP Publications.
- OEHHA 2019. Hexamethylene diisocyanate (monomer and polyisocyanates) reference exposure levels. Technical support document for the derivation of noncancer reference exposure levels. Scientific review panel review draft February 2019. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. California Environmental Protection Agency.
- OLSEN, G. W., SHELLENBERGER, R., BODNER, K. M., FLORES, G. H., EMMITTE, J. A., BOND, G. G. & SAUNDERS, J. H. 1989. An epidemiologic investigation of forced expiratory volume at 1 second and respiratory symptoms among employees of a toluene diisocyanate production plant. *J Occup Med*, 31, 664-7.
- OMAE, K. 1984. Two-year observation of pulmonary function in workers exposed to low concentrations of toluene diisocyanate. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 55, 1-12.
- OMAE, K., HIGASHI, T., NAKADATE, T., TSUGANE, S., NAKAZA, M. & SAKURAI, H. 1992. Four-year follow-up of effects of toluene diisocyanate exposure on the respiratory system in polyurethane foam manufacturing workers. II. Four-year changes in the effects on the respiratory system. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 63, 565-9.
- OTT, M. G. 2002a. Occupational asthma, lung function decrement, and toluene diisocyanate (TDI) exposure: a critical review of exposure-response relationships. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg*, 17, 891-901.
- OTT, M. G. 2002b. Occupational asthma, lung function decrement, and toluene diisocyanate (TDI) exposure: A critical review of exposure-response relationships. *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, 17, 891-901.
- OTT, M. G., DILLER, W. F. & JOLLY, A. T. 2003a. Respiratory effects of toluene diisocyanate in the workplace: A discussion of exposure-response relationships. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 33, 1-59.
- OTT, M. G., DILLER, W. F. & JOLLY, A. T. 2003b. Respiratory effects of toluene diisocyanate in the workplace: a discussion of exposure-response relationships. *Crit Rev Toxicol*, 33, 1-59.

- OTT, M. G., KLEES, J. E. & POCHE, S. L. 2000a. Respiratory health surveillance in a toluene di-isocyanate production unit, 1967-97: Clinical observations and lung function analyses. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 57, 43-52.
- OTT, M. G., KLEES, J. E. & POCHE, S. L. 2000b. Respiratory health surveillance in a toluene di-isocyanate production unit, 1967-97: clinical observations and lung function analyses. *Occup Environ Med*, 57, 43-52.
- PARIS, C., NGATCHOU-WANDJI, J., LUC, A., MCNAMEE, R., BENSEFA-COLAS, L., LARABI, L., TELLE-LAMBERTON, M., HERIN, F., BERGERET, A., BONNETERRE, V., BROCHARD, P., CHOUDAT, D., DUPAS, D., GARNIER, R., PAIRON, J. C., AGIUS, R. M. & AMEILLE, J. 2012. Work-related asthma in France: recent trends for the period 2001-2009. Occup Environ Med, 69, 391-7.
- PARKER, D. L., WALLER, K., HIMRICH, B., MARTINEZ, A. & MARTIN, F. 1991. A cross-sectional study of pulmonary function in autobody repair workers. *Am J Public Health*, 81, 768-71.
- PAULUHN, J. 2000a. Acute inhalation toxicity of polymeric diphenyl-methane 4,4'diisocyanate in rats: time course of changes in bronchoalveolar lavage. *Arch Toxicol*, 74, 257-69.
- PAULUHN, J. 2000b. Inhalation toxicity of 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate homopolymer (HDI-IC) aerosol: results of single inhalation exposure studies. *Toxicol Sci*, 58, 173-81.
- PAULUHN, J. 2004. Pulmonary irritant potency of polyisocyanate aerosols in rats: comparative assessment of irritant threshold concentrations by bronchoalveolar lavage. *J Appl Toxicol*, 24, 231-47.
- PAULUHN, J. 2005. Brown Norway rat asthma model of diphenylmethane 4,4'-diisocyanate. *Inhal Toxicol*, 17, 729-39.
- PAULUHN, J. 2008. Comparative assessment of early acute lung injury in mice and rats exposed to 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate-polyisocyanate aerosols. *Toxicology*, 247, 33-45.
- PAULUHN, J. 2014. Development of a respiratory sensitization/elicitation protocol of toluene diisocyanate (TDI) in Brown Norway rats to derive an elicitation-based occupational exposure level. *Toxicology*, 319, 10-22.
- PAULUHN, J. 2015. Analysis of the interrelationship of the pulmonary irritation and elicitation thresholds in rats sensitized with 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI). *Inhal Toxicol*, 27, 191-206.
- PAULUHN, J., EIDMANN, P. & MOHR, U. 2002. Respiratory hypersensitivity in guinea pigs sensitized to 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI): comparison of results obtained with the monomer and homopolymers of HDI. *Toxicology*, 171, 147-60.
- PAULUHN, J., EMURA, M., MOHR, U., POPP, A. & ROSENBRUCH, M. 1999. Two-week inhalation toxicity of polymeric diphenylmethane-4, 4'-diisocyanate (PMDI) in rats: analysis of biochemical and morphological markers of early pulmonary response. *Inhal Toxicol*, 11, 1143-63.
- PAULUHN, J., GOLLAPUDI, B., HAMMOND, T., LINSCOMBE, A., THIEL, A. & ZISCHKA-KUHBIER, D. 2001. Bone marrow micronucleus assay in Brown-Norway rats exposed to diphenyl-methane-4,4'-diisocyanate. *Arch Toxicol*, 75, 234-42.

- PAULUHN, J. & MOHR, U. 2001. Inhalation toxicity of 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate homopolymers (HDI-IC and HDI-BT): results of subacute and subchronic repeated inhalation exposure studies. *Inhal Toxicol*, 13, 513-32.
- PAULUHN, J. & POOLE, A. 2011. Brown Norway rat asthma model of diphenylmethane-4,4'diisocyanate (MDI): determination of the elicitation threshold concentration of after inhalation sensitization. *Toxicology*, 281, 15-24.
- PETSONK, E. L., WANG, M. L., LEWIS, D. M., SIEGEL, P. D. & HUSBERG, B. J. 2000. Asthma-like symptoms in wood product plant workers exposed to methylene diphenyl diisocyanate. *Chest*, 118, 1183-93.
- PHAM, Q. T., CAVELIER, C., MEREAU, P., MUR, J. M. & CICOLELLA, A. 1978. Isocyanates and respiratory function: a study of workers producing polyurethane foam moulding. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 21, 121-9.
- PHAM, Q. T., TECULESCU, D., MEYER-BISCH, C. & MUR, J. M. 1987. Effects of chronic exposure to diisocyanates. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir*, 23, 561-4.
- PINKERTON, L. E., YIIN, J. H., DANIELS, R. D. & FENT, K. W. 2016. Mortality among workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate in the US polyurethane foam industry: Update and exposure-response analyses. *Am J Ind Med*, 59, 630-43.
- POLLARIS, L., DEVOS, F., DE VOOGHT, V., SEYS, S., NEMERY, B., HOET, P. H. & VANOIRBEEK, J. A. 2016. Toluene diisocyanate and methylene diphenyl diisocyanate: asthmatic response and cross-reactivity in a mouse model. *Arch Toxicol*, 90, 1709-17.
- POLLARIS, L., VAN DEN BROUCKE, S., DECAESTEKER, T., CREMER, J., SEYS, S., DEVOS, F. C., PROVOOST, S., MAES, T., VERBEKEN, E., VANDE VELDE, G., NEMERY, B., HOET, P. H. M. & VANOIRBEEK, J. A. J. 2019. Dermal exposure determines the outcome of repeated airway exposure in a long-term chemical-induced asthma-like mouse model. *Toxicology*, 421, 84-92.
- PONS, F., HAAG, M., CORCOS, L., BONNET, P., GUILLOUZO, A., LUGNIER, A. & FROSSARD, N. 2000. Inhalation of toluene diisocyanate affects cytochrome P450 2B1 expression in rat lung. *Arch Toxicol*, 74, 397-403.
- PORTER, C. V., HIGGINS, R. L. & SCHEEL, L. D. 1975. A retrospective study of clinical, physiologic and immunologic changes in workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J, 36, 159-68.
- POURABEDIAN, S., BARKHORDARI, A., HABIBI, E., RISMANCHIYAN, M. & ZARE, M. 2010. Effect of 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate exposure on peak flowmetry in automobile paint shop workers in Iran. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol*, 61, 183-9.
- PRONK, A., PRELLER, L., DOEKES, G., WOUTERS, I. M., ROOIJACKERS, J., LAMMERS, J. W. & HEEDERIK, D. 2009. Different respiratory phenotypes are associated with isocyanate exposure in spray painters. *Eur Respir J*, 33, 494-501.
- PRONK, A., PRELLER, L., RAULF-HEIMSOTH, M., JONKERS, I. C., LAMMERS, J. W., WOUTERS, I. M., DOEKES, G., WISNEWSKI, A. V. & HEEDERIK, D. 2007. Respiratory symptoms, sensitization, and exposure response relationships in spray painters exposed to isocyanates. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 176, 1090-7.
- PRONK, A., YU, F., VLAANDEREN, J., TIELEMANS, E., PRELLER, L., BOBELDIJK, I., DEDDENS, J. A., LATZA, U., BAUR, X. & HEEDERIK, D. 2006. Dermal, inhalation,

and internal exposure to 1,6-HDI and its oligomers in car body repair shop workers and industrial spray painters. *Occup Environ Med*, 63, 624-31.

- PRUEITT, R. L., LYNCH, H. N., ZU, K., SHI, L. & GOODMAN, J. E. 2017. Dermal exposure to toluene diisocyanate and respiratory cancer risk. *Environ Int*, 109, 181-192.
- PUSCASU, S., AUBIN, S., CLOUTIER, Y., SARAZIN, P., VAN TRA, H. & GAGNÉ, S. 2015. Comparison between the ASSET EZ4 NCO and Impinger Sampling Devices for Aerosol Sampling of 4,4'-Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate in Spray Foam Application. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 59, 872-881.
- RATTRAY, N. J., BOTHAM, P. A., HEXT, P. M., WOODCOCK, D. R., FIELDING, I., DEARMAN, R. J. & KIMBER, I. 1994. Induction of respiratory hypersensitivity to diphenylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate (MDI) in guinea pigs. Influence of route of exposure. *Toxicology*, 88, 15-30.
- REDLICH, C. A. 2010. Skin exposure and asthma: is there a connection? *Proc Am Thorac Soc*, 7, 134-7.
- REDLICH, C. A., STOWE, M. H., COREN, B. A., WISNEWSKI, A. V., HOLM, C. T. & CULLEN, M. R. 2002. Diisocyanate-exposed auto body shop workers: a one-year follow-up. *Am J Ind Med*, 42, 511-8.
- REEB-WHITAKER, C. K. & SCHOONOVER, T. M. 2016. Isocyanate Exposure Below Analytical Detection When a Paint Brush and Roller Are Used to Apply Moisture-Cure Polyurethane Paint. *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, 60, 513-518.
- REEB-WHITAKER, C. K., WHITTAKER, S. G., CEBALLOS, D. M., WEILAND, E. C., FLACK, S. L., FENT, K. W., THOMASEN, J. M., TRELLES GAINES, L. G. & NYLANDER-FRENCH, L. A. 2012. Airborne Isocyanate Exposures in the Collision Repair Industry and a Comparison to Occupational Exposure Limits. *J Occup Environ Hyg*, 9, 329-339.
- REUZEL, P. G., ARTS, J. H., LOMAX, L. G., KUIJPERS, M. H., KUPER, C. F., GEMBARDT, C., FERON, V. J. & LOSER, E. 1994. Chronic inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity study of respirable polymeric methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (polymeric MDI) aerosol in rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*, 22, 195-210.
- ROBERT, A., DUCOS, P., FRANCIN, F. M. & MARSAN, P. 2007. Biological monitoring of workers exposed to 4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) in French polyurethane industries. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 80, 412-422.
- ROSENBERG, C., NIKKILÄ, K., HENRIKS-ECKERMAN, M.-L., PELTONEN, K. & ENGSTRÖM, K. 2002. Biological monitoring of aromatic diisocyanates in workers exposed to thermal degradation products of polyurethanes. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 4, 711-716.
- SAKAI, T., MORITA, Y., ROH, J., KIM, H. & KIM, Y. 2005. Improvement in the GC–MS method for determining urinary toluene-diamine and its application to the biological monitoring of workers exposed to toluene-diisocyanate. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 78, 459-466.
- SANGHA, G. K. & ALARIE, Y. 1979. Sensory irritation by toluene diisocyanate in single and repeated exposures. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 50, 533-47.
- SANGHA, G. K., MATIJAK, M. & ALARIE, Y. 1981. Comparison of some mono- and diisocyanates as sensory irritants. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 57, 241-6.

- SASTRE, J., BANKS, D. E., LOPEZ, M., BARKMAN, H. W. & SALVAGGIO, J. E. 1990. Neutrophil chemotactic activity in toluene diisocyanate (TDI)-induced asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 85, 567-72.
- SCHAPER, M. 1993. Development of a database for sensory irritants and its use in establishing occupational exposure limits. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J*, 54, 488-544.
- SCHNORR, T. M., STEENLAND, K., EGELAND, G. M., BOENIGER, M. & EGILMAN, D. 1996. Mortality of workers exposed to toluene diisocyanate in the polyurethane foam industry. *Occup Environ Med*, 53, 703-7.
- SCHROETER, J. D., KIMBELL, J. S., ASGHARIAN, B., TEWKSBURY, E. W., SOCHASKI, M., FOSTER, M. L., DORMAN, D. C., WONG, B. A. & ANDERSEN, M. E. 2013. Inhalation dosimetry of hexamethylene diisocyanate vapor in the rat and human respiratory tracts. *Inhal Toxicol*, 25, 168-77.
- SCHUPP, T. & COLLINS, M. A. 2012. Toluene diisocyanate (TDI) airway effects and doseresponses in different animal models. *EXCLI J*, 11, 416-35.
- SCHWEIGERT, M., SAX, S., HOUSE, R. & HENDERSON, B. 2002. Investigation of pulmonary function among employees exposed to low levels of monomeric isocyanates and solvents at an automobile finishings plant. *J Occup Environ Med*, 44, 1083-90.
- SELGRADE, M., BOYKIN, E. H., HAYKAL-COATES, N., WOOLHISER, M. R., WIESCINSKI, C., ANDREWS, D. L., FARRAJ, A. K., DOERFLER, D. L. & GAVETT, S. H. 2006. Inconsistencies between cytokine profiles, antibody responses, and respiratory hyperresponsiveness following dermal exposure to isocyanates. *Toxicol Sci*, 94, 108-17.
- SENNBRO, C. J., LINDH, C. H., MATTSSON, C., JÖNSSON, B. A. G. & TINNERBERG,
 H. 2006. Biological monitoring of exposure to 1,5-naphthalene diisocyanate and 4,4' methylenediphenyl diisocyanate. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 79, 647-653.
- SENNBRO, C. J., LINDH, C. H., ÖSTIN, A., WELINDER, H., JÖNSSON, B. A. G. & TINNERBERG, H. 2004a. A Survey of Airborne Isocyanate Exposure in 13 Swedish Polyurethane Industries. *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*, 48, 405-414.
- SENNBRO, C. J., LINDH, C. H., TINNERBERG, H., WELINDER, H., LITTORIN, M. & JÖNSSON, B. A. G. 2004b. Biological monitoring of exposure to toluene diisocyanate. *Scand J Work Environ Health*, 30, 371-378.
- SENNBRO, C. J., LITTORIN, M., TINNERBERG, H. & JÖNSSON, B. A. G. 2005. Upper reference limits for biomarkers of exposure to aromatic diisocyanates. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 78, 541-546.
- SEPAI, O. & SABBIONI, G. 2017. Albumin adducts and urinary metabolites resulting from occupational exposure to 1,5-naphthalene diisocyanate. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, 30, 579-591.
- SHIN, Y. S., KIM, M. A., PHAM, L. D. & PARK, H. S. 2013. Cells and mediators in diisocyanate-induced occupational asthma. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol*, 13, 125-31.
- SHIOTSUKA, R. N., STUART, B. P., CHARLES, J. M., SIMON, G. S., MALICHKY, P. & MOSTOWY, J. M. 2010. Chronic inhalation exposures of Fischer 344 rats to 1,6hexamethylene diisocyanate did not reveal a carcinogenic potential. *Inhal Toxicol*, 22, 875-87.

- SHIOTSUKA, R. N., STUART, B. P., SANGHA, G. K., STURDIVANT, D. W. & HOSS, H. 2006. Subacute inhalation exposure of rats to 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate with recovery period. *Inhal Toxicol*, 18, 659-65.
- SIELKEN, R. L., JR., BRETZLAFF, R. S., VALDEZ-FLORES, C. & PAROD, R. 2012. Statistical Comparison of Carcinogenic Effects and Dose-Response Relationships in Rats and Mice for 2,4-Toluene Diamine to those Ascribed to Toluene Diisocyanate. *Hum Ecol Risk Assess*, 18, 1315-1337.
- SINGER, R. & SCOTT, N. E. 1987. Progression of neuropsychological deficits following toluene diisocyanate exposure. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*, 2, 135-44.
- SKARPING, G., BRORSON, T. & SANGO, C. 1991. Biological monitoring of isocyanates and related amines. III. Test chamber exposure of humans to toluene diisocyanate. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 63, 83-8.
- SOMMER, B. G., SHERSON, D. L., KJOLLER, H., HANSEN, I., CLAUSEN, G. & JEPSEN, J. R. 2000. [Asthma caused by methylene-diphenyl-diisocyanate cast in a nurse]. *Ugeskr Laeger*, 162, 505-6.
- SON, M., LEE, M., KIM, Y. T., YOUN, J. K. & PARK, H. 1998. Heterogeneity of IgE response to TDI-HSA conjugates by ELISA in toluene diisocyanate (TDI) -induced occupational asthma (OA) patients. *J Korean Med Sci*, 13, 147-52.
- SORAHAN, T. & NICHOLS, L. 2002. Mortality and cancer morbidity of production workers in the UK flexible polyurethane foam industry: updated findings, 1958-98. *Occup Environ Med*, 59, 751-8.
- SORAHAN, T. & POPE, D. 1993. Mortality and cancer morbidity of production workers in the United Kingdom flexible polyurethane foam industry. *Br J Ind Med*, 50, 528-36.
- STERK, P. J., FABBRI, L. M., QUANJER, P. H., COCKCROFT, D. W., O'BYRNE, P. M., ANDERSON, S. D., JUNIPER, E. F. & MALO, J. L. 1993. Standardized challenge testing with pharmacological, physical and sensitizing stimuli in adults. *Eur Respir J*, 6 Suppl 16, 53-83.
- STREICHER, R. P., REH, C. M., KEY-SCHWARTZ, R. J., SCHLECHT, P. C., CASSINELLI, M. E. & O'CONNOR, P. F. 2000. Determination of Airborne Isocyanate Exposure: Considerations in Method Selection. *AIHAJ - American Industrial Hygiene Association*, 61, 544-556.
- SUOJALEHTO, H., LINSTROM, I., HENRIKS-ECKERMAN, M. L., JUNGEWELTER, S. & SUURONEN, K. 2011. Occupational asthma related to low levels of airborne methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI) in orthopedic casting work. *Am J Ind Med*, 54, 906-10.
- SWIERCZYNSKA-MACHURA, D., BRZEZNICKI, S., NOWAKOWSKA-SWIRTA, E., WALUSIAK-SKORUPA, J., WITTCZAK, T., DUDEK, W., DBONCZAROWSKA, M., WESOLOWSKI, W., CZERCZAK, S. & PALCZYNSKI, C. 2015. Occupational exposure to diisocyanates in polyurethane foam factory workers. *International Journal* of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health, 28.
- TANAKA, K. 1980. Contact sensitivity in mice induced by tolylene diisocyanate (TDI). J Dermatol, 7, 277-80.

