Justification for the selection of a candidate CoRAP substance

Substance Name (Public Name): 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-thiodi-m-cresol

Chemical Group: Organic

EC Number: 202-525-2

CAS Number: 96-69-5

Submitted by: Submitted by: Environment Agency Austria on

behalf of the Austrian Competent Authority (Austrian Federal Ministry of Agriculture,

Forestry, Environment and Water

Management)

Published: 20/03/2013

NOTE

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Contents

1		Name and other identifiers of the substance	3
2	2.1 2.2	SSIFICATION AND LABELLING Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP Self classification	4 4 4
3	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	ETIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE Legal basis for the proposal Grounds for concern Information on aggregated tonnage and uses Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk	5 7 12 12
	3.6	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	13
4	RFF	FRENCES	13

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Substance identity

Public Name:	6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-thiodi-m-cresol
EC number:	202-525-2
EC name:	6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-thiodi-m-cresol
CAS number (in the EC inventory):	96-69-5
CAS number:	96-69-5
CAS name:	Phenol, 4,4'-thiobis[2-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-5-methyl-
IUPAC name:	4,4'-sulfanediylbis(2-tert-butyl-5-methylphenol)
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation	
Molecular formula:	C22H30O2S
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	358.5374
Synonyms:	Lowinox TBM-6 Santowhite Crystals Santonox R Sumilizer WX-RC Sumilizer WX-R

Type of substance ⊠ Mono-constituent □ Multi-constituent □ UVCB

Structural formula:

2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Not listed.

2.2 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None proposed.

2.3 Self classification

The following self-classifications are given in the registration data:

CLP criteria:

Skin Sens. 1; H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction.

Aquatic Acute 1; H400: very toxic to aquatic life.

Aquatic Chronic 1; H410: very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

DSD criteria:

N; R50/53: Very toxic to aquatic organisms may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment.

Xi; R43: may cause sensitisation by skin contact.

The following additional classifications are included in the Classification and Labelling Inventory:

Skin Irrit. 2; H315: Causes skin irritation.

Eye Irrit. 2; H319: Causes serious eye irritation.

STOT SE 3; H335: May cause respiratory irritation.

Repr. 2; H361: Suspected damaging to fertility or the unborn child.

3 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE

3.1 Legal basis for the proposal

☐ Article 44(1)	(refined prioritisation	criteria for	substance 6	evaluation)
☑ Article 45(5)	(Member State priorit	ty)		

3.2 Grounds for concern

☐ (Suspected) CMR	⊠ Wide dispersive use	☐ Cumulative exposure
	⊠ Consumer use	☐ High RCR
☐ (Suspected) PBT	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations	☐ Aggregated tonnage
	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Other (provide further details below)	

Sensitisation:

In the registration dossier the substance is self-classified for skin sensitization. It is stated that no DNEL is derived as no appropriate quantitative data are available. A qualitative approach is therefore applied mentioning that workers always wear suitable dermal protection equipment and the migration rate from consumer articles is low. This "low" exposure is insufficiently justified within the registration dossier and therefore demonstrates a concern which needs to be clarified. See also the section on exposure below.

Suspected PBT:

The substance was previously being assessed by the UK and was deferred in the Technical Committee for New and Existing Substances PBT working group (PBT no. 113) as a low production volume chemical.

The available screening data shows that the substance is not readily or inherently biodegradable. Therefore it is likely that the substance could fulfil the P and vP criteria, even though no environmental half-life data are available.

The substance has a log Kow of 5.24 and is, thus, meeting the vB screening criterion. The available experimental and some modelled data suggest that the BCF for the substance is <2,000 l/kg. Therefore the substance may not meet the B or vB criteria based on the available data.

However, there are a number of issues that need to be considered further.

- The available BCF study was carried out using relatively high concentrations. These concentrations were close to or above the predicted water solubility of the substance.
- The higher of the two concentrations tested was similar to the short-term LC50 measured in fish. The lower of the two concentrations is close to the 14-day LC50 for fish. Therefore it is not clear if toxicity occurred (or could be expected to have occured) in this study.
- The substance is taken up across the gut. There is some evidence that transfer to lipids may occur in mammals following oral exposure. Therefore the potential for accumulation in mammals could be higher than so far demonstrated for fish.
- The linear equation included in the REACH guidance predicts a BCF value of 5,675 l/kg.

