

For final decision: TPE-D-0000002119-77-05/F

Helsinki, 24 July 2012

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For Alcohols, C18-22, distn. residues CAS No. 1160164-88-4 (EC No. 641-136-6), registration number: [REDACTED]

Addressee: [REDACTED]

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined testing proposals set out in the registration dossier for Alcohols, C18-22, distn. Residues, CAS No. 1160164-88-4 (EC No. 641-136-6) submitted by [REDACTED] (Registrant), latest submission number [REDACTED], for above 1000 tonnes per year.

In accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(e) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant submitted the following testing proposals as part of the registration dossier to fulfil the information requirements set out in Annexes IX and X:

- Annex IX, 8.6.2, Subchronic toxicity: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rat by oral route with additional histopathological assessement of the reproductive organs (OECD 408);
- Annex X, 8.7.3, Reproductive toxicity: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rat by oral route with additional histopathological assessement of the reproductive organs (OECD 408);

The present decision relates solely to the examination of the testing proposal for a Sub-chronic toxicity study by inhalation (90-day). The testing proposal for the reproductive toxicity, which ECHA required in the draft decision to be done as a two-generation reproductive toxicity study, is addressed in a separate decision although all testing proposals were initially addressed together in the same draft decision.

The examination of the testing proposals was initiated on 3 December 2010.

ECHA opened a third party consultation for the testing proposals including testing on vertebrate animals that was held from 15 July until 29 August 2011. ECHA did not receive comments from a third party for the Sub-chronic toxicity study by inhalation (90-day).

On 2 January 2012 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 31 January 2012 ECHA received comments from the Registrant. ECHA considered the Registrant's comments received and did not amend the draft decision.

On 2 March 2012 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification. Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States submitted proposals for amendment to the draft decision. ECHA has reviewed the proposals for amendment received and decided not to amend the draft decision.

On 4 April ECHA notified the Registrant of proposals for amendment to the draft decision and invited him pursuant to Article 51(5) of the REACH Regulation to provide comments on those proposals for amendment within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

On 16 April 2012 ECHA referred the draft decision to the Member State Committee.

On 3 May 2012 the Registrant provided comments on the proposals for amendment. The Member State Committee took the comments of the Registrant into account.

The draft decision was split into two draft decision documents: one relating to the testing proposal for a two-generation reproductive toxicity study and one relating to the testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day).

A unanimous agreement of the Member State Committee on the draft decision relating to the testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) was reached on 21 May 2012 in a written procedure launched on 10 May 2012.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the requirements of the REACH Regulation. The decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate a compliance check on the present dossier at a later stage.

II. Testing required

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall carry out the following test with the registered substance using the indicated test method for the provision of Annex X, 8.6.2:

- Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2., test method: EU B.26/OECD 408)

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit the information in the form of an updated IUCLID dossier to ECHA by **24 January 2014**.

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant the time indicated to provide the requested information was 30 months from the date of adoption of the decision. This period of time took into account the fact that the draft decision also requested a two-generation reproductive toxicity study. As the testing proposal for this study is not addressed in the present draft decision, ECHA considers that a reasonable time period for providing the required information in the form of an updated IUCLID5 dossier is 18 months from the date of the adoption of the decision. The decision was therefore modified accordingly.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other registrants.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal of the Registrant for the registered substance.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity (90 day)

Examination of the testing proposal

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to generate the data for this endpoint.

The Registrant proposed testing by the oral route. In the light of the physico-chemical properties of the substance and the information provided on the uses and human exposure, ECHA considers that testing by the oral route is appropriate.

The Registrant did not specify the species to be tested. According to the test method EU B.26/OECD 408 the rat is the preferred rodent species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate.

IV. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA always reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP). National authorities monitoring GLP maintain lists of test facilities indicating the relevant areas of expertise of each facility.

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at

http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka Malm
Director of Regulatory Affairs