- TARLO, S. M., LISS, G. M., DIAS, C. & BANKS, D. E. 1997. Assessment of the relationship between isocyanate exposure levels and occupational asthma. *Am J Ind Med*, 32, 517-21.
- THORNE, P. S., HILLEBRAND, J. A., LEWIS, G. R. & KAROL, M. H. 1987. Contact sensitivity by diisocyanates: potencies and cross-reactivities. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 87, 155-65.
- TIMCHALK, C., SMITH, F. A. & BARTELS, M. J. 1994. Route-dependent comparative metabolism of [14C]toluene 2,4-diisocyanate and [14C]toluene 2,4-diamine in Fischer 344 rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 124, 181-90.
- TINNERBERG, H. & MATTSSON, C. 2008. Usage of Air Monitoring and Biomarkers of Isocyanate Exposure to Assess the Effect of a Control Intervention. *Ann Occup Hyg*, 52, 187/194.
- TINNERBERG, H., SKARPING, G., DALENE, M. & HAGMAR, L. 1995. Test chamber exposure of humans to 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate and isophorone diisocyanate. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 67, 367-74.
- TOELLE, B. G., PEAT, J. K., SALOME, C. M., MELLIS, C. M. & WOOLCOCK, A. J. 1992. Toward a definition of asthma for epidemiology. *Am Rev Respir Dis*, 146, 633-7.
- TOMINAGA, M., KOHNO, S., TANAKA, K. & OHATA, K. 1985. Studies on toluene diisocyanate (TDI)-induced delayed type hypersensitivity. *Jpn J Pharmacol*, 39, 163-71.
- TORNLING, G., ALEXANDERSSON, R., HEDENSTIERNA, G. & PLATO, N. 1990. Decreased lung function and exposure to diisocyanates (HDI and HDI-BT) in car repair painters: observations on re-examination 6 years after initial study. *Am J Ind Med*, 17, 299-310.
- TYL, R. W., FISHER, L. C., DODD, D. E., PRITTS, I. M., KUBENA, M. F., LOSCO, P. E., TROUP, C. M., LYON, J. P. & LANDRY, T. D. 1999a. Developmental toxicity evaluation of inhaled toluene diisocyanate vapor in CD rats. *Toxicol Sci*, 52, 248-57.
- TYL, R. W., NEEPER-BRADLEY, T. L., FISHER, L. C., DODD, D. E., PRITTS, I. M., LOSCO, P. E., LYON, J. P. & LANDRY, T. D. 1999b. Two-generation reproductive toxicity study of inhaled toluene diisocyanate vapor in CD rats. *Toxicol Sci*, 52, 258-68.
- ULVESTAD, B., MELBOSTAD, E. & FUGLERUD, P. 1999. Asthma in tunnel workers exposed to synthetic resins. *Scand J Work Environ Health*, 25, 335-41.
- VANDENPLAS, O., BURGE, S., MOSCATO, G. & MALO, J. L. 2013. Functional assessment. *In:* MALO JL, C.-Y. M., AND BERNSTEIN DL (ed.) *Asthma in the workplace.* 4 ed.: CRC.
- VANDENPLAS, O., DELWICHE, J. P., STAQUET, P., JAMART, J., BERNARD, A., BOULANGER, J., DELAUNOIS, L. & SIBILLE, Y. 1999. Pulmonary effects of shortterm exposure to low levels of toluene diisocyanate in asymptomatic subjects. *Eur Respir J*, 13, 1144-50.
- VANDENPLAS, O., LANTIN, A. C., D'ALPAOS, V., LARBANOIS, A., HOET, P., VANDEWEERDT, M., THIMPONT, J. & SPEYBROECK, N. 2011. Time trends in occupational asthma in Belgium. *Respir Med*, 105, 1364-72.

- VENABLES, K. M., DALLY, M. B., BURGE, P. S., PICKERING, C. A. & NEWMAN TAYLOR, A. J. 1985. Occupational asthma in a steel coating plant. *Br J Ind Med*, 42, 517-24.
- VIRJI, M. A., SCHULER, C. R., COX-GANSER, J., STANTON, M. L., KENT, M. S., KREISS, K. & STEFANIAK, A. B. 2019. Associations of Metrics of Peak Inhalation Exposure and Skin Exposure Indices With Beryllium Sensitization at a Beryllium Manufacturing Facility. Ann Work Expo Health.
- VOCK, E. H., CANTOREGGI, S., GUPTA, R. C. & LUTZ, W. K. 1995. 32P-postlabeling analysis of DNA adducts formed in vitro and in rat skin by methylenediphenyl-4,4'- diisocyanate (MDI). *Toxicol Lett*, 76, 17-26.
- VOCK, E. H. & LUTZ, W. K. 1997. Distribution and DNA adduct formation of radiolabeled methylenediphenyl-4,4'-diisocyanate (MDI) in the rat after topical treatment. *Toxicol Lett*, 92, 93-100.
- WAGNER, V. O., SAN, R. H., GUDI, R., HILASKI, R. J. & JACOBSON-KRAM, D. 2000. Lack of mutagenic activity of 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate. *Toxicol Sci*, 55, 376-82.
- WANG, J. D., HUANG, P. H., LIN, J. M., SU, S. Y. & WU, M. C. 1988. Occupational asthma due to toluene diisocyanate among velcro-like tape manufacturers. *Am J Ind Med*, 14, 73-8.
- WANG, M. L. & PETSONK, E. L. 2004. Symptom onset in the first 2 years of employment at a wood products plant using diisocyanates: some observations relevant to occupational medical screening. *Am J Ind Med*, 46, 226-33.
- WASS, U. & BELIN, L. 1989. Immunologic specificity of isocyanate-induced IgE antibodies in serum from 10 sensitized workers. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 83, 126-35.
- WEGMAN, D. H., MUSK, A. W., MAIN, D. M. & PAGNOTTO, L. D. 1982. Accelerated loss of FEV- in polyurethane production workers: a four-year prospective study. *Am J Ind Med*, 3, 209-15.
- WEGMAN, D. H., PAGNOTTO, L. D., FINE, L. J. & PETERS, J. M. 1974. A dose-response relationship in TDI workers. *J Occup Med*, 16, 258-60.
- WEGMAN, D. H., PETERS, J. M., PAGNOTTO, L. & FINE, L. J. 1977. Chronic pulmonary function loss from exposure to toluene diisocyanate. *Br J Ind Med*, 34, 196-200.
- WEILL H, B. B., DHARMARAJAN V, GLINDMEYER HW, JONES RN, CARR J, O'NEILL C, SALVAGGIO J 1981. Respiratory and immunologic evaluation of isocyanate exposure in a new manufacturing plant. NIOSH Publication No. 81-125. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). U.S. Government Printing Office.
- WEYEL, D. A., RODNEY, B. S. & ALARIE, Y. 1982. Sensory irritation, pulmonary irritation, and acute lethality of a polymeric isocyanate and sensory irritation of 2,6-toleune diisocyanate. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 64, 423-30.
- WEYEL, D. A. & SCHAFFER, R. B. 1985. Pulmonary and sensory irritation of diphenylmethane-4,4'- and dicyclohexylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*, 77, 427-33.
- WILLIAMSON, K. S. 1964. Studies of diisocyanate workers (1). *Occupational Medicine*, 14, 81-88.

- WISNEWSKI, A. V., LIU, J. & COLANGELO, C. M. 2015. Glutathione reaction products with a chemical allergen, methylene-diphenyl diisocyanate, stimulate alternative macrophage activation and eosinophilic airway inflammation. *Chem Res Toxicol*, 28, 729-37.
- WISNEWSKI, A. V., LIU, J. & REDLICH, C. A. 2013. Connecting glutathione with immune responses to occupational methylene diphenyl diisocyanate exposure. *Chem Biol Interact*, 205, 38-45.
- WISNEWSKI, A. V., STOWE, M. H., NERLINGER, A., OPARE-ADDO, P., DECAMP, D., KLEINSMITH, C. R. & REDLICH, C. A. 2012. Biomonitoring Hexamethylene Diisocyanate (HDI) Exposure Based on Serum Levels of HDI-Specific IgG. Annals of Work Exposures and Health, 56, 901-910.
- WONG, K. L., KAROL, M. H. & ALARIE, Y. 1985. Use of repeated CO2 challenges to evaluate the pulmonary performance of guinea pigs exposed to toluene diisocyanate. *J Toxicol Environ Health*, 15, 137-48.
- WOODBURY, J. W. 1956. Asthmatic syndrome following exposure to tolylene diisocyanate. *Ind Med Surg*, 25, 540-3.
- YEH, H. J., LIN, W. C., SHIH, T. S., TSAI, P. J., WANG, S. T. & CHANG, H. Y. 2008. Urinary excretion of toluene diisocyanates in rats following dermal exposure. J Appl Toxicol, 28, 189-95.
- ZHONG, B. Z. & SIEGEL, P. D. 2000. Induction of micronuclei following exposure to methylene di-phenyl diisocyanate: potential genotoxic metabolites. *Toxicol Sci*, 58, 102-8.
- ZISSU, D. 1995. Histopathological changes in the respiratory tract of mice exposed to ten families of airborne chemicals. *J Appl Toxicol*, 15, 207-13.
- ZISSU, D., BINET, S. & LIMASSET, J. C. 1998. Cutaneous sensitization to some polyisocyanate prepolymers in guinea pigs. *Contact Dermatitis*, 39, 248-51.

Appendix 1. Tabulated summaries for substance identification and physico-chemical properties of diisocyanates

Table 33 Substance identification

Structure	EC / list Numb er	CAS	Name	Abbrev.	Mol. weight [g/mol]
	247- 722-4	26471- 62-5	m-tolylidene diisocyanate	TDI	174.159
	202- 966-0	101-68- 8	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	4,4'-MDI	250.257
	209- 544-5	584-84- 9	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	2,4-TDI	174.159
	212- 485-8	822-06- 0	Hexamethylene diisocyanate	HDI	168.196
	227- 534-9	5873- 54-1	o-(p- isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate	2,4'-MDI	250.257

Structure	EC / list Numb er	CAS	Name	Abbrev.	Mol. weight [g/mol]
	223- 861-6	4098- 71-9	3-isocyanatomethyl- 3,5,5-trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate	IPDI	222.288
	225- 863-2	5124- 30-1	4,4'- methylenedicyclohexyl diisocyanate	hydrogen ated 4,4'-MDI (H12- MDI)	262.353
0C0	221- 641-4	3173- 72-6	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate	1,5-NDI	210.192
	219- 799-4	2536- 05-2	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	2,2'-MDI	250.257
	222- 852-4	3634- 83-1	1,3- bis(isocyanatomethyl)be nzene	m-XDI	188.186

Structure	EC / list Numb er	CAS	Name	Abbrev.	Mol. weight [g/mol]
	220- 474-4	2778- 42-9	1,3-bis(1-isocyanato-1- methylethyl)benzene	m-TMXDI	244.294
	915- 277-1	32052- 51-0	2,2,4(or 2,4,4)- Trimethylhexane-1,6- diisocyanate	TMDI	210.277
	411- 280-2	74091- 64-8	2,5-bis- isocyanatomethyl- bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane	NBDI	206.245
	247- 953-0	26747- 90-0	2,4-dioxo-1,3- diazetidine-1,3- bis(methyl-m-phenylene) diisocyanate	2,4-TDI dimer	348.318

Structure	EC / list Numb er	CAS	Name	Abbrev.	Mol. weight [g/mol]
$H_{3}C$ CH_{3} C	218- 485-4	2162- 73-4	2,4,6-triisopropyl-m- phenylene diisocyanate		286.375
	202- 112-7	91-97-4	3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl- 4,4'-diyl diisocyanate	TODI	264.284
	203- 207-6	104-49- 4	p-phenylene diisocyanate	PPDI	160.132
		4538- 42-5	1,5-Diisocyanatopentane		154.169
	813- 050-0	2162- 70-1	1,3-diethyl-2,4- diisocyanato-5- methylbenzene		230.267

Structure	EC / list Numb er	CAS	Name	Abbrev.	Mol. weight [g/mol]
	609-	38661- 72-2	1,3- bis(isocyanatomethyl)cyc lohexane	hydrogen ated 1,3-XDI	194.234
О С М С Н3 С Н3	202- 039-0	91-08-7	2-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	2,6-TDI	174.159
the second secon	402- 290-8	85702- 90-5	A mixture of: S-(3- trimethoxysilyl)propyl 19-isocyanato-11-(6- isocyanatohexyl)-10,12- dioxo-2,9,11,13- tetraazanonadecanethioa te; S-(3- (trimethoxysilyl)propyl 17-isocyanato-9- (isocyanatohexyl- aminocarbonyl)-10-oxo- 2,9,11- triazaheptadecanethioate		674.938
	429- 140-4	79371- 37-2	1,8-diisocyanato-4- isocyanatomethyloctane		251.286

Structure	EC / list Numb er	CAS	Name	Abbrev.	Mol. weight [g/mol]
C=N H ₃ C CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃	239- 714-4	15646- 96-5	2,4,4-trimethylhexa-1,6- diyl diisocyanate		210.277
	241- 001-8	16938- 22-0	2,2,4-trimethylhexa-1,6- diyl diisocyanate		210.277

Table 34 phys.-chem. properties

ABBR	RML_EC	RML_NAME	Melting Point	Boiling Point	Vapor Pressure	1 ppm in mg/m ³
TDI	247-722- 4	m-tolylidene diisocyanate	21 °C	251 °C	0.015 hPa (20 °C)[r]	7.12
4,4'- MDI	202-966- 0	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	38 °C	314 °C	1.2·10 ⁻³ Pa (25 °C)	10.23
2,4- TDI	209-544- 5	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	21 °C	251 °C	2.8 Pa (25 °C)	7.12
HDI	212-485- 8	Hexamethylene diisocyanate	-67 °C	255 °C	2.2 Pa (25 °C)	6.88
2,4'- MDI	227-534- 9	o-(p-isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate	34-38 °C[r]	decomp 241 °C[r]	9.7·10 ⁻⁴ Pa (25 °C)	10.23
IPDI	223-861- 6	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5- trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate	-60 °C	158-159 °C (15 Torr)	0.3 Pa (25 ℃)	9.09

ABBR	RML_EC	RML_NAME	Melting Point	Boiling Point	Vapor Pressure	1 ppm in mg/m ³
hydro genat ed 4,4'- MDI (H12- MDI)	225-863- 2	4,4'-methylenedicyclohexyl diisocyanate	no crystalis ation	167-168 °C (1.5 Torr)	2.3·10 ⁻³ Pa (25 °C)	10.73
1,5- NDI	221-641- 4	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate	130-132 °C	220-221 °C (40 Torr)	0.06 Pa (25 °C)	8.59
2,2'- MDI	219-799- 4	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	43 °C[r]	270 °C[r]	7.8·10 ⁻⁴ Pa (25 °C)	10.23
m-XDI	222-852- 4	1,3- bis(isocyanatomethyl)benzene	-7 °C[r]	126 °C (1 Torr)	0.2 Pa (25 °C)	7.69
m- TMXDI	220-474- 4	1,3-bis(1-isocyanato-1- methylethyl)benzene	4 °C[r]	249 °C[r] 106 °C	0.08 Pa (25 °C)	9.99
TMDI	915-277- 1	2,2,4(or 2,4,4)- Trimethylhexane-1,6- diisocyanate	-80 °C[r]	291 °C[r]	0.005 hPa (25 °C)[r]	8.60
NBDI	411-280- 2	2,5-bis-isocyanatomethyl- bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane	-74 °C[r]	decomp 208-220 °C[r]		8.43
2,4- TDI dimer	247-953- 0	2,4-dioxo-1,3-diazetidine-1,3- bis(methyl-m-phenylene) diisocyanate	156 °C[r]	decomp 160-310 °C[r]		14.24
	218-485- 4	2,4,6-triisopropyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	115 °C	305-306 °C[r]	1.7·10 ⁻³ Pa (25 °C)	11.71
TODI	202-112- 7	3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl-4,4'-diyl diisocyanate	72 °C[r] 69.5- 70.5 °C	decomp 371-373 °C[r]	5.3·10 ⁻⁴ Pa (25 °C)	10.81

ABBR	RML_EC	RML_NAME	Melting Point	Boiling Point	Vapor Pressure	1 ppm in mg/m ³
PPDI	203-207- 6	p-phenylene diisocyanate	93-94 °C (sublm)	124-125 °C (10 Torr)	6.4 Pa (25 °C)	6.55
	807-040- 5	1,5-Diisocyanatopentane		116 °C (11 Torr)	8.8 Pa (25 °C)	6.30
	813-050- 0	1,3-diethyl-2,4-diisocyanato-5- methylbenzene	18 °C[r]	272-289 °C[r]	0.01 Pa (25 °C)	9.41
hydro genat ed 1,3- XDI	609-567- 4	1,3- bis(isocyanatomethyl)cyclohexa ne	0 °C[r]	255 °C[r]	0.2 Pa (25 °C)	7.94
2,6- TDI	202-039- 0	2-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate	18.3 °C	129 °C (18 Torr)	3.2 Pa (25 °C)	7.12
	402-290- 8	A mixture of: S-(3- trimethoxysilyl)propyl 19- isocyanato-11-(6- isocyanatohexyl)-10,12-dioxo- 2,9,11,13- tetraazanonadecanethioate; S- (3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl 17- isocyanato-9-(isocyanatohexyl- aminocarbonyl)-10-oxo-2,9,11- triazaheptadecanethioate		>140 °C[r]		27.60
	429-140- 4	1,8-diisocyanato-4- isocyanatomethyloctane	< -50 °C[r]	129-132 °C (0.1 Torr)	1.9·10 ⁻³ Pa (25 °C)	10.27
	239-714- 4	2,4,4-trimethylhexa-1,6-diyl diisocyanate			0.4 Pa (25 °C)	8.60
	241-001- 8	2,2,4-trimethylhexa-1,6-diyl diisocyanate			0.4 Pa (25 °C)	8.60

Values retrieved from SciFinder (<u>https://scifinder.cas.org</u>) August 2019 and completed with data submitted in registrations (marked with [r]).