The available experimental and modelled aquatic toxicity data suggest that the long-term NOEC for this substance is above 0.01 mg/l. However, many of the acute studies were conducted at concentrations above the predicted water solubility of the substance, and so the conclusion that the substance would not be expected to meet the T-criterion based on these data can only be tentative.

The classification for human health given in the registration dossiers does not lead to the T-criterion being met. However, the classification and labeling inventory include for this substance the classification Repro 2. (Hazard Statement H361). This classification, if confirmed, would meet the T-criterion.

Suspected CMR: Reproductive toxicity

Waiving of a fertility study is insufficiently justified. It is stated that because no effects on reproductive organs were seen in repeated dose studies in rats and mice (NTP, 1994) a fertility study can be waived at the present tonnage band. However, a study from the open literature (Takahashi & Oishi, 2006; not cited in the registration dossier) demonstrated effects on male reproductive organs (dose related decrease in daily sperm production, reduced weight of male reproductive organs, severe exfoliation of seminiferous tubules and sloughing of seminiferous tubules) in rats and mice. No NOAEL could be derived (LOAEL = 41 mg/kg bw/day).

There are 2 developmental toxicity studies cited in the dossier, both having major deficiencies. One is a screening study testing only one dose, the LD10, in mice. Next to maternal death reduced pup survival was observed. A non-GLP study was conducted in rabbits. In the registration dossier this study is interpreted to give no indications for developmental effects. In contrast the USEPA (2010) derived a LOAEL of 2mg/kg bw/day for developmental effects (decreased mean pup weight and litter size), with a NOAEL of 0,2mg/kg bw/day. The NOAEL for maternal toxicity was 2mg/kg bw/day (with anorexia and abortions at the LOAEL of 20mg/kg bw/day). Minor skeletal and visceral malformations were also seen in the pups.

Suspected endocrine disrupter:

Takahashi & Oishi (2006; not cited in the registration dossier) demonstrated effects on male reproductive organs and sperm production in rats and mice. They also tested the substance in an uterotrophic assay in ovariectomised mice and found positive results. They concluded that the effects on male reproductive organs might be caused by an estrogenic mechanism.

Other:

- Immunotoxic effects:

Publications from the open literature (Holsapple et al., 1988; cited in the registration dossier; Munson et al., 1988; not cited in the registration dossier) report immunotoxic effects in rats at the lowest dose tested (i.e.10 mg/kg bw/day). These effects are supported by findings on thymus, spleen and lymphnodes from repeated dose studies included in the registration dossier.

- Human and environmental exposure:

A quantitative exposure assessment for man was not prepared, as it is stated that dermal and inhalation exposure can be completely excluded by applying the effective risk management measures that are proposed. Regarding the description of uses, exposure scenarios and risk management measures, the statement of complete exclusion referring to the given description is doubted and considered to be a concern.

The calculated RCRs for freshwater and marine water sediment are close to one. Therefore, it needs to be checked, if the PEC and PNEC derivations are prepared in a proper way.

3.3 Information on aggregated tonnage and uses

☐ 1 - 10 tpa		☐ 10 - 100 tpa		⊠ 100 - 1000 tpa			
☐ 1000 - 10,000 tpa			☐ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa				
☐ 100,000 - 1000,000 tpa			☐ > 1000,000 tpa				
☐ Confidential	☐ Confidential						
☑ Industrial use	⊠ Profe	ession	al use	□ Consumer use		☐ Closed System	
Manufacture / Form	ulation						
Identified Use (IU) name	Substanting Supplier to that use		Use descrip	otors			
Manufacture of 4,4'-sulfanediylbis (2-tert-butyl-5- methylphenol), including distribution, storing, handling and quality control	as sucl (substa itself)		PROC 1: Us PROC 3: Us formulation PROC 8a: T (charging/d at non-dedi PROC 8b: T (charging/d at dedicated PROC 9: Tra containers (e in closed batch p) ransfer of substan ischarging) from/t cated facilities ransfer of substan ischarging) from/t d facilities	ce or process ce or process ce or process e or process ne, incl	reparation els/large containers reparation els/large containers eparation into small uding weighing)	
Formulation of non-dusting blends (NDB)	as sucl (substa itself)		PROC 5: Mix formulation and/or signing PROC 8b: To charging/d at dedicated PROC 9: Tracontainers (PROC 14: Per tabletting, or PROC 21: Le in materials PROC 24: He substances Market section and procession market section market secti	d facilities ansfer of substance dedicated filling life roduction of prepace compression, extru	ce or properties of the contractions of the contraction of the contrac	reparation els/large containers eparation into small uding weighing) or articles by elletisation of substances bound work-up of r articles oduct:	

