

Decision number: CCH-D-2114303249-55-01/F

Helsinki, 30 June 2015

**DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK OF A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 41(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006****For Potassium permanganate, CAS No 7722-64-7 (EC No. 231-760-3) registration number:** [REDACTED]**Addressee:** [REDACTED]

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

**I. Procedure**

Pursuant to Article 41(1) of the REACH Regulation ECHA has performed a compliance check of the registration for **Potassium permanganate, CAS No 7722-64-7 (EC No. 231-760-3)**, submitted by [REDACTED] (Registrant). The scope of this compliance check is limited to the standard information requirements of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. and Annex X Section 8.7.3. of the REACH Regulation. ECHA stresses that it has not checked the information provided by the Registrant and other joint registrants for compliance with requirements regarding the identification of the substance (Section 2 of Annex VI).

This decision is based on the registration as submitted with submission number [REDACTED], for the tonnage band of 1000 tonnes and above per year. This decision does not take into account any updates submitted after 05 March 2015, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This compliance check decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks on the present registration at a later stage.

The compliance check was initiated on 14 May 2014.

On 11 July 2014 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision. The draft decision was based on submission number [REDACTED].

Within 30 days, ECHA did not receive comments from the Registrant on the draft decision.

The compliance check requirement to submit information of a two-generation reproductive toxicity study (EU B.35, OECD TG 416) or an extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (EU B.56, OECD TG 443) has been removed from this draft decision due to the legislative amendments to the REACH Regulation regarding Annex X, Section 8.7.3. On the basis of this change of scope, Section II was amended. The Statement of Reasons (Section III) was changed accordingly.

On 5 March 2015 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals for amendment of the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

As no proposal for amendment was submitted, ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

## II. Information required

Pursuant to Articles 41(1), 41(3), 10(a)(vii), 12(1)(e), 13 and Annex IX of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall submit the following information using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD 408) in rats;

Pursuant to Article 41(4) of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall submit the information in the form of an updated registration to ECHA by **9 January 2017**.

### Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the request(s) in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirement(s) with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

## III. Statement of reasons

Pursuant to Article 41(3) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to submit any information needed to bring the registration into compliance with the relevant information requirement.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day):

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. Adequate information on this endpoint needs to be present in the technical dossier for the substance subject to the present decision to meet this information requirement.

The Registrant has proposed to adapt the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. The Registrant has justified the proposal for adaptation with a reference to corrosivity and poor systemic absorption of the registered substance.

According to introductory paragraph 4 of Annex IX of the REACH Regulation "*in vivo* testing with corrosive substances at concentration/dose levels causing corrosivity shall be avoided".

However, ECHA would like to point out that non-corrosive concentration(s) can be tested. Introductory paragraph 4 is not a legal basis for adapting standard information requirements.

Recognising that the registered substance is classified as corrosive, the Registrant is advised to examine how the concentration of the test substance can be adjusted to avoid corrosion allowing at the same time detection of potential systemic toxicity effects of the substance.

The general principle of adjusting the concentration of the test substance to avoid corrosion and irritation is set out in the relevant test guidelines (OECD 413 and OECD 408).

Since introductory paragraph 4 of Annex IX is not a legal basis for adapting the standard information requirement, as it simply states that testing at concentration/dose levels causing corrosivity shall be avoided, the adaptation suggested by the Registrant cannot be accepted.

Concerning the reference to poor systemic absorption, ECHA would like to point out that neither column 2 of Annex IX, 8.6.2. nor the general rules for adaptation of Annex XI include such a possibility to adapt this standard information requirement.

In the case that it was the intention of the Registrant to apply the adaptation rule set out in the fourth indent of Column 2 of Annex IX, 8.6.2, ECHA would like to clarify that according to that adaptation rule, no sub-chronic toxicity study needs to be conducted if "the substance is unreactive, insoluble and not inhalable and there is no evidence of absorption and no evidence of toxicity in a 28-day 'limit test', particularly if such a pattern is coupled with limited human exposure." The Registrant has not claimed that the cumulative conditions of that adaptation possibility are fulfilled.

Therefore, since the Registrant has not provided sufficient information to show that the conditions of an adaptation in Column 2 of Annex IX, 8.6.2. or Annex XI are met, the adaptation of the information requirement suggested by the Registrant cannot be accepted. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.

In the light of the properties of the substance and the information provided on the uses and potential human exposure, ECHA considers that testing by the oral route is most appropriate. According to the test method EU B.26/OECD 408 the rat is the preferred species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate and testing should be performed with the rat.

Therefore, in the absence of a valid adaptation and pursuant to Article 41(1) and (3) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to submit information on sub-chronic toxicity (90-day) in rats, oral route (EU B.26/OECD 408) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.) on the registered substance.

## 2. Deadline for submitting the required information

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant the time indicated to provide the requested information was 30 months from the date of adoption of the decision. This period of time took into account the fact that the draft decision also contained a two-generation reproductive toxicity study (EU B.35, OECD TG 416) or an extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (EU B.56, OECD TG 443) (Annex IX/X, Section 8.7.3.). As these

studies are not addressed in the present decision, ECHA Secretariat considers that a reasonable time period for providing the required information in the form of an updated IUCLID5 dossier is 18 months from the date of the adoption of the decision. The decision was therefore modified accordingly.

#### IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

ECHA stresses that the information submitted by the Registrant and other joint registrants for identifying the substance has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant is reminded of his responsibility and that of joint Registrants to ensure that the joint registration covers one substance only and that the substance is correctly identified in accordance with Annex VI, Section 2 of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the information required by the present decision, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all joint registrants who manufacture or import the same substance to agree on the appropriate composition of the test material and to document the necessary information on their substance composition.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured by each registrant. If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these grades.

Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

#### V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such an appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on ECHA's internet page at <http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Claudio Carlon  
Head of Unit, Evaluation