For the calculation of the corresponding concentration [mass/volume air] from concentration in ppm, the conversion factor is calculated as:

$$1 ppm in \frac{mg}{m3} = \frac{1}{10^6} \left[molar \ weight \ in \frac{g}{mol} \right] \cdot 1000$$

$$[pressure = 101300 \ Pa]$$

$$(temperature = 298 \ K] \cdot \left[gas \ constant = 8.314 \ \frac{m^3 \cdot Pa}{K \cdot mol} \right]$$

For the calculation of the corresponding NCO concentration [isocyanate mass/volume air] from diisocyanate concentrations in mg/m^3 , the following formula is used:

$$conc_{NCO} \left[\frac{mg}{m^{3}}\right] = conc_{diisocyanate} \left[\frac{mg}{m^{3}}\right]$$

$$\cdot \frac{(number \ of \ NCO \ groups)(molecular \ weight \ of \ isocyanate = 42) \left[\frac{g}{mol}\right]}{(total \ diisocyanate \ molecular \ weight) \left[\frac{g}{mol}\right]}$$

Appendix 2. REACH REGISTRATIONS

Table 35 REACH registrations

Abbrev.	EC	NAME	Intermediate	full
	Numbe		registration	registration
	r			
				/a
			(count of re	egistrations)
TDI	247-	m-tolylidene diisocyanate		>100 000
	722-4		(<5 reg)	(33 reg)
4,4'-	202-	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate		>100 000
MDI	966-0		(<5 reg)	(55 reg)
2,4-TDI	209-	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate		>100 000
	544-5		(<5 reg)	(9 reg)
HDI	212-	hexamethylene diisocyanate		10 000-100
	485-8		(<5 reg)	000
				(18 reg)
2,4'-	227-	o-(p-isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate		10 000-100
MDI	534-9			000
				(<5 reg)
IPDI	223-	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5-		10 000-100
	861-6	trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate		000
				(20 reg)
hydroge	225-	4,4'-methylenedicyclohexyl diisocyanate		10 000-100
nated	863-2			000
4,4'-				(19 reg)
	221	1 E norththulana diisaayanata		1000 10 000
1,5-NDI	221- 641-4	1,5-naphthylene diisocyanate		$1000-10\ 000$
2,2'-	219-	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate		(<5 reg) 1000-10 000
Z,Z - MDI	799-4		(<5 reg)	(<5 reg)
m-XDI	222-	1,3-bis(isocyanatomethyl)benzene	(<> reg)	1000-10 000
	852-4	1,5-bis(isocyaliatomethy)belizene		(<5 reg)
m-	220-	1,3-bis(1-isocyanato-1-methylethyl)benzene		1000-10 000
TMXDI	474-4			(<5 reg)
TMDI	915-	Reaction mass of 2,2,4-trimethylhexa-1,6-		10-1000
	277-1	diyl diisocyanate and 2,4,4-trimethylhexa-		(<5 reg)
	2// 1	1,6-diyl diisocyanate		((5108)
NBDI	411-	2,5-bis-isocyanatomethyl-		10-1000
1001	280-2	bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane		(<5 reg)
2,4-TDI	247-	2,4-dioxo-1,3-diazetidine-1,3-bis(methyl-m-		10-1000
dimer	953-0	phenylene) diisocyanate		(<5 reg)
	218-	2,4,6-triisopropyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate		10-1000
	485-4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(<5 reg)
TODI	202-	3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl-4,4'-diyl diisocyanate		10-1000
	112-7			(<5 reg)
PPDI	203-	p-phenylene diisocyanate		10-1000
	207-6			(<5 reg)

	807-	1,5-Diisocyanatopentane		10-1000
	040-5			(<5 reg)
	813-	1,3-diethyl-2,4-diisocyanato-5-		<10
	050-0	methylbenzene		(<5 reg)
hydroge	609-	1,3-bis(isocyanatomethyl)cyclohexane		<10
nated	567-4			(<5 reg)
1,3-XDI				
2,6-TDI	202-	2-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate		<10
	039-0		(<5 reg)	(<5 reg)
	402-	A mixture of: S-(3-trimethoxysilyl)propyl 19-		<10
	290-8	isocyanato-11-(6-isocyanatohexyl)-10,12-		(<5 reg)
		dioxo-2,9,11,13-tetraazanonadecanethioate;		
		S-(3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl 17-isocyanato-9-		
		(isocyanatohexyl-aminocarbonyl)-10-oxo-		
		2,9,11-triazaheptadecanethioate		
	429-	1,8-diisocyanato-4-isocyanatomethyloctane		<10
	140-4			(<5 reg)

Appendix 3. Overview of available epidemiological data on respiratory sensitisation/asthma

This Appendix is based on the work reported by ECHA (2018a). However, some details from the original studies have been added that are relevant for dose-response considerations, like quantification and timing of exposure, consideration of peak, cumulative or average inhalation exposure as well as dermal exposure. Also some studies published only more recently have been added.

Abbreviations

FEF₂₅₋₇₅: Forced expiratory flow between 25 and 75 % of FVC

- FEV1: Forced expiratory volume in one second
- FEV1 %: FEV1/FVC x 100
- FVC: Forced vital capacity
- HDI: Hexamethylene diisocyanate
- IPD: Isophorone diamine
- IPDI: Isophorone diisocyanate
- JEM: Job exposure matrix
- LOD: Limit of detection
- MDI: Methylenediphenyl diisocyanate
- MMF: Maximum mid-expiratory flow
- n. s.: not significant
- OA: Occupational asthma
- OR: Odds Ratio
- PEFR: Peak expiratory flow rate
- PR: Prevalence ratio
- PU: Polyurethane
- **RR:** Relative Risk
- TDA: Toluene diamine
- TDI: Toluene diisocyanate
- TWA: Time-weighted average

Epidemiological data on the exposure-response relationship of diisocyanates and respiratory disease

Reference	Study design and	Isocyanate and	Exposure	Results	Remarks
Reference (DFG, 1997, DFG, 2008)		Isocyanate and use MDI	Exposure	The OEL of 5 ppb for MDI and "polymeric MDI" was derived from occupational epidemiological studies with workers in plastic foam production, insulation foam production and MDI production. Available studies have a lot of limitations concerning exposure measurement, existing coexposures, disregard of both allergic aspects and preexposure to higher concentrations, lack of more objective outcome measurements (spirometry vs. whole body plethysmography). No significant changes in lung spirometry found when exposure was generally below 20 ppb. Whereas at this concentration there were sometimes respiratory symptoms (however not clearly attributable to isocyanates), such symptoms were not significantly more frequent at concentrations less than or equal to 10 ppb. At even lower concentrations of 0.05 mg/m ³ or less, the workers, sometimes exposed for many	Remarks
				years, were without symptoms and had better lung function than the control groups.	
				Respiratory sensitisation: Long-term exposure to MDI concentration of 0.05 mg/m ³ or less is thought to neither cause bronchial hypersensitivity and its associated symptoms nor the formation of specific antibodies. For the induction of specific airway hypersensitivity (with or without	

Table 36 Reviews

Reference	Study design and	Isocyanate and	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	subjects	use		immunological parameters) an exposure to MDI concentrations above 0.2 mg/m ³ or intensive skin contact is of great importance. To protect from increased peak exposure, 8-h TWA and short-term exposure limit value for 15 minutes have been put on the same level (0.05 mg/m ³). Ceiling exposure limit has been set to 0.1 mg/m ³ .	
(AGS, 2006, DFG, 2003)	Derivation of a "MAK-value" → adoption as national OEL	TDI		The OEL of 5 ppb (0.035 mg/m ³) for TDI is based on gradual deterioration in lung function. This effect was evaluated in several occupational epidemiological studies with workers from polyurethane foam factories in Japan, North America and Europe. From these data it was deduced "that with observance of an 8-hour-average value at the workplace of 0.005 ml/m ³ and limitation of exposure peaks to 0.02 ml/m ³ no significant deterioration in lung function is to be expected." Concerning respiratory sensitisation it was concluded from three epidemiological studies, that under a TDI concentration below 0.01 to 0.02 ml/m ³ , "generally no	
(Diller, 2002)	Incidence of OA due to TDI was estimated from nine longitudinal studies, based on 2751 workers. Prevalence of OA due to TDI was estimated from ten cross-sectional	TDI Longitudinal studies: Manufacture/ research and development/ flexible foam production	Sparse and mostly qualitative information	new cases of TDI asthma are observed ". TDI asthma: Reviewed studies are heterogeneous (population, case definition/validity of diagnosis of TDI asthma, industry, exposure), of limited validity and difficult to interpret. Annual incidence of TDI asthma shows downward trend over the past half century and was reported to be around 5 % in	Incidence data are not interpreted with regard to the exposure level. Reviewed studies overlap with other reviews (Ott, 2002a, Ott et al., 2003b).

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	studies, based on 788 workers.	Cross-sectional studies:		earlier times and between 0 and 0.7 % since 1980.	
	The 38-year period from 1954 to 1992 was covered.	Manufacture/foam production/ sewing laminated nylon/ laquer varnishing/ foam coating of steel/ adhesive tape production/varnish application/paint application		The downward trend is attributed to the downward trend of TDI exposure. The prevalence of TDI asthma has been reported to be > 10 % before 1985 and between 0 and 10 % in the more recent years at workplaces with mean TDI exposures < 15 ppb.	
(Ott, 2002a)	Review of studies on OA, lung function decrement and TDI exposure, with a focus on assessing exposure-response relationships TDI-induced asthma: Nine cross-sectional studies, eight longitudinal studies Lung function: Three cross- sectional studies, eleven longitudinal studies	TDI Manufacture and TDI-using industries (PU foam production and others)	Earlier years (1950s and 1960s): 60 ppb as mean area concentration or major portion of samples > 20 ppb, multiple spills reported Decline in exposure over the years More recent years (1980s and 1990s): < 5 ppb TWA, short- term concentrations > 20 ppb	 TDI asthma: Case definitions varied widely across studies. Prevalence across nine cross-sectional studies in TDI using industry ranged from 0 to 41 %. Annual incidence rates were 5-6 % in earlier times both in TDI manufacture and in TDI using industries. Rates declined to < 1 % with reduction of TDI concentrations to < 5 ppb (8h personal samples) (see Table C-2 below). Studies with more extensive exposure measurements indicate that majority of asthma cases may arise from TDI short-term concentrations > 20 ppb. Decline in lung function (FEV₁): Decrements in FEV₁ were seen in earlier studies and in follow-up studies of workers who continued to work after their diagnosis of OA. 	Reviewed studies overlap with other reviews (Diller, 2002, Ott et al., 2003b) .

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				No consistent evidence of accelerated loss in FEV ₁ was found in more recent studies with exposure up to 5 ppb (8h TWA) and even with short-term TDI concentrations > 20 ppb.	
(Ott et al., 2003b)	Review of clinical/epidemiologi cal literature on respiratory health effects of TDI and assessment of exposure-response- relationships in humans TDI-induced asthma: Nine cross-sectional studies, eight longitudinal studies Lung function: Three cross- sectional studies, eleven longitudinal studies	TDI Manufacture and TDI-using industries (PU foam production and others)	Different methods:Marcali method used in 1950s to 1970sTest-paper method developed 1968 and used in epidemiological studies published since 1980, equally sensitive to 2,4- and 2,6- isomers, not affected by presence of toluene diamineHPLC analytical methods since mid- 1970s, lower LOD, separate determination of 2,4- and 2,6-isomersOSHA method 42Manufacturing:Early years: Concentrations up to 60 ppb, frequently > 20 ppb, peak concen- trations up to 200 ppb during leaks, spills. After 1980: TWA < 5	 Hazards from single exposures are described, but will not be reported here. Hazards from repeated and long-term exposures: Asthma: Annual induction rates: About 5 % in earlier years (1950s-1970s) Between 0.7 to 1.1 % in four newer studies (1970s to 1990s). Here TWA concentrations mostly < 5 ppb, but short-term TDI concentrations > 20 ppb and occasionally > 80 ppb. Findings indicate a downward trend in incidence rate over time concurrent with lower TDI exposures. OA cases might be attributable to overexposure incidents (> 20 ppb). Hypersensitivity pneumonitis: Incidence due to TDI exposure seems to be very low. Lung function decrement: Mostly no evidence for accelerated decline from the larger, more recent longitudinal studies (8h TWA mostly ≤ 5 ppb). However, decline in lung function in workers with 	Reviewed studies overlap with other reviews (Diller, 2002, Ott, 2002a). Marcali method (Marcali, 1957) may have underestimated exposure to 2,6-TDI by as much as 47 %, positive interference if aromatic amines are present

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			<pre>ppb, short-term exposure > 20 ppb (less frequently). Foam production: Early years: similar to manufacturing. Since 1980: < 5 ppb (TWA), short-term exposure > 40 ppb, (less frequently)</pre>	symptoms or TDI-asthma and continued exposure.	
(BelgianCA , 2005)	Human health assessment sections "respiratory sensitisation" and "repeated dose toxicity" cover eleven and nine studies in humans, respectively	MDI		"MDI is a potential respiratory sensitiser in animals and humansAt the present time it is not possible to define reliable exposure- response relationships with regard to the risk of sensitisation for MDI." "In humans, some, but not all, epidemiological studies have found long- term decreases in ventilatory function and respiratory symptoms, in workers exposed to MDI even below current occupational standards." " chronic exposure to even low levels (but mostly undetermined or below 0.05 mg/m ³) of MDI involves a respiratory risk, "	Last literature search 2003
(Dodge and Silva, 2016a)	Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate (Monomer and Polymeric Forms) Reference Exposure Levels Technical Support Document for the	MDI (monomer and polymeric forms)		REL derived from animal data Acute REL = $12 \ \mu g/m^3 (1.2 \text{ ppb})$ 8-h REL = $0.16 \ \mu g/m^3 (0.015 \text{ ppb})$ Chronic REL = $0.08 \ \mu g/m^3 (0.008 \text{ ppb})$	Covers relevant published literature for MDI through spring 2015

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels				
(Dodge and Silva, 2016b)	Toluene Diisocyanate Reference Exposure Levels Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels	TDI (mixed isomers)		Acute REL (infrequent 1-h exposures) = $2 \mu g/m^3 (0.3 \text{ ppb})$ LOAEL = 71 $\mu g/m^3 (10 \text{ ppb})$ ($\geq 100 \%$ increase in Raw in asthmatics;) LOAEL uncertainty factor = 10 (for severe effect) Intraspecies toxicodynamic uncertainty factor = $\sqrt{10}$ (asthmatic children) "reasonably protective against sensitisation under a scenario of infrequent exposures" 8-h REL (repeated daily 8h-exposures up to 7 days/week) = 0.015 $\mu g/m^3 (0.002 \text{ ppb})$ LOAEL = 13.5 $\mu g/m^3 (1.9 \text{ ppb})$ (accelerated decline in FEV ₁ ; NOAEL = 0.9 ppb (6.4 $\mu g/m^3$) time adjustment = 5/7 subchronic uncertainty factor = $\sqrt{10}$ intraspecies toxicokinetic uncertainty factor = 10 intraspecies toxicokinetic uncertainty factor = 10 Chronic REL (continuous exposure over a lifetime) = 0.008 $\mu g/m^3 (0.001 \text{ ppb})$	"The RELs are intended to reasonably protect the general population from these health effects resulting from exposure to both 2,4- and 2,6-TDI, but may not protect all individuals previously sensitized to TDI." Covers relevant published literature for TDI through spring 2015

128

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				LOAEL and NOAEL see 8h REL	
				time adjustment = $10/20 * 5/7$	
				subchronic uncertainty factor = $\sqrt{10}$	
				intraspecies toxicokinetic uncertainty factor = 10	
				intraspecies toxicodynamic uncertainty factor = 10	
(Daniels, 2018)	Review of data suitable for dose- response modelling of TDI-related OA and estimation of BMDL ₀₁ value and an OEL linked to a 1/1000 lifetime extra risk	TDI		Studies judged suitable for dose-response analyses were those reporting data sufficient to estimate three key variables for dose-response modeling: i) the number of potential OA incidence cases; ii) the average TDI airborne exposure level over the observation period; and iii) the number of person-years at risk. Data sources were limited to study populations exposed to average TDI concentrations below 20 ppb. Data on eight TDI-exposed populations were suitable for analysis. There were 118 OA cases in a population contributing 13 590 person-years. The BMDL ₀₁ -based OEL was 0.4 ppb. The OEL based on low-dose extrapolation to working lifetime extra risk of 1/1000 was 0.3 ppb.	The role of peak exposures was not assessed. Moreover the author acknowledged that "Data on the appropriate exposure index for dose-response modeling are uncertain. It remains unclear whether TDI-induced asthma is a consequence of low cumulative exposure, exposure intensity, or some combination that also accounts for time ordering of intermittent exposure." And "For this study, it is assumed that the risk of TDI sensitization is related to average exposure, which may also be a correlate of peak exposures." The extra risk was calculated per 1000

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
					workers who are continuously exposed to TDI over a 45-year working lifetime.
(DECOS, 2018)	Review of data and proposal of limit value corresponding to an extra risk of 1 % unit increase in asthma prevalence	Di- and triisocyanates		In-house statistical analyses of original data of Pronk et al. (2009). Based on estimated 1% unit increase in prevalence of BHR ₂₀ , a limit value of 0.10 µg/m ³ as NCO was proposed	For the purpose of setting an exposure limit of sensitisers The Dutch Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment has set a risk level of 1% extra risk of sensitisation due to occupational exposure. This refers to an extra risk of 1% unit, e.g. from a general population prevalence of 2 per 100 to a prevalence of 3 per 100 among those occupationally exposed. Consequently the DECOS risk assessment was focused on the dose-response in the range of 1-5% of extra risk.