		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 2: Formulation of preparations
F 1.1: C		· '
Formulation of aqueous	as such (substance	Process category (PROC):
dispersions	itself)	PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)
		Market sector by type of chemical product:
		PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds
		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 2: Formulation of preparations
ses at Industrial s	sites	
Use as anti-oxidant	as such	Process category (PROC):
in medium/high voltage cross-	(substance itself)	PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)
linked PE cables	in a mixture	PROC 5: Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)
		PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities
		PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into smal containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)
		PROC 13: Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring
		PROC 14: Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation
		PROC 21: Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles
		PROC 24: High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles
		Market sector by type of chemical product: PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds
		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 3: Formulation in materials ERC 5: Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto matrix
		Sector of end use (SU): SU 12: Manufacture of plastics products, including compounding and conversion
		Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes
Industrial use as	as such	Process category (PROC):
anti-oxidant/ bleaching agent in adhesives and	(substance itself)	PROC 5: Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)
emulsifiers for rubber emulsion		PROC 10: Roller application or brushing
		PROC 14: Production of preparations or articles by

		tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation
		Market sector by type of chemical product:
		PC 1: Adhesives, sealants
		PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds
		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 3: Formulation in materials
		ERC 5: Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix
		Sector of end use (SU):
		SU 10: Formulation [mixing] of preparations and/or repackaging (excluding alloys)
		SU 11: Manufacture of rubber products
		Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes
Use in the production of	as such (substance	Process category (PROC):
impact modified plastic and	itself)	PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)
thermoplastics articles	in a mixture	PROC 4: Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises
		PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities
		PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)
		PROC 14: Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation
		PROC 21: Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles
		PROC 24: High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles
		Market sector by type of chemical product:
		PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds
		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 3: Formulation in materials
		ERC 5: Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix
		Sector of end use (SU):
		SU 10: Formulation [mixing] of preparations and/or repackaging (excluding alloys)
		SU 11: Manufacture of rubber products
		SU 12: Manufacture of plastics products, including compounding and conversion
		Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes

Manufacture of	Process category (PROC):
resins	PROC 2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure
	PROC 4: Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises
	PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities
	PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing) PROC 12: Use of blowing agents in manufacture of foam
	PROC 13: Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring PROC 14: Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation
	Market sector by type of chemical product:
	PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds
	Environmental release category (ERC):
	ERC 3: Formulation in materials
	ERC5 – Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix
	ERC 6c: Industrial use of monomers for manufacture of thermoplastics
	Sector of end use (SU):
	SU 10: Formulation [mixing] of preparations and/or repackaging (excluding alloys)
	SU 12: Manufacture of plastics products, including compounding and conversion
	Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes

Uses by professional workers

Identified Use (IU) name	Substance supplied to that use	Use descriptors
Professional use of		Process category (PROC):
adhesives and emulsifiers		PROC 5: Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)
		PROC 10: Roller application or brushing
		PROC 14: Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation
		Market sector by type of chemical product:

		PC 1: Adhesives, sealants
		PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds
		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 3: Formulation in materials
		ERC 5: Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix
		Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes
Professional use of	as such	Process category (PROC):
4,4'-sulfanediylbis	(substance	PROC 15: Use as laboratory reagent
(2-tert-butyl-5- methylphenol) in	itself)	Market sector by type of chemical product: PC 21: Laboratory chemicals
R&D		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 8a: Wide dispersive indoor use of processing aids in open systems
		ERC 8b: Wide dispersive indoor use of reactive substances in open systems
		Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes
Professional use of	In a mixture	Process category (PROC):
4,4'-sulfanediylbis		PROC 15: Use as laboratory reagent
(2-tert-butyl-5-		Market sector by type of chemical product:
methylphenol) in R&D		PC 21: Laboratory chemicals
ROD		Environmental release category (ERC):
		ERC 8a: Wide dispersive indoor use of processing aids in open systems
		ERC 8b: Wide dispersive indoor use of reactive substances in open systems
		Sector of end use (SU): SU 24: Scientific research and development
		Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: No