Table 37 Data taken from Ott (2002a)

Study	Time period	Annual incidence of TDI-induced occupational asthma [%]	TDI concentration [ppb]	Exposure sampling						
	TDI production units									
(Adams, 1975)	1961 - 1970	5.6	1962 - 1964: 58 % - 72 % of samples > 20 1965 - 1966: 4 % - 21 % of samples > 20 1967 - 1970: 1 % - 2 % of samples > 20	Area samples						
	1956 - 1959	1.6	1956 - 1957: 60 (mean area conc.)	Area samples						
(Porter et al., 1975)	1960 - 1969	0.8	1960 - 1969: steady decline in area conc.							
	1970 - 1974	0.3	1974: < 4 (mean area conc.)							
(Weill H, 1981)	1973 - 1978	1.0	1.6 - 6.8 (TWA; range by job) (STC > 20 5 % - 11 % of time in moderate to high exposure jobs)	Area samples 1973-75 Personal samples 1975-78						
	1967 - 1979	1.8	3.4 - 10.1 (TWA; range by job)	Area samples 1967-75						
(Ott et al., 2000b)	1980 - 1996	0.7	0.3 - 2.7 (TWA; range by job) (STC > 20 0.5 - 0.9 times/shift in moderate to high-exposure jobs)	Personal samples 1976-96						
		PU foam produc		•						
(Woodbury, 1956)	1954 - 1955	5	Multiple TDI spill episodes described in 18- month period	No sampling data						
(Williamson, 1964)	1962 - 1963	> 2.7	Samples mostly < 20 (up to 200 detected during spills)	Area samples						
(Bugler et al., 1991)	1981 - 1986	0.8	0.9 - 2.6 (TWA; range by job) 22 % of 8-hr samples with short-term conc. > 20 and 10 % > 40	Personal samples						
(Jones et al., 1992)	1982 - 1986	0.7	1.4 - 4.5 (TWA; range by job) (STC > 20 3 % time in production and 0.1 % of time in finishing jobs)	Personal samples						

STC: short-term concentration (9-12 minutes)

TWA: time-weighted average

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Collins et	Prospective cohort	Manufacture	TDI air concentrations and	Seven cases were identified as	Indication that also peak
al., 2017)	study (5 years)		questionnaires were used to	consistent with TDI-induced asthma	exposures may play a role
		TDI	estimate exposure for	(0.009 per person-years). Two more	while the study did not try
	3 US plants		different exposure groups.	cases were considered consistent with	discerning the effect of
				asthma but indeterminate regarding	cumulative and peak
	197 workers		Air samples representing	work-relatedness (total asthma	exposure.
	followed from June		shift length duration TWA	incidence rate of 0.012 per person-	
	2007 to June 2012.		exposures and exposures	years). Increased risk of cases	The cumulative exposure
			during the defined short-	consistent with TDI asthma was	captured the exposure
	Mean job tenure at		term high potential	observed for cumulative exposure (OR =	prior to 2007 only for
	enrollment 11.8		exposure tasks were	2.08, CI 1.07-4.05, per unit increase in	those 25% of workers who
	years.		collected. Cumulative TWA	log ppb-years) and peak TDI exposures	specifically reported the
			exposure estimates for	(OR = 1.18, 95% CI 1.06-1.32, per unit	start date of exposure. For
	New asthma cases		individuals were developed	increase in parts per billion).	the rest exposure was
	were identified from		based on the log means for		estimated only as from
	the medical		the TWA exposure clusters	When comparing probability of being an	start of study or start of
	monitoring program		and the length of exposure.	asthma case by exposure it was reported	hire which ever occurred
	by application of		The range for the estimated	that by cumulative exposure the	latest. I.e. exposure during
	standardised annual		cumulative TWA exposure	probability increased by 153% from 5 to	the average 11.8 years job
	medical assessment,		was 0.04 to 21.6 ppb-years	20 ppb-years while by estimated peak	tenure prior to start of the
	including spirometry		unadjusted for respirator	exposure it increased 962% from 5 to 20	study was mostly not
	and questionnaires		use.	ppm.	taken into account.
	on symptoms and		Deale and a second second		the although the second second for all
	exposure. Workers		Peak exposure values were		Healthy worker effect
	could also report		not directly used but were		possible
	symptoms		assigned a value		
	consistent with		corresponding to the highest 95 th percentile TWA of all		
	asthma at any time.				
	If symptoms or		the plant specific TWAs that		
	spirometry indicated		applied to that worker's task history.		
	possible asthma, further medical		mstory.		
	evaluation was		Overall the arithmetic mean		
	performed.		for all TWA exposures was		
	performed.		0.65 ppb, and the TWA		
			0.05 ppb, and the TWA		

Table 38: Longitudinal studies with quantitative exposure-response estimates

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			exposures ranged from an estimated 0.01 ppb to a measured 92 ppb.		
			The maximum peak exposures observed in the 3 plants were 19, 200 and 1726 ppb. In 60% of measurements the value was below LOQ (about 0.1 ppb).		

Table 39 Longitudinal studies

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Adams, 1975)	Prospective cohort study (9 years) 2 plants 565 have been employed for some period between 1961 to 1972 A) Comparison of respiratory symptoms in TDI plant workers (n = 76) with control workers (n = 76) in another plant	TDI Manufacture	Area samples taken at points in the plant where free TDI might be expected (ca. 250 measurements a week; Marcali method, (Marcali, 1957)) Samples > 20 ppb: 1962-64: 58 – 72 % 1965-66: 4 – 21 % 1967-70: 1 - 2 %	 A) Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): No significant difference in symptoms between men working in TDI plant and controls with the exception of higher frequency of wheezing in controls. B) Lung function: Duration of exposure had no effect on FEV₁ or FVC in the regression analysis. C) Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Prevalence of symptoms in TDI-sensitised men significantly higher than in controls → persistence of D) Lung function: FEV₁ and FVC smaller than predicted by equation obtained 	Reviewed in Ott (2002a) Method of analysis did not calculate individual decline in lung function Regression analysis included duration of exposure, but no exposure level Area measurements Lung function measurements in the afternoon Only healthy workers included

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	B) Lung function in healthy workers (n = 180)			from a control group: FEV ₁ -267 mL, FVC -269 mL	Smoking not included in regression analysis
	 C) Long-term effects in men who were removed due to symptoms and had no exposure to TDI since two to 11 years (n = 46) compared to age- matched control group (n = 46) D) Lung function in men who were removed due to symptoms and had 				
	no exposure to TDI since two to 11 years (n = 61)				
(Wegman et	Follow-up of	TDI	118 area samples + 14	Lung function (because of acute effect	High attrition rate
al., 1977)	1972: n = 112	PU cushion manufacture	personal samples taken during study period to characterise 20 work	seen on Monday: Monday morning following three-day weekend):	Followed up:
	1974:		stations	Dose-response relationship for two-year change in FEV_1 (-12 mL/-85 mL/ -	Possible confounding variables explored: age,
	n = 63 (available for re-survey) n = 57 with personal		Marcali method (Marcali, 1957)	205 mL from low to high exposure groups).	months employed, smoking habits, variables related to lung size.
	exposure levels		Each individual was classed according to his or her usual work station	Only those in lowest exposure group showed normal declines in $FEV_{1.}$	Authors report that none of those was able to explain the differences.
			Three exposure groups (ppm): $\leq 0.0015 (n = 20)$	Those in highest group had three- to fourfold higher FEV ₁ declines than expected (103 mL/year).	

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			0.0020 - 0.0030 (n = 17) ≥ 0.0035 (n = 20)	Significant association between acute and chronic decrement in FEV_{1} .	
				Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Prevalence of cough and phlegm increased with increase in exposure. Wheezing and dyspnea not associated with exposure.	
(Butcher et al., 1977)	Prospective cohort, 2.5 years	TDI Manufacture	Area sampling (1973): fre- quent excursions of 8h-TWA	Lung function changes (n = 102):	Attrition rate = 7.2 % Two workers had left the
	Visits: April 1973 (before TDI production),	Manufacture	value of 5 ppb; many above 20 ppb Personal monitoring (1975)	Mean values of FVC and FEV ₁ increased in all groups. Other lung function parameters decreased slightly (n. s. different from zero or predicted).	study by October 1975 after developing reactivity to TDI.
	November 1973 (after production had started), every 6 months thereafter		Frequent and large discrep- ancies between simultan- eously measured area and personal exposure levels	Paradoxical differences for lung volumes and diffusion capacity (greater declines in the groups with higher exposure).	No quantitative exposure estimation for the four exposure categories
	Initially n = 166 Study in TDI-		Four groups:	No exposure-related excess decline in lung function determined.	Smoking not considered in analysis of change in lung function
	sensitive persons (specific and unspecific challenge)		 Mainly in TDI area: n = 77 Intermittently in TDI 	Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire administered by interviewers):	
			area: n = 36 3) Comparison group: n = 53 4) (added later) workers transferred from control	No significant increase in prevalence of bronchitis, atopic disorders, upper respiratory symptoms from April 1973 to October 1975.	
			group to exposure group after production had begun	Significant proportion of exposed workers (26 of 89) reported onset of lower respiratory symptoms after beginning work in TDI areas (due to symptom development in non-smokers).	

	idy design and ojects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	ears follow up	Mainly MDI	Isocyanate concentration:	Inhalation challenge with TDI: 9 out of 13 workers had an adverse bronchial response (immediate type, late type or dual type). Some reacted at 5 ppb, some to a higher concentration only. Lung function (flow volume curve,	High loss to follow up (half
worl wor 198 (45 Two proc Follo	76: n = 318 rkers (104 men) 81: n = 156 women) o factories ducing PU foam ow up of Pham et 1978	Production of PU foam	1976: < 20 ppb 1981: \leq 5 ppb 1976: Group I (n = 83): unexposed Group II (n = 117): indirectly exposed Group III (n = 118) directly exposed 1981: Only results for men reported for the longitudinal analysis. Group A (n = 45): unexposed at both studies Group B (n = 24): undirectly exposed at both studies Group C (n = 30): directly exposed at both studies Group D (n = 15): exposed in 1976, but removed in 1981	 single breath CO diffusion test (D_{LCO})): Ventilatory function and lung transfer factors significantly impaired in male exposed workers compared to group I. Only in the subgroup of workers exposed for more than 5 years. Decline of ventilatory function variables not significantly different between the groups. Significant larger loss of D_{LCO} in subjects with persisting exposure (group C) compared to reference group. Results returned to normal for the subjects no longer exposed (group D). Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Increased prevalence of asthma in group II men and group III women and of chronic bronchitis in both sexes. Number of workers with asthma or chronic bronchitis increased over the five years, but this was not limited to the 	of the initial cohort still active after 5 years) Rare information on exposure In females, the proportion of smokers was the same in groups I – II. In males, there were slightly (n.s.) more smokers in groups II and III. Coexposure to other isocyanates? ("mainly MDI")

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Wegman et al., 1982)	Four-year follow up (Wegman et al. 1974 and 1977) 1972: $n = 111$ 1974: $n = 63$ 1976: $n = 48$ (all those who were still at work in 1976) \rightarrow n = 37 with exposure history and acceptable spirograms On all three occasions workers were examined before work and as many as possible six to ten hours later.	TDI Automobile seat cushion manufacture	Environmental sampling at selected work sites on the same day as lung function was measured. Additional sampling during the first two years of the study. Personal sampling in production area, area samples in warehouse and nonproduction sites. Marcali method Occupational histories taken from personnel records Cumulative exposure of each worker calculated and from this the usual exposure level. Three exposure groups: Low (< 0.0020 ppm) Medium (0.002 – 0.0034 ppm)	 Lung function: Acute change in FEV₁ (during work shift) observed at the beginning of the study was weakly associated with long-term change in FEV₁. Chronic change in FEV₁ (over four years): Mean exposure to TDI was the best predictor of four-year change in FEV₁ in a stepwise regression model. Change in FEV₁ increased with exposure and was significantly different between the exposure groups. Decline in FEV₁ in high exposure group (60 mL/year) was higher than annual decline observed in other studies of normal populations (32-47 mL). Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire; upper respiratory symptoms: sneezing, sinus trouble or postnasal drip, hay fever; lower respiratory symptoms: coughing, wheezing, shortness of breath): Prevalence of respiratory 	Uncertainties in exposure assessment High attrition rate Lung function decline evaluated from 3 occasions only
			0.0034 ppm) High (> 0.0033 ppm)	breath): Prevalence of respiratory symptoms was unrelated to exposure category.	
(Musk et al., 1982)	5 years follow-up n = 259 from three sites were examined in 1971; one of the sites closed in 1972 and there was high	TDI and MDI for the man- ufacture of PU automob- ile compon- ents	2573 environmental samples were collected by plant personnel in the breathing zone of subjects pouring urethane plastic (exposure in areas with the	Lung function (spirometry (FEV ₁ , FVC); change over 5 years/change over the course of a day/change between before and after two weeks of vacation):	Uncertainties in exposure assessment and spirometry Smoking, age, height, sex were considered in the

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	worker turnover; 107 subjects were		highest exposures was measured)	Mean annual decrement in FEV ₁ of 0.02 L was interpreted as being only	regression analysis of FEV_1 .
	available for re- examination in 1976		During lung function survey	age-related	Healthy worker survivor
			further measurements were made by plant personnel and study personnel at selected sites with highest	No significant acute change in FEV_1 over the course of a day before or after vacation reported	effect (Although it is reported that subjects who left had similar lung functions to the remaining
			TDI and MDI concentrations	After two weeks of vacation FEV_1 was increased in those who had taken the	subjects, it seems possible that workers left due to
			Marcali method (Marcali, 1957)	vacation (n = 49, n. s.) and was decreased in those who had worked (n = 31, n.s.).	earlier symptoms of sensitisation).
			All environmental measurements made over the 5 years together with	Exposure category did not affect daily change in FEV ₁ /pre- to post-vacation	
			the occupational history of the subjects determined the	change in FEV_1 /five-year change in FEV_1 .	
			exposure category (No exposure/TDI/MDI/TDI and MDI).	Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire):	
			90 % of all measurements of TDI taken over the four	No association between exposure to isocyanates and bronchitis or dyspnea found	
			years prior to the follow-up		
			study were < 5 ppb (plant 1) and < 4 ppb (plant 2)	No acute exposure-related symptoms reported by subjects	
			Geometric mean TDI concentration: 1.5 ppb (plant 1) and 1 ppb (plant 2)		
			MDI levels tended to be lower than TDI levels		
(Diem et al., 1982)	5 years prospective (9 surveys)	TDI manufacture	2093 personal samples from 143 workers representing all job categories	Lung function (spirometry, annual change):	No unexposed group

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	First survey in 1973 (5 months before start of production) Initially: n = 168 After 5 surveys: n = 274 (males) Median follow-up time for n = 223 men who met inclusion criteria of spirometric data 4.1 years (1 – 5.5)		8h-TWA from 0.1 ppb - 25 ppb, geometric mean 2.00 ppb Average exposure: Three TWA exposure job categories: Geometric mean in ppb (time per shift < 20 ppb): Low: 0.02 (1.3 min) Medium: 2.0 (8.6 min) High: 4.5 (28.2 min) Cumulative exposure calculated from number of months spent in each of the three TWA exposure categories and their respective geometric means. Workers were divided into two groups using a division point of 68.2 ppb-months (= 1.1 ppb x 62 months). Low exposure group n = 149, high n = 74. Working time spent > 5 ppb: 2 % in low exposure group, 15 % in high exposure group. Peak exposure categories: division point 0.19 months	Decrease in FEV, %FEV and FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ was significantly larger in the high cumulative exposure category than in the low category (adjusted for pack- years of smoking). No association of the other lung function annual changes with exposure. A more detailed analysis of FEV ₁ and FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ in six categories of cumulative TDI exposure and smoking showed a significant effect of TDI exposure in never smokers only and a significant effect of smoking in the low exposure group only. → effects not additive Effects similar for six categories of TDI peak exposure and smoking with the exception that a significant exposure effect was found in current smokers also. → higher TDI exposure seems to mask smoking effect → peak exposure analysis suggests additive effect (lacking in cumulative exposure analysis) Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): No significant correlation in increase in prevalence from initial to final interview and exposure to TDI.	"The present data do not identify a specific exposure below which no effect upon FEV₁ annual decline will occur. However, they do suggest that the NIOSH-recommended standard of a 5 ppb 8-h time-weighted average and a 20 ppb 10-min short-term exposure limit is reasonable." Low cumulative exposure group was older and initially had higher prevalence of respiratory symptoms than high exposure group → possible underestimation of excess decline in lung function due to TDI 75 % of the low exposure group had follow-up time > 2.5 years and 99 % of the higher exposure group Atopy, race and smoking were considered Age and FEV₁ level were considered in the more detailed analysis of FEV₁ and FEF ₂₅₋₇₅

subjects and use Exposure (Omae, 2-year follow up TDI Mean duration of TDI	Results	Remarks
	Lung function (Maximum expiratory flow volume curve, respiratory impedance): n = 8 workers with asthmatic reactions, shortly after having begun work with TDI. Percentage of predicted values significantly less than 100 % in some of the expiratory flow parameters. No significant differences in lung function between the exposed workers and the referents. Change in lung function over the day (1980; n = 68 TDI workers + n = 31 controls): No meaningful daily changes in lung function in either group. Change in lung function over two years: When adjusted for aging, no remarkable intra-individual two-year decreases in lung function parameters in both groups and no significant difference between the groups. No difference in the two-year decrement between the workers with asthmatic reactions and the other TDI workers. Symptoms (interviewed by the use of a questionnaire): No significant differences in prevalence of respiratory symptoms between	RemarksHigh loss to follow-upCo-exposures:TDI plant workers: occasionally various irritants such as phosgene, chlorine, nitric acid, sulfuric acid;Research laboratory workers: irritative amines, organic tin compounds , MDI, HDI during experimental mold foamingEffects of age, physical factors and smoking on lung function considered in analysisSurvival worker effect considered to be small by the authorsHyperreactive persons to TDI may have already been transferred out of TDI sections

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				Significantly higher prevalence of throat and eye irritation in exposed workers than in referents. May be due to peak exposures to TDI or other irritants (phosgene).	
(Musk et al., 1985)	Re-analysis of the data of				The spirometries performed 1971 in the study by were criticised ("inadequate", "lack of reproducibility", "leak in the spirometer"). concluded that the original conclusions are valid.
(Gee and Morgan, 1985)	10-year follow up (includes significant proportion of subjects included in Musk et al. 1982) Examinations in 1971 and in 1981 n = 68 exposed n = 12 controls n = 65 subjects with pre- and post-shift measurement n = 42 studied in 1971 and 1981	TDI and MDI Manufacture of fittings, seat covers, other fixtures used in the interior of cars	Routine area and some individual sampling had been carried out monthly or more frequently Mean annual concentrations between 1973 and 1980 for TDI: 1- 5 ppb Mean annual concentrations between 1975 and 1981 for MDI: 1- 5 ppb	<pre>Lung function (compared to predicted values): Three subjects had impaired lung function (two exposed, one control). Lung function of subjects studied previously had mean FVC and mean FEV₁ > 100 % of the predicted values. Control group of one plant had a significantly lower percentage of the predicted FVC and FEV1 than the exposed group. No other significant difference between any of the groups. Lung function (change over shift): Change not higher than 10 % in any subject. No comparison between controls and exposed.</pre>	Mean annual exposure values on factory level only Uncertainties in spirometry data (no reproducibility, leak in spirometer possible in 1971; learning effect from pre- to post-shift measurements) Results on annual decline in lung function seen as "not realistic" (small increase in FVC, small decrease in FEV ₁).

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				Mean shift change in FEV ₁ was -57 mL in exposed and +69 in controls in one plant and -23 and -80 in the other plant, respectively.	
(Omae et al., 1992)	<pre>4-year follow up (cross-sectional results see) Cross-sectional: 1981 Follow-up visits: 1983 and 1985 Japan: n = 57 PU foam workers (follow-up rate 66 %; n = 2 excluded) n = 24 reference workers (follow-up rate 61 %; n = 3 excluded)</pre>	TDI PU foam manufacture	Personal paper-tape monitors (n = 59 samples in 1981, 48 in 1983 and 52 in 1985) n = 28 group L (low exposure with little variation), 17.4 years in the PU foam factories (mean), TWA (mean, max) 0.1 ppb, 1 ppb; Peak exposure level < 1 ppb n = 29 group H (exposed workers), 16.5 years in the PU foam factories (mean), TWA (mean, max) 5.7 ppb, 30 ppb; Peak exposure level 3-80 ppb Two subgroups of group H: n = 15 group H1 (high short-term exposures), 13.8 years in the PU foam factories (mean), TWA (mean, max) 8.2 ppb, 30 ppb; Peak exposure level 30-80 ppb n = 14 group H2, 19.4 years in the PU foam factories (mean), TWA (mean, max)	Lung function (Flow-volume indices in 1981; Average annual loss of the indices during 1981-1985 (forced expiratory flow-volume test at follow-ups; slope of the regression equation for every subject)): No "noteworthy" differences in pulmonary function indices and average annual losses between groups H, L, reference. Group H1: Significantly larger average annual lung function losses (% MMF, %FEV ₁ %, %MEF ₂₅) than expected. Significantly larger average annual losses in some obstructive pulmonary function indices than in group L or reference group.	 No individual exposure estimates No significant differences between group H1 and H2 (as suggested in the abstract) Workers in slab-type factories intermittently exposed to relatively high levels of TDI and concurrent other chemical gases/aerosol → group H divided into two subgroups Smoking rate significantly lower in group H than in group L and reference group Comparison of average annual losses of smokers and non-smokers in the 4 groups showed similar trends. Higher losses in smokers than non- smokers. Based on a comparison between lung function of followed-up and lost workers, survival-worker

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			1.7 ppb, 4 ppb; Peak exposure level 3-14 ppb		effect was evaluated to be small.
(Tornling et al., 1990)	Six years follow-up (initial study:) 1978: 46 male car painters and 142 male controls (car platers and mechnics) randomly chosen from 14 garages in Stockholm Reinvestigation in 1984: Participation rate 78 % for car painters and 81 % for controls n = 36 car painters n = 115 controls	HDI monomer and HDI biuret trimer Car painting	Individual exposure assessments by industrial hygienist (interview about working routines, respirator use, hygienic standards). Exposure measurements at seven representative shops 98 samples inside and outside the respirator Individual exposure was calculated from workplace data, proportion of work tasks, use of respirators. 18 peak exposure measurements (sampling time < 3 min) Calculated TWA exposure: HDI: 0.0015 mg/m ³ HDI-BT: 0.09 mg/m ³ , frequently peak exposures > 0.2 mg/m ³ Calculated yearly number of peak exposure situations up to 6000 for each car painter	Decline in lung function over six years (1978: Monday morning values were used; 1984: Workers were examined during the first three hours of a working day): Smoking and ex-smoking car painters had significantly larger lung function decrease compared with respective controls. Nonsmoking car painters displayed no faster deterioration in lung function than corresponding controls. Decrease in FVC correlated significantly with number of HDI-BT exposure peaks, but not with mean exposure. IgG and IgE , specific IgE in car painters: No significant differences in Ig levels between car painters and controls. No specific IgE found. Symptoms : Car painters reported significantly higher frequency of wheezing than the controls. Differences for other symptoms n.s.	Participation rate at follow- up 78 % among car painters and 81 % among controls. Selection bias (drop outs may have quit job because of respiratory symptoms, one asthma case known) Smoking not quantified

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			No close correlation between exposure peaks and mean exposure		
(Dahlqvist et al., 1995)	Reanalysis of data from and Evaluation if lung function decrease within the week is a marker of vulnerability of further decrement in lung function Six-year follow up, two study occasions Original group of workers were randomly chosen from 14 garages in Stockholm, 28 car painters participated in all three spirometric examinatins, only those 20 were chosen who had been working during the entire six years period n = 20	HDI Monomer and biuret trimer Car painters working with polyurethane paints	Individual exposure assessments by industrial hygienist (interview about working routines, respirator use, hygienic standards). 81 exposure measurements for three tasks in 25 spray painting chambers. Peak exposure measurements were performed (sampling time < 3 min) TWA between 1978 and 1984 for the workers studied: HDI: 0.0014 mg/m ³ HDI-BT: 0.09 mg/m ³	Lung function (1978: spirometry on Monday before work after two days of no exposure and on Friday; 1984: spirometry during the first three hours of a working day) Changes in FEV ₁ and FVC within the week were dichotomised. Ten workers had a decrease in FVC within the week. Ten workers had a decrease in FEV ₁ within the week. Car painters in the initial study who showed a decrease of FVC within the week in 1978 had a significantly greater decline in FVC from 1978 to 1984 than car painters who did not (adjusted for smoking). Significant correlation between changes within the week and six years decline in FVC. Decline in FVC was not significantly correlated with the mean exposure to HDI or HDI-BT estimated during the entire follow up. Six year decline in FVC was correlated to the yearly number of peak exposures to HDI-BT.	Uncertainties in exposure assessment Current smokers had on average a higher yearly number of peak exposures to HDI-BT than did ever smokers. May indicate less use of protective equipment by smokers. Smoking not quantified

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			252	Respiratory symptoms reported (for example three of 10 workers with change in FVC within the week in 1984 have cough, dyspneea and/or wheeze).	
(Jones et al., 1992)	Cross-sectional, follow up Two plants n = 394 at the start of the study, through the fourth examination n = 435 had ever worked in one of the plants	TDI Production of flexible PU foam products	 258 workers wore monitors on 507 shifts resulting in 4845 12-min samples: 9 % > 5ppb 1 % > 20 ppb TDI concentrations were assigned to groups of jobs. Information on the number of months spent in each exposure grouping was taken from personal records. Mean by plant and job area ranged from 1.17 to 4.47 ppb. Exposure measures: cumulative exposure from hire to first study examination cumulative exposure from hire to the end of study cumulative exposure during the study period length of time exposed to concentrations > 5 and 20 ppb 	Lung function (spirometry, standing position, nose clips): Significant adverse effect of cumulative TDI exposure on initial level of FVC and FEV ₁ in current smokers. TDI exposure had no significant effect on lung function decline. Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire administered by trained interviewers): Chronic bronchitis more prevalent among those with higher cumulative exposure (controlled for smoking, age, sex). Metacholine challenge (n = 303): Metacholine responsiveness in 22 % of tested workers. Skin prick test with common inhalant allergens Total IgE, RAST	Co-exposure to different amines and other substances in foam production healthy worker (predicted values) differential misclassification of exposure (large number of samples < LOD)

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Akbar- Khanzadeh and Rivas, 1996)	 Cross-sectional (daily, weekly changes) Longitudinal (2.5- year follow up) n = 16 urethane mold operators n = 19 controls (final assembly department, office area) Oct 1989 - March 1992: n = 65 exposed to diisocyanates and solvents n = 40 exposed to solvents n = 68 controls (office, assembly, hardware department) 	HDI monomer and polyisocyana te, combined with organic solvents (MDI) Encapsulated automobile glass plant	 HDI monomer, HDI polyisocyanate, volatile organic compounds Personal and area samples HDI: 92 % < LOD (set to 50 % of LOD); mean concentration (personal, area): 1.55 ppb (n = 6), 0.65 ppb (n = 3) HDI polyisocyanate: 75 % < LOD; mean concentration (personal, area): 0.09 mg/m³ (n = 6), 0.02 mg/m³ (n = 3) Mean concentration: HDI 1 ppb (n = 8 samples) HDI polyisocyanate 0.29 mg/m³ (n = 5 samples) MDI 0.45 ppb (n = 7 samples) 	 Lung function (spirometry on Monday and Friday before and after shift): No significant differences between exposed and control group No significant reduction in lung function during workshift or during week in the exposed group compared to the control group. Some findings in subgroups by sex. Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Some symptoms more prevalent in control group (n. s. or not tested?). Lung function (spirometry before the shift): Significant decrease in lung function parameters in isocyanate/solvent- exposed group. Significant differences in lung function change (FEV1 and FVC) among groups Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Proportion of subjects who developed respiratory symptoms in the isocyanate-exposed group was not significantly greater than that of the non-exposed group. 	No individual exposure estimates Very small number of air samples Control group appropriate? 1) HDI in control area 0.67 ppb Co-exposure Smoking was significantly more prevalent in the exposed group 2) Co-exposure Controls had no occupational exposure "between the two tests"
(Clark et al., 1998)	5 years longitudinal UK	TDI	Personal monitoring (2294 measurements) for 100 job categories. Cumulative	Longitudinal decline in lung function (spirometry; three or more measurements):	Followed up by Clark et al. 2003

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	n = 780 workers in 12 factories (n = 623 original + 157 naïve workers)	Manufacture of PU foam	exposure between first and last lung function measurement was calculated for each subject based on job histories. 8-h TWA exposure limit of 5.8 ppb (46 ppbh for an 8h working day) was exceeded on 107 (4.7 %) occasions. Five of the 780 subjects (0.6 %) had a mean daily exposure exceeding the limit value. Peak exposure limit value of 20 ppb was exceeded in 500 (19 %) samples. 8.8 % of the peak measurements > 40 ppb Exposed group (n = 521): manufacture of PU foam or handling freshly manufactured products; mean daily exposure 9.6 ppbh (1.2 ppb 8-h TWA) Handling group (n =123): handling cold PU products Low-exposure group (n =136): shopfloor and office workers	No significant effect of TDI on annual lung function change. For the naïve population, regression analysis showed a significant effect of mean daily exposure on annual changes of FEV1 and FVC. Due to irritant effect? Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Increase in respiratory symptoms in exposed group and handling group, significant for wheezing. 24 cases of respiratory sensitisation were identified during the study.	High attrition rate (47 %) Leavers reported excess breathlessness and wheeze compared to non- leavers of the total population. Linear regression considered sex, group, age, age ² , smoking, mean daily exposure, peak exposure, pre-study exposure.

147

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Hathaway et al., 1999)	Follow up (9 years) Production began in 1988, follow up through 1997 n = 43 "potential cases" and n = 42 "potential controls" of another unit at the same plant n = 32 matched pairs (by smoking, sex, age and by race and height if multiple possibilities were available)	HDI Production of HDI biuret and trimer from monomer	Average number of years of potential exposure: 8.4 Area and personal sampling (different methods and equipment over time) Exposure when not wearing respiratory protection was considered 1992-1995 (personal monitoring): average (range): TWA during work not requiring respiratory protection in the unit (1 – 4 hours/day): 0.5 ppb (0.0 – 2.0 ppb); calculated as 8h- TWA: 0.13 ppb Highest daily peak exposure: 2.9 ppb (1.0 – 10.0) Exposure before 1992 believed to be somewhat higher (no quantification)	Lung function (as part of annual evaluation of workers): Average number of available tests for calculating slope: 7.8 (exposed) and 8.2 (controls). No significant difference in annual change of lung function (slopes) between exposed and control group. By smoking status, the results show more variation. Results seen as being within the range of lung function declines reported in other studies.	Exposure not measured on individual level Smoking not quantified Height and race only partially controlled Co-exposure in control group reported (depending on work area): cerium and neodymium oxides, nitric acid, ammonia, kerosene, tributyl phosphate Qualitative information on potential drop outs: low turnover rate, few transfers between the units, subject attrition not been a problem
(Petsonk et al., 2000)	Health surveys prior to the use of diisocyanates and every six months thereafter over two years	MDI oligomer and prepolymer for coating wood products	Two exposure indices were assigned to individuals and to work areas, each with three categories. 1) individual: reported involvement with diisocyanates or	Asthma-like symptoms based on a questionnaire: initial asthma-like symptoms (IAS) follow-up asthma-like symptoms (FAS) new-onset asthma-like symptoms (NAS) Prevalence of NAS was 27 % in workers of the highest exposure potential to	Not suitable for deriving reference values because of missing exposure measurements Current smoking was considered in the logistic model of FAS.

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	n = 276 workers were employed over the 2-year period;		diisocyante-containing products	liquid MDI and 0 % in the lowest exposure category.	Prevalence data of FAS and NAS were stratified by current smoking $(n = 32)$.
	n = 144 had baseline and follow- up data as well as data on occupational		2) work area: level of potential exposure to liquid MDI resin, based on the percentage of workers	Prevalence of NAS and FAS cases increased with categories of potential exposure to liquid MDI.	
	history		reporting exposure	FAS and NAS were significantly more prevalent among workers that reported that they had briefly removed respiratory protection than among workers who reported that they never do this.	
				Prevalence of NAS and FAS were higher in the workers who reported a MDI stain on their skin than in workers that reported they had never observed a stain.	
				Individual reports of work involving exposure to liquid MDI were significantly associated with FAS (logistic regression model).	
(Ott et al., 2000b)	Historic cohort study using medical	TDI manufacturin	Duration of TDI unit assignments:	Occupational asthma:	Long follow-up time
	records and g exposure records	g	5.7 years (average, men)	Case identification was based on site physician. One episode of asthma-like	Exposure concentration linked to the asthma
	from 1967 to 1997	0 1997	4.7 years (average, women)	symptoms was not enough to be an OA case.	incidence not clear. The review of Ott et al. 2003
	n = 313 employees ever assigned to the TDI production unit		3 months to 30 years (range) 1967 (area sampling): < 10	19 asthma cases presumed to be due to TDI, 9 skin allergies, 1 case of asthma and skin disease	reports for this study an exposure of 0.3 – 2.7 ppb (TWA; range by job) since
	<pre>for >= 3 months; n =158 referent employees;</pre>	ppb in most areas and 25 ppb in the residue handling area	Yearly incidence: 19 cases in 1779 work- years = 1.1 %; before 1980: 1.8 %; since 1980: 0.7 %	1980, assigning this to a yearly incidence of 0.7 %.	

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	40 records were not found (16 of the study group and 24 of the reference group)		1969-1973: < 10 ppb in most areas with 60 to 80 ppb in certain areas 1976-1988 (personal 8 hour samples, paper type method): 5.9 ppb (average) 1989-1997 (personal 8 hour samples, filter method); 2.8 ppb (average)	Cumulative incidence for people assigned to TDI unit at least 20 ys: 11.5 % (95 % CI 5.3-17.7 %) 7 of 19 cases had reported previous incidents of exposure to TDI (2 related to rashes that had developed while handling TDI or waste products containing TDI)	Peak exposure and dermal exposure make it difficult to evaluate the 8h-TWA. Smoking, non-occupational asthma and allergy were assessed. Exposure to phosgene
			JEM: Industrial hygiene	Respiratory symptoms:	
			measurements were linked to job-specific work history per person; peak exposure and 8h-TWA concentration were aggregated on a job and time specific basis for three job groups (potentially low/moderate/high TDI exposure); cumulative dose estimates (ppb-months)	Since 1980 a standardised questionnaire was used that contained four questions with dichotomous answers (concerning wheezing/cough/chest discomfort/shortness of breath). No significant associations with responses in the questionnaires were found for those exposed to TDI versus referents.	
			Average TDI concentration: < 5 ppb for 59 % of the	Lung function (spirometry):	
			workers Cumulative TDI dose: < 500 ppb-months for 89 % of the workers	Neither cross-sectional nor longitudinal analyses of FVC and FEV ₁ showed significant dose-response findings relative to exposure to TDI across the	
			Frequencies of peak exposure > 20 ppb per shift: 0.5 in moderate exposure jobs, 0.9 in high- exposure jobs	total exposed population.	
(Bodner et al., 2001)	Longitudinal, data taken from routine medical surveillance	TDI Manufacture	Mean observation period of TDI workers 7.8 years (SD 6.2)	Clinical symptoms (questionnaire):	Longest follow-up time (together with Ott et al.

	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
e C a b la la c 1 c T c r c r c r c c	examinations offered every 1 to 2 years Cross-sectional analyses (symptoms before entry and at last examination) Data from 1971- 1997, mean follow- up ca. 8 years Dow Chemical, Texas, USA n = 305 TDI exposed workers n = 581controls (hydrocarbons department)		 n = 449 8-h TWA TDI samples in 20 job categories; mean TDI exposure values per category calculated for start-up period (1971-1979) and full production period (1980-1997); individual work histories were matched to the 20 job categories to produce average exposure estimates and cumulative exposure estimates for each work segment for each worker Mean TDI concentration per individual: 2.3 ppb (SD 1.0), max. 5.2 ppb Average cumulative TDI exposure: 96.9 ppb-months (SD 110.6), max. 639 ppb- months Quartiles of the cumulative TDI estimates: 1-29 ppb- month, 30-70 ppb-month, 71-133 ppb-month, > 133 ppb-month Exposure categories with cut-points at 1 ppb for 1, 5, 10 years, expressed in ppb- month (distribution for all observations): 	One of the symptoms significantly more prevalent in controls than in exposed subjects at baseline (shortness of breath). Prevalence for all symptoms increased in both groups over time. Prevalence of symptoms not higher in TDI exposed subjects compared to controls at final examination. No effect of TDI on clinical symptoms reported during the study period found in regression models using four cumulative exposure categories or using a continuous cumulative variable or using quartiles of exposure. Lung function (spirometry): Average annual decline in FEV ₁ was 30 mL. No association of TDI and decline in lung function found with mixed regression models using different exposure terms and subgroups.	2000) for TDI workers until then. Retrospective (change of formats of health surveys) Not enough exposure samples to derive annual TDI concentration estimates for each year for each job category Regression analyses for symptoms were adjusted for observation period and pack-years. Covariates considered for the mixed models for longitudinal lung function change were initial FEV ₁ , initial FVC, age, observation period, height, race, sex, race, entry period, pack-years, asthma, shortness of breath No exposure to MDI (as in some foam-manufacturing operations)

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			1-12 (8.3 %), 13-60 (36.6 %), 61-120 (27.1 %), > 120 (27.0 %)		
(Clark et al., 2003)	17-year longitudinal 1981-1998 UK Follow-up of Clark et al. 1998 7 of 12 factories remained n = 251 (217 were in the previous study)	TDI Manufacture of PU foam	Personal measurements: n = 1004 valid 1.3 % in excess of 46.4 ppbh (5.8 ppb, 0.02 mg NCO/m ³) Respiratory protection taken into account by subtracting 50 % of calculated exposure values Average daily dose for each exposed job at each factory calculated from the current and previous measurements Mean exposure for the period: Exposed group (n = 175): 8.4 ppbh Handling group (n = 26): 4.8 ppbh Low exposure group (n = 11): 2.3 ppbh	Longitudinal decline in lung function (same spirometer as in previous study; earliest measurement during 1981-1986 + further measurement in 1997/1998 used): Significantly higher loss in FEV ₁ and FVC in handling group vs. low exposure group. Annual decline of FEV ₁ and FVC not associated to TDI exposure. Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Differences in prevalence of respiratory symptoms between initial and final survey (reduction in some, increase in other symptoms).	Study was not designed to identify cases of sensitisation Persons showing evidence of TDI sensitisation would be removed and would no longer be available for study High attrition rate Respiratory illness was the reason for leaving in 2.3 % of cases 70 subjects out of 251 (28 %) changed groups during the 17-year period Number of present smokers fell from 129 (51 %) to 100 (40 %) between the two studies Only two data points used for lung function decline
(Wang and Petsonk, 2004)	Same cohort as in (Petsonk et al., 2000) (Initial survey before initial use of MDI in	MDI oligomer and pre-polymer for coating wood products	Any contact with liquid MDI (respiratory or skin) reported: n = 39; no contact reported:	Five respiratory symptoms were assessed by a questionnaire (Attacks of dyspnoea with wheeze/attacks of dyspnoea or cough at rest/Chest tightness/Cough/Phlegm). Symptom incidence was recorded at a follow-up	Not suitable for deriving reference values because of missing exposure measurements.

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	the plant, follow-up surveys at 2/8/14 and 20 months after initial use of MDI) n = 132		n = 93 (Further binary exposure groups for wood dust and smoking)	regardless of whether or not it had been reported on a previous or subsequent follow-up. Multiple logistic regression for repeated measurements of symptom onset showed that workers exposed to liquid MDI had about two to four times greater odds of developing these symptoms. Significant for all outcomes except for cough.	Logistic regression adjusted for age, smoking, wood dust exposure, tenure
(Dragos et al., 2009)	Prospective inception cohort study, 18 months n = 385 apprentice car-painters	HDI monomers and oligomers	Personal breathing zone samples (n = 51) during regular and specific activities Area sampling (n = 41) in	Health assessment included: - Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire) - Lung function (spirometry) - Metacholine challenge - Skin prick tests (only first visit) - HDI-specific IgE, IgG and IgG4	Subjects lost to follow-up 21.5 % Short observation period Pre-exposure possible
	recruited between 1999 and 2002, complete data for n = 298		spray cabins and workplace background Duration for effective exposure to HDI max. 7	Aims: - describe changes in specific antibodies to HDI - describe incidence of work-related	No individual exposure estimates Masks worn when
	First visit upon entry and second visit at the end of the training programme Montreal area,		Median (maximum) concentration in µg/m ³ , personal samples: Monomer:	symptoms - examine association between work- related symptoms and changes in specific antibody levels, and other potential risk factors	spraying, but not always those recommended and often removed inappropriately for inspecting the work.
	Canada		Spraying 0.001 (0.006) Mixing 0.0003 (0.0003)	Increases in specific IgE and IgG levels > 97 th and 95 th percentile were significantly associated with duration of exposure (9 subjects increased their IgG levels /IgE levels above the cut-off of the	In regression analysis (dependent variable: IgE or IgG) only duration of exposure was used, but no concentration.
			Brush cleaning < LOD Oligomer:	97 th percentile). Increases in specific IgG and IgG4 showed a protective effect on the	At the exposure level in this study and after a few months, a small proportion

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			Spraying 0.283 (0.916) Mixing 0.4365 (0.6890)	incidence of work-related lower and upper respiratory symptoms, respectively.	shows increases in HDI- specific IgG and IgE
			Brush cleaning 0.079 (0.079) Concentrations from area sampling were lower than	13 subjects (4.4 %) developed work- related respiratory symptoms, 19 (6.4 %) developed work-related symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis.	
			from personal sampling	No association between change in IgE levels and incidence of symptoms.	
(Cassidy et al., 2010)	Matched retrospective cohort study Expands on Hathaway et al. 1999 (includes an additional plant) Observation period: Plant 1 1988-2007 Plant 2 1987-2006	HDI Two plants manufacturin g or producing monomer and/or polyisocyana tes	Industrial hygiene personal samples If record indicated that respiratory protection was used, sampling record was not considered Mean (range): Plant 1, 237 samples 0.79 ppb (Non detectable – 31 ppb) Plant 2, 29 samples 0.3 ppb (Non detectable – 2 ppb)	Asthma (annual medical surveillance history forms; suspect cases were inspected further by a company physician): No new asthma cases were reported. Changes in lung function over time (annual spirometry), examined by a random coefficient regression model: Decline in lung function (FEV ₁ , FVC) over time in the exposed group was significantly greater than in the control group.	No quantitative exposure estimations on the individual level Small number of exposure samples to reflect whole study period Smoking was assessed as binary variable. Controls may have been heavier smokers (significant difference in lung function decline between smoking controls and smoking
	Southern US n = 57 potentially		Most of the study group reported some instances of dermal exposure		exposed) Potential co-exposures reported:
	exposed in plant 1 and 43 in plant 2 (mainly exposed to HDI monomer)				Exposed group: Other aliphatic diisocyanates, HDI polyisocyanates
	controls: plant workers without documented				Control group from plant 1: dinitrotoluene, hydrazine, methylene

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	history of exposure to diisocyanates				chloride, maleic anhydride, toluene diamine, ethylene oxide
	1:1 matching by age, gender, race, smoking status, date of birth, date of hire				Control group from plant 2: cerium, neodymium oxides, nitric acid, ammonia, kerosene, tributyl phosphate (depending on work area)
					No employee had to be medically removed because of HDI exposure
					Individuals with asthma were excluded from work with potential exposure (only in plant 1) and there may have been self- deselection.
(Lofstedt et al., 2011)	4-year follow up after improvement in work environment	Isocyanic acid, methyl isocyanate,	Exposure measurements and lung function measurements on the same	Lung function (spirometry before and after a day shift):	Loss of almost 40 % of the participants of the original study
	2001-2005 Sweden	formaldehyd e	day	Pre-shift FEV_1 slightly lower in exposed group than in referent group.	Higher prevalence of nasal
	Original study see Löfstedt et al. 2009		Individual exposure measurements	No significant change in lung function over the shift.	symptoms among workers exposed in 2001 but not exposed in 2005 than
	n = 25 (92 % male) foundry workers		Exposure levels were reduced by 50 % at follow-up	Respiratory and ocular symptoms (same questionnaire as in 2001):	workers still exposed in 2005 \rightarrow Healthy worker effect in the group that was still exposed in 2005
	n = 55 (85 % male) referents		Geometric mean 2001 and 2005: ICA: 22 and 13 µg/m ³	Lower airway symptoms were less frequent in both groups than in 2001, still a high prevalence of nasal and ocular symptoms in both groups.	Co-exposures present

Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
		MIC: 6.0 and 3.1 µg/m ³		Unclear if respiratory symptoms are due to irritant or immunological
		Formaldehyde: 66 and 35 µg/m ³		response. Authors think immunological response is
		No respiratory protection for workers		unlikely.
Inception cohort study Evaluation of n = 49 newly hired workers pre-employment, after 6 months and after 12 months Grouping of workers in exposure risk groups, based on potential risk of TDI exposure: low n = 8, medium n = 28, high n = 13.	TDI-based state-of-the- art PU foam production in Eastern Europe	Continuous fixed-point air sampling in foaming hall and cutting areas. 90 % of the samples < LOD (0.1 ppb). Maximum recorded 10.0 ppb (foaming hall), 5.4 ppb (cutting area) No air sampling period exceeded an 8h-TWA of 5 ppb Peak exposures recorded were below 20 ppb. Personal sampling performed on seven workers. All showed TDI levels < LOD. Dermal exposure occurred (uncured or just cured foam, contaminated surfaces).	Over the first year of employment, 7 workers (14 %) had findings that could indicate TDI-related health effects (Either new asthma symptoms, TDI- specific IgG, new airflow obstruction or a decline in FEV ₁ \geq 15 %). Twelve workers (25 %) were lost to follow-up. Among these workers, current asthma symptoms were reported (at baseline or 6 months) in a significant higher percentage compared to those who completed the 12-month follow-up. No significant associations were found between the exposure risk group and health outcomes. Self-reported glove use differed significantly between the exposure risk groups (25 % of the workers in the low, 32 % in the medium, 100 % in high exposure risk group). Although this production facility is reported to be state-of-the-art with exposure below the OEL, the study	Actual exposure of the individual is not known: TDI air levels may have been higher near the source. Dermal exposure occurred. Glove use differed between exposure risk groups. No unexposed control group No exposure quantification per exposed group Workers with spirometry data at baseline n = 23, with spirometry data at all three time points n = 16. Baseline spirometry conducted at another facility.
	subjectsInception cohortstudyEvaluation of n = 49newly hired workerspre-employment,after 6 months andafter 12 monthsGrouping of workersin exposure riskgroups, based onpotential risk of TDIexposure: low n = 8,medium n = 28,	subjectsand useInception cohort studyTDI-based state-of-the- art PU foam production in Eastern EuropeEvaluation of n = 49 newly hired workers pre-employment, after 6 months and after 12 monthsTDI-based state-of-the- art PU foam production in Eastern EuropeGrouping of workers in exposure risk groups, based on potential risk of TDI exposure: low n = 8, medium n = 28,TDI-based state-of-the- art PU foam production in Eastern Europe	subjectsand useExposuresubjectsand useExposureMIC: 6.0 and 3.1 µg/m³Formaldehyde: 66 and 35 µg/m³Inception cohort studyTDI-based state-of-the- art PU foam production in Eastern EuropeContinuous fixed-point air sampling in foaming hall and cutting areas.Inception cohort studyTDI-based state-of-the- art PU foam production in Eastern EuropeContinuous fixed-point air sampling in foaming hall and cutting areas.90 % of the samples < LOD (0.1 ppb).90 % of the samples < LOD (0.1 ppb).Grouping of workers in exposure risk groups, based on potential risk of TDI exposure: low n = 8, medium n = 28, high n = 13.No air sampling period exceeded an 8h-TWA of 5 ppbPeak exposures recorded were below 20 ppb.Personal sampling performed on seven workers. All showed TDI levels < LOD.	subjects and use Exposure Results subjects and use Exposure Results MIC: 6.0 and 3.1 μg/m ³ Formaldehyde: 66 and 35 μg/m ³ No respiratory protection for workers Inception cohort study TDI-based state-of-the-art PU foam production in Evre employment, after 6 months and after 12 months Continuous fixed-point air sampling in foaming hall and refer 6 months and after 12 months Over the first year of employment, for workers in exposure risk groups, based on potential risk of TDI exposure risk groups, based on potential risk of TDI exposure ilow n = 8, medium n = 28, high n = 13. Maximum recorded 10.0 ppb Twelve workers (25 %) were lost to follow-up. Among these workers, current astima symptoms were reported (at baseline or 6 months) in a significant poble. Peak exposures recorded were below 20 ppb. Personal sampling period exceeded an 8h-TWA of 5 pb No air sampling period exceeded an 8h-TWA of 5 pb Peak exposures recorded were below 20 ppb. Personal sampling period exceeded an stree of the exposure risk group and health outcomes. Personal sampling period evrets < LOD.

Table 40 Case-control studies

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Tarlo et al., 1997)	Comparison of the level of isocyanate Concentration in 20 "case companies" (with compensated isocyanate asthma claims) with 203 "non-case companies"	TDI, MDI, HDI (or more than one)	Exposure data taken from a database of the Ontario Ministry of Labour (MOL) based on company's regulatory monitoring obligation if a worker is likely to inhale or to come into contact with isocyanates: air samples collected during the same 4-year period during which the OA claims arose. Exposure determined on the basis of the highest level identified. Two categories: Always < 0.005 ppm Ever ≥ 0.005 ppm	 56 accepted claims for OA (OA cases with identified isocyanate exposure during the 4-year period from mid-1984 to mid-1988 in the Ontario Workers' Compensation Board) Combined across isocyanate types: Companies with claims in the high exposure category: 10/20 (50 %) Companies without claims in the high exposure category: 50/203 (25 %) OR = 3.1 (95 % CI: 1.1-8.5, p = 0.03). MDI: OR = 1.7 (95 % CI: 0.4-7.6) TDI: OR = 2.7 (95 % CI: 0.7-10.6) Estimated incidence of OA in a 4 year study period: High exposure companies with claims: 2.7 % Low exposure companies with claims: 2.2 % Overall incidence in the total 223 companies surveyed: 0.9 % (56 out of 6308 workers). 	Many high exposure companies without claims. Other factors may be important in isocyanate sensitisation, or there may have been quantitative or qualitative differences in exposure that were not assessed. Selection bias possible (some of the air sampling conducted in investigation of submitted claims for OA) Companies with claims had more employees than those without claims (higher probability of at least one employee becoming sensitised in a greater group of employees; larger companies may be more likely to implement a surveillance program).
(Meredith et al., 2000)	Company A: 27 OA cases were matched to 51 referents (sex, work area)	Company A:	Company A:	Asthma Data from the two sites were analysed separately. Company A:	Uncertainties in exposure assessment Regression analyses adjusted for smoking and different atopic diseases

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	Company B: 7 cases; all non-cases (n = 12) served as controls, because matching was not possible (moving between work areas, few workers)	24 cases attributed to TDI (manufacture of moulded and block flexible PU foam, flame bonding and surface coating of fabrics); 3 cases attributed to MDI (batch moulding of rigid PU components at 200°C) Company B: Cases attributed to MDI from a chemical plant in which MDI and poly-merric MDI mixtures were pro-cessed and poured into drums. Some processes involved heating the mixtures.	Personal exposure measurements by job category (1979-1986) made for a separate study + data collected after 1986 by occupational hygiene consultants were used to estimate 8h-TWA and peak exposure for each subject based on job title and date. Company B: Personal monitoring results from 1988 available (Marcali method to the middle of 1990, HPLC thereafter) For each subject, the proportion of measurements ≥ LOD of the Marcali method (2 ppb) and > 5 ppb were calculated. Measurements < 2 ppb were treated as being 0. 90 % of the 269 TWA samples were < 2 ppb	Conditional logistic regression: 8h-TWA as a binary variable (cut off: median concentration in control group) or continuous variable (0.1 ppb increments) Peak exposures: 1 - 50 ppb In 31 subjects peak exposure > 20 pbb No difference between cases and controls. Mean 8-h TWA: cases: 1.5 ppb; controls: 1.2 ppb OR for exposure > median of the control group: 3.2 (95 % CI 0.96 - 10.6; p = 0.06) Adjusted OR (for 0.1 ppb increase in 8h- TWA): 1.07 (95 % CI 0.99 - 1.16) Adjusted OR higher for smoking (2.4) as well as history of either hay fever, eczema or asthma (3.4), but also n.s. In 11 (41%) of the cases, symptoms began in the first year of employment at the plant. The OR for 0.1 ppb increase in current 8-h TWA was higher for cases with symptoms occurring within a year from start of employment (1.5, 95% CI 0.82 - 2.7, p = 0.18) than among those with a later onset of symptoms (1.04, 95% CI 0.95 - 1.13, p = 0.41) Company B: Association between reported chemical accidents and asthma.169/185 TWA samples for controls and 74/84 for cases were < 2ppb.	Amines are used as catalysts in the manufacture of PU foams and they have been reported to cause respiratory symptoms

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanate and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				Mean and median exposures were < LOD for cases and controls. Median of the highest concentration recorded for each subject was 3 ppb for both groups. Proportion of measurements ≥ 2 ppb was 0.09 (controls) and 0.18 (cases). Proportion of measurements > 5 ppb was 0.004 (controls) and 0.09 (cases). 3/7 cases and 1/11 controls had at least one 8h-TWA exposure measurement > 5 ppb (OR 7.5; p= 0.09)	

Table 41 Cross-sectional studies with quantitative exposure-response estimates

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Pronk et al., 2007)	n = 581 (n = 241 spray painters n = 50 unexposed office workers n = 290 others) Workplace survey in several companies between 2003 and 2006	HDI monomer and trimers in spray painting (car body repair shops, furniture paint shops, industrial paint shops specialising in ships and harbour equipment or airplanes)	Personal exposure estimates were obtained combining personal task- based inhalation measurements for 23 different isocyanate compounds and time activity information Exposure of n = 241 spray painters, [µg NCO * m-3 * h * mo- 1], median (min-max): Total isocyanate 3,682 (4- 66464) HDI 27 (0.2-1427)	Prevalence ratios (PR) and 95 % CI for an interquartile range increase in exposure were calculated based on log-transformed exposure data. Respiratory symptoms (grouped into "asthma-like symptoms" and "COPD-like symptoms"), work-related symptoms (questionnaire): Respiratory symptoms were more prevalent in exposed workers than in office workers. Significant positive log-linear exposure- response associations were found for: Asthma-like symptoms PR (95 % CI) = 1.2 (1.0-1.5), COPD-like symptoms 1.3 (1.0-1.7), Work-related chest tightness	For subsample with BHR see Prevalence Ratios were adjusted for age, sex, current smoking and atopy (or some of those) Possible effect modification by atopy was explored

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				2.0 (1.0-3.9) and	
			Biuret 269 (0.2-13568)	Work-related conjunctivitis 1.5 (1.0-2.1), but not for	
			Isocyanurate 2250 (6-87623)	Work-related rhinitis 1.3 (0.9-1.7)	
				Different HDI-specific (for monomer and oligomers) IgE and IgG antibodies:	
				Prevalence of specific IgE antibodies was low (up to 4.2 % in spray painters). Prevalence of specific IgG was higher (2-50.4 %). One of five specific IgE antibodies and four of five specific IgG antibodies were positively associated with exposure.	
				Bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) assessed by methacholine challenge in a subset of 229 workers	
				Individuals with asthma-like symptoms were more likely to have BHR: PR (95 % CI) = 2.2 (1.5-3.2)	
				For COPD-like symptoms, the association with BHR was less strong and n. s.	
(Pronk et al., 2009)	Subset of study by Pronk et al. 2007	HDI monomer and trimers in spray	Personal exposure estimates were obtained combining personal task- based inhalation	Prevalence ratios (PR) and 95 % CI for an interquartile range increase in exposure were calculated based on log-transformed exposure data.	Associations were adjusted for age, sex, current smoking and atopy
	n = 229 from	painting	measurements for 23		Associations for lung function
	38 companies		different isocyanate compounds and time	Lung function: Highly exposed workers had lower FEV1,	parameters: additionally adjusted for height and race
	(n = 91 spray		activity information	FEV1/FVC and flow-volume parameters.	adjusted for height and face
	painters		-	Percentage of workers who met the Global	Strenghts:
	n = 20		Exposure of $n = 91$ spray	Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung	Quantitative inhalation exposure
	unexposed office workers		painters, [µg NCO/m³ x h/mo],	Disease (GOLD) criteria for COPD (FEV1/FVC <70 %):	assessment based on > 500 measurements and detailed task
	n = 118 others		median (min-max):	office workers 5	activity information;
				other workers 4	,
			Total isocyanate	spray painters 15	

Reference Study d	Exposure	Results	Remarks
Reference Study d and sub	Exposure 4530 (15.4-66464) HDI 36.2 (1.3-472)	Results COPD clearly associated with exposure. PR (95 % CI): 2.7 (1.1-6.8) Bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) (defined as a provocative cumulative dose of methacholine of ≤ 2.5 mg (~10 µM) required to cause a 20 % fall FEV1): Percentage of workers with hyperresponsiveness (BHR20): office workers 0/ other workers 14.7/ spray painters 20. Hyperresponsiveness was found in 33 subjects and it was clearly associated with exposure expressed as total NCO. PR (95 % CI): 2.0 (1.1-3.8) (adjusted for smoking, age, sex and atopy) BHR combined with asthma-like symptoms was present in 19 subjects and the adjusted PR was 2.7 (1.0-6.8). Symptoms (see): Asthma-like symptoms, COPD-like symptoms, work-related chest tightness were more prevalent among workers with higher exposure (n. s.). Workers with asthma-like symptoms had sign. more BHR, sign. lower baseline FEV1, FEV1/FVC and maximal mid-expiratory flow. No sign. association between exposure and exhaled nitric oxide (eNO) IgE and IgE (see): The prevalence of specific IgE antibodies was low (< ~4.4 %). The prevalence of specific IgG was higher (up to	Remarks Several objective respiratory effect measures investigated in one population Limitations: Use of personal protective equipment, previous exposures and dermal exposure was not taken into account; Not possible to differentiate between cumulative and peak exposure; Complex exposure environment; Healthy worker effect possible

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				sensitisation was more common in highly exposed workers.	
				Workers with specific IgE/IgG were more often hyperresponsive (overall; statistically significant only for one IgG).	
				"The current study provides evidence that exposure to isocyanate oligomers is related to asthma with bronchial hyperresponsiveness as a hallmark, but also shows independent chronic obstructive respiratory effects resulting from isocyanate exposure."	

Table 42 Further studies - cross-sectional studies

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Bruckner et al., 1968)	Cross-sectional n = 26 with multiple exposures to diisocyanates n = 18 had never worked with or around isocyanates	TDI, polymeric isocyanates including MDI, xylylene diisocyanat e Research, developme nt and production of isocyanates and other component	Exposed workers had accumulated exposure from 3 months to 11 years Air samples taken by industrial hygienist, modified Marcali method. Between 3 and 79 samples per year for single years between 1957 and 1967. Median concentration per year: 0-77 ppb	 Symptoms (interview, physical examination) Immunologic reactivity to isocyanate antigen conjugates (several tests) Four groups: Exposed minimal response (minimal symptoms of mucous membrane irritation) n = 5 Exposed overdose response (moderate to marked signs and symptoms of chemical irritation of the respiratory tract) n = 16 Exposed sensitised (signs and symptoms of sensitisation) n = 5: With increasing number of exposure, the time to reaction became shorter and finally bronchospastic symptoms developed within seconds after exposure to 	Groups built based on exposure and type of response

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
		s of urethane plastics		 minute amounts of isocyanates. All had irritative symptoms before developing symptoms indicative for sensitisation. All had exposures > 20 ppb. Non-exposed n = 18 n = 6 cases of irritant dermatitis Workers exposed to low levels (not given) of isocyanates developed eye, mouth and throat symptoms. According to the authors concentrations between 20-100 ppb "may predispose some workers to sensitivity to isocyanate compounds" 	
(Wegman et al., 1974)	Cross-sectional 1972 Before and after shift on a Monday after three days away from work n = 111 (78 males)	TDI Manufactur e of PU for matresses and auto seat cushions	Area sampling on the day of lung function testing and on three subsequent days (Marcali method) All job areas were sampled and assigned exposure values and each worker was categorised according to his or her exposure to a measured mean concentration of TDI. Originally exposure categories were combined to four groups (ppm): A 0.002 - 0.003 B 0.004 C 0.005 D 0.006 - 0.013	Lung function (spirometry: FEV ₁ , FVC; in the morning before work and in the afternoon after eight hours work; only FEV ₁ reported): All exposure groups showed significant loss in lung function (FEV ₁) during the working day. Dose response relationship suggested (mean change in FEV ₁ 0.078 L in group A and 0.180 L in group D). Confirmed by regression analyses. And confirmed by calculation of ratios of those showing no change or increase over those showing decrease per exposure group (ratio increases with exposure group). Greater fall in FEV ₁ in workers with symptoms compared to workers without symptoms, n. s. No trend of FEV ₁ across subgroups of age, years of smoking or years of employment.	Followed up: Age, height, years smoked, cigarettes smoked, duration of exposure was considered for stepwise regression analysis
(Pham et al., 1978)	Cross-sectional	MDI	Workers used MDI and some TDI for 1 to 10 years.	Lung function (single breath carbon monoxide transfer factor test, spirometry):	Followed up by Exposure on factory level

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	Two factories producing mainly plastic foam automobile	PU foam moulding	Plant A: MDI consistently < 20 ppb	Lower values of VC and diffusion constant in the exposed groups and associated with length of exposure.	Men and women analysed separately
	accessories n = 318 workers		Plant B: MDI peaks up to 87 ppb at foam injection workplaces	Possibility of fibrosis in workers with long exposure suggested.	Exposure to stripping agents, solvents, polyvinyl vapour in exposed groups
	(214 men) who had been employed for at		Group I: Not exposed to any occupational hazard n	Results for men not confirmed by results for women.	Exposure to TDI
	least a year		= 83 (62 men) Group II: Indirect exposure risk due to foam plastics manufacture n = 117 (61 men)	Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Higher frequency of bronchitis in exposed groups compared to unexposed group (men and women).	No statistically significant differences between the groups concerning age, height, weight, smoking.
			Group III: Definite, direct exposure risk due to foam plastics manufacture n = 118 (91 men)		More men smoke than women and they are heavier smokers.
(Holness et al., 1984)	Cross-sectional, shift, intraday, intraweek	TDI	Mean length of exposure to isocyanates of 6.5 years	Lung function (spirometer, beginning and end of work shifts on Monday, Wednesday, Friday, sitting position using noseclips):	Respirable dust, mean for all exposed: 0.30 mg/m ³
	intraweek Use in foaming 1982 operations Toronto area	Monitoring of TDI and respirable dust during same shift as lung function analysis (area samples; personal samples for 86	Values of all lung function parameters (Monday morning) lower in the exposed than in the control group (not significant, adjusted for smoking).	Significantly lower frequency of family history of asthma, hay fever, bronchitis in exposed group (may be due to screening prior to employment	
	Four companies n = 95 isocyanate-		workers) Mean exposure concentration for five	Significantly larger declines in lung function over the shift in exposed workers.	or workers with positive family history may have developed symptoms and left).
	exposed workers (70 % males, n = 26 foam-line, 11 injection, 28		groups of workers: Area: 0.1 – 1.8 ppb Personal: 0.6 – 2.1 ppb Mean for all exposed:	Decline in FVC and FEV ₁ over the shift increased over the three exposure categories, but was statistically significant only between controls and exposed groups.	

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	finishing, 21 miscellaneous)		Area: 0.6 ppb Personal: 1.2 ppb	No significant relationships observed in regression analysis with continuous exposure.	
	n = 37 control workers (62 % males; n = 16 plant, 21 Ministry of Labour)		Some analyses with three exposure categories: control, ≤1ppb, >1ppb	Respiratory and further symptoms : Slightly higher frequency of respiratory symptoms in exposed group, n. s	
	(n = 29 were excluded)		One personal sample > 20 ppb Less than 3 % of the personal or area values > 5 ppb		
(Venables et al., 1985)	Cross-sectional (Outbreak of asthma was investigated) 1979	TDI Steel coating plant; continuous	TDI: 14 ppb at oven entry during normal processing, up to 26 ppb during 5 minute stoppage	21 workers (9.5 %) with OA symptoms (questionnaire) in 7 years (onset of symptoms after 1971) Symptomatic groups had significantly lower FEV ₁ than asymptomatic group.	No individual exposure levels Affected individuals may have left the plant
	n = 221	continuous process, coat was cured by passage through an oven	TWA 1979: 20 ppb	TDI was found to be the cause of the asthma outbreak. It was liberated by a coating modified by a supplier in 1971.	

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Alexander sson et al., 1985)	Cross-sectional n = 67 (57 males) n = 56 controls (11 with lung function tests)	TDI, MDI Seven PU foam manufactur ing factories (two foam PU blocks, five cast PU in moulds)	Personal sampling on same day as lung function tests Day mean exposure to TDI in foaming of PU blocks: for the whole group: 0.008 mg/m ³ (0.001 ppm) Highest exposure in the group working by foaming machine: 0.023 mg/m ³ (0.008-0.060) Day mean exposure to MDI \leq 0.001 mg/m ³ during casting in moulds. Highest measurement: TDI 0.275 mg/m ³ MDI 0.139 mg/m ³	 Lung function (spirometry: FEV₁, FVC, FEV %, MMF; nitrogen washout: Phase III, Closing volume; in the morning prior to work; exposed workers were studied again in the afternoon after work): Lung function of non-exposed group similar to reference values. Lung function of exposed group significantly impaired as compared to reference values, but significant in subgroup of smokers only. No significant changes during work shift. Symptoms (standardised questionnaire): Frequency of symptoms significantly higher in exposed non-smokers than in non-exposed non-smokers (nose, throat, dyspnea). No significant difference in symptoms frequency between exposed and non – exposed smokers. 	To calculate day exposure figures < detection limit (0.001 mg/m ³) were set to zero. Selection bias (underestimation of acute adverse effects of TDI as sensible individuals may tend to terminate their employment)
(Alexander sson et al., 1986)	Two cross- sectional studies 1977: n = 18 1980: n = 8 n = 23 males n = 5 males Employees who had been transferred because of severe symptoms	NDI Rubber plant Manufactur e of plastic polymer (componen t of tires), polymer is hardened in moulds	Measurements in 1980: 8 subjects carried filter pumps, air samples were collected in breathing zone over 15 min during the course of various tasks on the day of the study Mean (range): Moulding 0.007 mg/m ³ (0.001 – 0.036)	 Lung function (spirometry: FEV1, FVC, FEV %, MMF; nitrogen washout: Phase III, closing volume): Lung function impairment (of non-acute nature) observed as an increase in CV % (closing volume as percentage of the expired vital capacity) Symptoms (standardised questionnaire): Frequency of eye irritation significantly higher in exposed (12/17) than in controls (1/17). 	Exposure measurements from only one day, small number of samples High number of exposed subjects with eye irritation Selection bias (study was conducted because of complaints of airway irritation and the necessity to transfer employees to nonexposed work)

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	n = 20 controls from the same factory		Preparation of moulds 0.002 mg/m ³ (0.001 – 0.011) Weighing and mixing of substances 0.008 (0.012 – 0.020)	Frequency of productive cough, chronic bronchitis and exertion dyspnea higher in the exposed group than in control group, but n.s.	Silicone oil sprayed in molds (not likely that this caused the irritation)
(Alexander sson et al., 1987)	Cross-sectional and over workweek 15 garages in Stockholm area n = 41 car painters n = 48 car platers (exposed to solvents, grinding dust, welding fumes like car painters, not to isocyanates n = 70 car mechanics Car painters and car platers were matched against a control by sex (only males), age, height,	HDI Monomer and biuret trimer Car painters working with polyuretha ne paints	Exposure questionnaire Exposure monitoring 278 samples of HDI and HDI-BT Exposure has been individually related to time, use of respiratory protections, working operation, ventilation. Individual exposure determined by industrial hygienist HDI-BT for car painting: mean (range): 115 µg/m ³ (10-385) High short-term peaks up to 13500 µg/m ³ HDI-BT HDI: 1.0 µg/m ³	 Exposed workers were examined on Monday morning before work and on Friday afternoon Change in lung function within the week (spirometry: FEV₁, FVC, maximum mean expiratory flow MMF; Nitrogen washout: Phase III, Closing volume): Car painters did not differ from controls in any of the spirometric variables (before the workweek). Closing volume percent was significantly higher in exposed than in control workers. No significant difference in lung function in car painters before and after a workweek. Symptoms (interview by a nurse, standardised questionnaire): Eye, nose throat irritation more frequent in car painters and car platers than in controls, significant for platers only. 	Uncertainties in exposure assessment Selection bias (some car painters had been relocated or their employment terminated)
(Wang et al., 1988)	smoking Cross-sectional	TDI	Average length of employment 9.2 months	Lung function (spirometry in the morning, during a usual working day, after 10 days	No unexposed control group

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	1985 Taiwan n = 34, mostly females (38 of 45 workers had complete data, 4 were excluded because of smoking history) Follow-up (5 months after recommendations for improvement of worker protection by the study team)	Velcro-like tape manufact- ure	Air samples, mean: weaving (n = 3) 12 ppb Packaging/storage (n = 3) 21 ppb Tape processing (n = 15) 47 ppb Highest concentration measured: 236 ppb 5 months after improvement: 7 of 9 air samples < 7 ppb at the processing area	 holiday,5 months after improvement of the workplace): Lung function of n = 21 workers after 10 days holiday: Greatest changes in pre- and post-exposure FEV1 and FVC for workers in the processing areas Asthma or asthmatic bronchitis (defined by development of cough for more than 1 month and shortness of breath or wheezing for 1 month after working in the factory): 14 workers met the case definition of asthma or asthmatic bronchitis. Overall prevalence of asthma = 14/34 = 41.2 % Significant trend in asthma frequency across the three exposure areas (0 % asthma cases in weaving, 37.5 % in packaging/storage, 84.6 % in tape processing). Follow up (5 months): No asthmatic symptoms. Lung function significantly improved (FEV1 and FVC) for 10 workers still employed. 	Difficult to distinguish between irritant and allergic reactions Reversibility may be due to irritant effect and due to short exposure duration. High turnover rate
(Olsen et al., 1989)	Cross-sectional Dow, Texas, USA n = 57 manufacturing workers (85 % participated)	TDI Manufactur eoperations	Average TDI plant experience 4.1 years (< 1 – 9 years) Routine industrial hygiene measurements: TWA < 5 ppb, short-term exposure level 20 ppb for routine plant processes Use of self-contained breathing apparatus for	Lung function (spirometer, after at least two days away from work, standing or sitting, without the use of nose clips): TDI exposure (classified as current, highest, cumulative, cumulative highest-to-date) not associated with decline in FEV ₁ Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Prevalence of upper respiratory symptoms 68 % in nonexposed group, 34 % in exposed group	No individual exposure levels Age, height, smoking considered in regression analysis Exposure misclassification possible, because rankings were applied to jobs regardless of calendar time

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Parker et	n = 89 unexposed workers (89 % participated) Cross-sectional	TDI, MDI	breaking into lines for employees. Potential exposure was ranked by an industrial hygienist: None, low, moderate, high Mean number of years in	Prevalence of lower symptoms 33 % in nonexposed group, 17 % in exposed group Lung function (spirometry at the start and	No individual exposure levels
àl., 1991)	Minnesota, USA n = 39 randomly selected autobody repair shops (out of 139 contacted shops 59 were eligible) n = 162 workers (160 males)	Autobody repair	autobody industry 11.4 ± 9.7 Isocyanate samples from 32 shops 8-h TWA total isocyanates: not detected to 60 ppb, mean 5 ppb Four percent of workers who spray painted at least one hour/week never used a respirator, 33 % sometimes, 63 % always.	 the end of the work day): Abnormal lung function (< 5th percentile) in 8 % (FEV1, FVC) and 23 % (FEV1/FVC) of never smokers. No significant change in lung function between morning and afternoon shifts. Working-years in the autobody industry, nonfunctioning spray booth, smoking were associated with a decrement in FEV1/ FVC (regression analysis). No relationship between shop isocyanate concentration and lung function. Respiratory symptoms (self-administered questionnaire): Significant increase of wheezing across categories of respirator use (always, sometimes, never) while spray painting and for coughing and wheezing while sandblasting for non-smokers. No trends for respiratory symptoms and 	Exposure to dust, solvents
(Huang et al., 1991)	Cross-sectional	TDI	Area sampling at five spots	respirator use while sanding. Lung function parameters (spirometry): Impairment of some lung function	Cited in Diller

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	1988-1989	Furniture	Day mean exposure calculated from four	parameters significant in workers of factories	Exposure measured only on
	Asia	manufactur e factories;	measurements taken one,	A and B compared to the control group.	one day and not on an individual level
		painters	three, five, seven hours	Symptoms of the respiratory tract, skin,	
	n = 48 workers (25 males) in three factories:	exposed to TDI aerosol while	after the start of the work shift	eyes (structured questionnaire administrated by occupational physicians):	High exposure levels make it difficult to differentiate between irritant and allergic reactions.
	Factory A	brushing	Marcali method	Prevalence of symptoms was significantly	-
	n = 15 Factory B n = 29	PU varnish to the surfaces of	Mean (range):	higher in factory A as well as in factory B compared to the control group.	No information on potential differences in PSA between the factories.
	Factory C	wood	Factory A:	No significant difference was detected	
	n = 13	furniture	0.79 mg/m ³ (0.49-1.18)	between workers in factory C compared to the control group.	Medical history, smoking habits, duration of exposure,
	n = 18 controls (9 males)		Factory B: 0.31 mg/m ³	Symptoms of the eyes, nose, throat in all workers in factory A, 60 % in factory B. No	weight, height, age was assessed.
			(0.22-0.89)	symptoms of the eyes in factory C and in the control group, 11 to 15 % reported	All subjects had no history of respiratory or skin diseases.
			Factory C: 0.11 mg/m ³	symptoms of the nose or throat.	
			(0.07-0.24)	Asthma-like symptoms (dyspnea and wheezing during work):	
			Aerosol	4 workers (26.7 %) in factory A 3 workers in factory B (15 %)	
			Dermal exposure likely (at least in factories A and B)	no subject in factory C and of the control group.	
				Patch test (0.1 % TDI): Positive patch test in 5 and 2 painters in factories A and B	
				(including three and two workers with contact dermatitis, respectively) and no subject in factory C or the control group.	
				Mast cell degranulation test:	
				Significantly higher mast cell degranulation percentage (MCDP) in painters from factories	

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				A and B than for the controls (specific to TDI- OA conjugates). No significantly higher MCDP in painters in factory C compared to the control group.	
(Omae et al., 1992)	Cross-sectional (4-year follow up see) 1981 Japan n = 90 workers (male) n = 44 reference workers in the same factories	TDI PU foam manufactur e	Working in PU foam factories for 0.5-25 years, mean 13.3 129 personal samples: arithmetic mean: 3.2 ppb geometric mean: ppb 90th percentile: 8.4 ppb maximum: 26 ppb Short-term exposure peaks > 20 ppb in 16/129 samples	 Lung function, change over working day (3 methods: forced expiratory flow-volume test, respiratory impedance, airway resistance and specific airway conductance): No significant differences in lung function between PU foam workers and referents, except for lower PEF and %PEF in the exposed group. No change of lung function during work shift in both groups. Symptoms (questionnaire with interview): Significantly higher prevalence of respiratory symptoms, nasal symptoms, eye symptoms in the exposed workers. 	Exposure to tertiary amines, organic tin compounds, polyols, silicon oil, dichloromethane, freons, flame-resisting agents, pigments etc. Possibly a survivor population Current smoking did not affect the results
(Lee and Phoon, 1992)	Cross-sectional n = 26 exposed workers ("mixers") n = 26 controls (workshop maintenance and field staff from government departments), matched by age, race, smoking state	TDI PU foam manufactur e	24 personal breathing zone samples: Mean: 0.16 ppm Range: 0.01 – 0.50 ppm	 Lung function: Mean diurnal variation in PEFR (in one week period): Significantly higher diurnal variation in PEFR in mixers than in controls. FEV₁/FVC significantly lower in exposed (83.0 %) than in controls (89.3 %) Mixers with ten or more years of exposure showed evidence of chronic airways obstruction. Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): About 50 % of mixers had eye irritation or 	Cited in High exposure level Survivor population

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Bernstein et al.,	Cross-sectional	MDI	Average duration of employment: 18.2 months	cough during work (significant higher prevalence than in controls). No overt cases of OA Methods:	No unexposed control group
1993a)	1991 n = 243 (n = 175 males) 3-year old plant	Urethane mould plant that had been designed to minimise exposure to MDI	(range: 0-32 months) Continuous monitoring of MDI area levels: < 5 ppb Occasional spills reported by workers, but not detected by monitors	 Workers with at least one lower respiratory symptom (questionnaire) and workers with specific antibodies were instructed to perform serial PEFR studies for two weeks (n = 43). PEFR studies were also done in 23 control subjects (no symptoms, no antibodies). Workers with PEFR variability were evaluated by a physician (including methacholine test) for final diagnosis of OA/non-OA. Workers who were assigned final diagnosis of OA/non-OA/work-related urticaria were reevaluated in 1992 (n = 6). Results: PEFR variability detected in 3/9 workers with questionnaire diagnosis of OA, in 2/4 workers with non-OA, in 2/23 control workers without symptoms. Three cases of physician diagnosed OA (3/234, prevalence ca. 1 %) and two cases of physician diagnosed non-OA. Two workers had specific IgE and IgG to MDI-HSA. One of those had urticaria. 	

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
				Cases are considered to be due to intermittent higher than normal exposures to MDI during non-routine working activities. Cases were removed from exposure. After 1 year clinical status of OA was described as	
(Kim et al., 1997)	Cross-sectional Korea n = 81 workers (41 males)	TDI Spray painters Workshops manufactur -ing furniture or musical instrument s or repairing motor vehicles	Area samples (n = 41) Range 0.5 - 10 ppb Mean 3.5 ± 2.3 ppb Four samples (9.8 %) > 5 ppb	 "inactive". Examinations: Respiratory symptoms (questionnaires and interviews), Chest auscultation, IgE, IgG, FVC, FEV1 Diagnosis of TDI OA was made if there was a decrease of PEFR over 20 % of baseline and if the changing pattern was closely related to workshift. PEFR was recorded in the following cases: Subject complained of sputum, cough, and dyspnea aggravated by work Wheezing audible by auscultation FVC or FEV10 < 80 % of the normal Korean reference value Positive IgE RAST for TDI PEFR was checked for 15 workers. Eight workers (9.9 %) were diagnosed with TDI- OA. 	Cited in No control group No individual exposure data
(Ulvestad et al., 1999)	Cross-sectional Norway? n = 19 injection workers (previous tunnel	MDI monomer and prepolymer	Job-years; mean (range): injection workers: 21 (1- 42) tunnel workers: 13 (1-46) MDI monomer (personal sampling, 20 samples):	Examinations: Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire), lung function (spirometry), IgE (TDI, MDI, formaldehyde, eight common allergens), Metacholine provocation test, Clinical examination	No exposure measurements available from the years the "injection department" had existed → most common exposure situations for workers during the last ten years were simulated.

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	workers who were grouped into a department set up for sealing work; exposed to PU and acrylic resins; all the workers employed in this department in 1996 were included) n = 104 other tunnel workers, 6 different sites	Sealing work in tunnels	mostly below the LOD (< 1 μ g/m ³); 1.9 and 3.0 μ g/m ³ at 2 occasions where isocyanate resin was spilled during injection work Pre-polymer: n = 4 shift samples: 5.5 - 300 μ g/m ³ (median 7.1); n = 18 short-term exposure values: 18-4300 (median 103) μ g/m ³ Stationary sampling (n = 6): monomer < 4 μ g/m ³ , prepolymer < 4 - 31 μ g/m ³	Higher prevalence of respiratory symptoms, airflow obstruction, BHR, asthma in injection workers compared to other tunnel workers. Two TDI-HSA-specific IgE positive injection workers (with work-related respiratory symptoms)	No individual exposure data Workers had not been informed about health hazards of the chemicals they worked with and did not report any use of airway protection. Exposure to acrylic resins Previous exposure to TDI Underestimation of exposure possible Years in the same job and smoking status were considered in the regression model
(Daftarian et al., 2000)	Cross-sectional United States 114 (39%) of the 290 workers of a plant producing flexible polyurethane foam cushions for automobile seats surveyed by NIOSH in 1999	Monomeric form of a mixture of 2,4- and 2,6- isomers of TDI	Individuals: Total TDI 0.08 – 8.07 µg/m ³ (mean 1.61). By job title: Total TDI means from 0.25 (forklift operators) to 2.75 µg/m ³ (demold workers)	 Examinations: Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire), serial PEFR measurement (59 workers), serum TDI specific IgE and IgG, skin patch (TDI, MDI, HDI, IPDI, PPD), TDA in end of shift urine. 22% (25/114) met a questionnaire-based case definition of asthma and 18% (20/114) the case definition of work-related asthma. 42% (25/59) of showed airway hyperrresponsiveness. Of the 100 individuals providing blood for antibody testing, two had an elevated TDI- specific IgG antibody level, and none had an elevated TDI-specific IgE antibody level. Of the 26 individuals participating in skin patch 	Only 39% of workers participated in the survey

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
(Jang et al., 2000)	Cross-sectional	TDI (n = 44) MDI (n	60 personal breathing zone samples	testing, none developed skin reactions to any of the test allergens either 48 or 96 hours after patch test application.Statistically significant correlations were found between total TDI exposure and both uncorrected (r=0.30, p=0.007) and creatinine-corrected (r=0.35, p=0.002) urine TDA levels.Airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) (definition: PC20 FEV1 < 16 mg/mL of	No individual exposure measurements
	Korea n = 64 randomly selected workers n = 27 controls (23 males)	= 20) Petrochem- ical plant Manufactur e	Sampling during manufacture, sampling time 30-60 min Mean (maximum): TDI 17.4 µg/m ³ (42.9 µg/m ³) MDI µg/m ³ (6.4 µg/m ³)	 methacholine; continuous index of bronchial responsiveness: BRindex): Prevalence of AHR higher in MDI-exposed workers (4/20; 20 %) than in TDI-exposed workers (2/42; 5 %) and in controls (read from Figure: 2/27; 7 %). Significantly higher BRindex in MDI-exposed workers than in controls, but not significantly higher than in TDI-exposed workers. Differences statistically significant? 	Medication, work history, atopy, smoking was assessed by questionnaire
(Schweiger t et al., 2002)	Cross-sectional Ontario, Canada n = 41 (isocyanate exposure, medium solvent category) n = 153 (no iso- cyanate exposure, three	HDI (polymeric, < 0.1 % monomeric) Automobile paint manufactur e	Four summary exposure categories Personal sampling: HDI monomer: 0.1 – 0.6 ppb Polymeric isocyanate: < 0.01 ppb	 Lung function (performed at least every 2 years, data taken from medical charts): Significant negative correlation between total years of solvent exposure and FEV1 and FVC. No correlation of smoking status and FEV1 and FVC. No differences in lung function between the two isocyanate exposure categories (yes/no) in the workers with medium solvent exposure. 	Survivor effect possible (less physically conditioned workers move to an area where no respirators have to be worn) Smoking status classification may have resulted in a bias towards the null

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	categories of solvent exposure: low solvent n = 6 medium solvent n = 92 high solvent n = 55			Respiratory symptoms were not assessed. However, no respiratory illnesses have been reported.	
(Kakooei et al., 2006)	Cross-sectional Iran n = 39 employees in an automobile manufacturing company n = 117 unexposed employees at other work stations	MDI Window fixation, window glue processes	Personal samples Average concentration of MDI: Window fixation 34.53 μg/m ³ Window glue workplaces 27.37 μg/m ³	Lung function: %FEV1/FVC, %PEF significantly smaller in the exposed group than in the control group. Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Skin, respiratory, eye, mental symptoms significantly more prevalent in the exposed group. Respiratory, eye, mental symptoms significantly more prevalent in workers exposed to higher concentrations compared to lower concentrations than the mean value of 31.22 µg/m ³ . Respiratory symptoms increased with the duration of service. However, symptoms not significantly correlated to years or intensity of exposure.	Occupational health and hygiene problems due to missing application of adequate engineering controls and proper safe work practice. This can cause great exposure to air pollutants. Study was conducted in the summer. Higher exposure levels in the winter likely, because windows are kept closed then. No significant differences between the two groups in age, height, duration of service. However, duration of service was shorter in the exposed group. No information on smoking.
(Littorin et al., 2007)	Cross-sectional Southern Sweden	TDI or TDI- based PU MDI used in 4/5	Median personal 8h exposure to TDI (ppb): continuous-foaming: 0.63- 4.0 flame lamination: 0.76-1.5	Respiratory and eye symptoms (structured interview, physical examination): Comparison between exposed and unexposed group:	Symptoms may have been caused by combined exposures. Coexposures: dusts, other diisocyanates, organic solvents, thermal degradation products

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	n = 136 exposed to TDI in eleven plants n = 118 unexposed workers from different activities	moulding plants (low or non- detectable) . IPDI used in 1 of these plants. 5 moulding plants, 2 continous- foaming plants, 2 flame- lamination plants, 2 plants with low heating or non- heating processes	molding: 0.17-0.64 low heating or nonheating processes: 0.02-0.05 Individual airborne exposure: measured during one shift (n = 79 workers), estimated based on department, task, air measurements (n = 57). Biomonitoring: 2,4-TDA and 2,6-TDA Urine: LOD - 623 and 353 noml/L Plasma: LOD-254 and 509 nmol/L Correlations between air measurements and biomarkers in urine as well as biomarkers in plasma. Biomarkers in urine and plasma also correlated. Skin exposure certainly	Total symptoms: significant increase in symptoms of the lower airways, nose bleeding (as the only nose symptom investigated), eye symptoms for the exposed group. Work-related symptoms: strong associations with exposure, in particular for attacks of eye symptoms (OR = 10), "wheezing etc" (OR = 21) and dry cough (OR = 11). Continuous measure of exposure within the exposed cohort: Only eye symptoms significantly associated with exposure measures (air, plasma, urine; OR from 1.6 to 4.2) Effect of 2,4-TDI on the eyes was more pronounced compared to 2,6-TDI No clear patterns for other exposure- response relationships.	of ready-made PU in flame lamination plants (mix of mono-and diisocyanates, aminoisocyanates, amines) High number of workers with airway symptoms is seen as remarkable by authors, because of the selected workforce. However, no dose- response relationship with TDI. Individual airborne exposure was measured for a part of the workers only. Logistic regression model included age, gender, smoking. Atopy was considered. Preemployment health examinations should lead to a selected workforce in the Swedish PU industry (rather healthy concerning airway disease).
(Lofstedt et al., 2009)	Cross-sectional, shift	Isocyanic acid, methyl	present Individual exposure measured on the same day as lung function	Lung function before and after a day shift: Both groups had reduced lung function before	Follow up: Löfstedt et al. 2011 Coexposures
	2001 4 Swedish foundries n = 64 foundry	isocyanate, formalde- hyde Hot box binder tochniquo	ICA and MIC: measured in 4-5 randomly selected intermittent short-term samples (5 min) from the shift	shift compared to reference values. Lung function decrease (VC and FEV1) over shift was significantly greater among exposed workers than in referents.	Findings not related to current exposure → other irritants in the foundry might be the cause Swedish legislation is aimed at preventing asthmatics from
	foundries	ry	Hot box	ry binder shift technique intermittent short-term	ry binder technique intermittent short-term samples (5 min) from the shift was significantly greater among exposed workers than in referents.

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	<pre>n = 134 controls n = 10 persons in the exposed group (14 %) declined to participate n = 59 of the invited referents (31 %) declined to participate</pre>	cores for hollow castings)	Formaldehyde: full shift sample Geometric mean: ICA: 24 µg/m ³ MIC: 4.9 µg/m ³ Formaldehyde: 120 µg/m ³	No significant effects of IC, MIC, formaldehyde, smoking during day on lung function change. Respiratory symptoms (questionnaire): Higher prevalence of 6 out of 8 symptoms in exposed group than in referents, but for most symptoms difference was not significant. Ocular irritation and coughing without infection significantly more prevalent among exposed workers, especially coremakers.	Non-participating rate higher in referents → overrepresentation of referents with symptoms → underestimation of risk Tendency to overreport symptoms possible Selective loss of exposed symptomatic individuals possible
(Pourabedi an et al., 2010)	Cross-sectional, shift Iran n = 43 car painters (healthy on enrolment) exclusion criteria: respiratory disorders including asthma, cigarette smoking, use of respiratory drugs	HDI Car body paint shop	Mean daily exposure: 15 minutes Mean daily HDI TWA air concentration in the breathing zone: 0.42 ± 0.1 mg/m ³ Mean weekly HDI TWA: 0.13±0.059 mg/m ³	Lung function: Variation in PEF (peak flow meter, before and after the shift, over one week): Mean peak flow at the end of the shift on painting day was significantly lower than at the start of the shift 72 % of the workers had >10 % variation in PEF on painting days Effects of exposure remained till the day after painting Significant difference between the two days Significant correlation between HDI and percentage of decrease in peak flow as well as mean peak flow on painting day	High exposure levels No unexposed control group Questions concerning statistical analysis/ reporting of results Organic solutions
(Hathaway et al., 2014)	Cross-sectional Southern USA	Plant 1: Manufactur e of HDI, IPDI, H12MDI	Duration of work not determined (12 years on average in previous study)	No cases of OA identified (more detailed respiratory medical history questionnaire than in)	Follow up of No control group

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
	n = 73 employed in 2011 in 2 plants (71 males, 1 female, 1 unknown) Participation rate > 80 %	and their polyisocyan ates Plant 2: Manufactur e of HDI polyisocyan ates from HDI	Industrial hygiene monitoring (2007-2012): Airborne HDI monomer, respirator worn (n = 33 samples): Nondetectable n = 14 \geq 5 ppb n = 3 Airborne HDI monomer, respirator not worn (n = 100 samples): Nondetectable n = 60 all samples < 2 ppb Airborne IPDI and H12MDI: all samples < 2 ppb Authors think it likely that exposure was \geq 5 ppb for at least some of the reported instances when odors of HDI were reported.	Accidental unprotected inhalation and skin exposures (questionnaire included questions concerning detection of odor, being in the vicinity of leak or spill, unprotected skin exposure): 15 persons answered one or more of the questions on respiratory symptoms with "yes".	No individual exposure assessment Some employees indicated that they noted a characteristic irritation (mostly eye irritation) Detection of odor and skin exposure self-reported and odor subjective Smaller percentage of workers with chronic cough, wheezing and smaller percentage of smokers than in control groups in other studies Healthy worker effect possible (Self-selection)
ctd.			Detection of odor: HDI: n = 68 (93 %) IPDI: n = 32 (76 % of those working with IPDI) Work in vicinity of leak/spill: HDI: n = 62 IPDI: n = 31		

Reference	Study design and subjects	Isocyanat e and use	Exposure	Results	Remarks
			Unprotected skin contact reported [more than 15 times]: HDI monomer: n = 39 (53 %) [n = 6]		
			HDI polyisocyanates: n = 27 (37 %) [n = 5]		
			Estimations: Odor: once per 4 years per employee leak/spill: once per 5-6 years unprotected skin exposure: once every 4-5		
			years		