Consumer Uses

Identified Use (IU) name	Use descriptors
Consumer use of adhesives and emulsifiers	Chemical product category (PC): PC 1: Adhesives, sealants PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds Environmental release category (ERC): ERC 5: Industrial use resulting in inclusion into or onto a matrix ERC 3: Formulation in materials Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: yes
Service life consumers	Environmental release category (ERC):

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

ERC 10a: Wide dispersive outdoor use of long-life articles and materials with low release
ERC11a – Wide dispersive indoor use of long-life articles and materials with low release
Subsequent service life relevant for that use?: no

3.4 Other completed/ongoing regulatory processes that may affect suitability for substance evaluation

☐ Compliance check final decision	☐ Dangerous substances Directive 67/548/EEC	
☐ Testing proposal	☐ Existing Substances Regulation 793/93/EEC	
☐ Annex VI (CLP)	☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC	
☐ Annex XV (SVHC)	☐ Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EEC	
☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)	☐ Other (provide further details below)	
☐ Annex XVII (Restriction)		
Not covered in any of these processes.		

3.5 Information to be requested to clarify the suspected risk

$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Information on toxicological properties	$\hfill \square$ Information on physico-chemical properties
\square Information on fate and behaviour	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Information on exposure
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Information on ecotoxicological properties	☐ Information on uses
☐ Other (provide further details below)	

Toxicological properties:

Fertility study/EOGRTS – information on possible reproductive as well as endocrine disrupting effects.

The inclusion of a DIT cohort in an EOGRTS protocol might shed light on the effects seen in animals exposed during gestation and on the observed immunotoxic effects. Inclusion of parameters relevant for the detection of possible estrogenic effects within the EOGRTS protocol (e.g. female cyclicity, ...) could be considered in order to clarify the identified concerns.

Special investigations like e.g. the effects of the substance on testicular enzymes or mechanistic studies of the potential estrogenic mechanism (e.g. Reporter assays) could be considered.

Ecotoxicological properties:

Long-term toxicity on fish

<u>Information on exposure:</u>

More detailed description of the needed risk management measures for safe use regarding human and environment

Validation/justification of the provided assumptions and conclusions used in the assessment.

3.6 Potential follow-up and link to risk management

□ Restriction	☐ Harmonised C&L		☐ Other (provide further details)	
Depending on the outcome of the evaluation it might be justified to prepare a CLH dossier. Potential classification as STOT RE for immunotoxic effects and / or reproductive toxicity.				
If the evaluation demonstrates RCRs > 1 the evaluation might result in the preparation of a restriction or SVHC dossier.				

4 REFERENCES:

Holsapple MP, White KL Jr, McCay JA, Bradley SG, Munson AE. (1988): An immunotoxicological evaluation of 4,4'-thiobis-(6-t-butyl-m-cresol) in female B6C3F1 mice. 2. Humoral and cell-mediated immunity, macrophage function and host resistance. Fundam Appl Toxicol. 1988 May;10(4):701-16.

Munson AE, White KL Jr, Barnes DW, Musgrove DL, Lysy HH, Holsapple MP (1988): An immunotoxicological evaluation of 4,4'-thiobis-(6-t-butyl-m-cresol) in female B6C3F1 mice. 2. Body and organ weights, hematology, serum chemistries, bone marrow cellularity, and hepatic microsomal parameters. Fundam Appl Toxicol. 1988 May;10(4):691-700.

NTP (1994): NTP technical report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 4,4'-thiobis(6-t-butyl-m-cresol)(CAS 96-69-5) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (feed studies). NTP TR 435.

Takahashi O, Oishi S. (2006): Male reproductive toxicity of four bisphenol antioxidants in mice and rats and their estrogenic effect. Arch Toxicol. 2006 Apr;80(4):225-41.

US EPA (2010): Hazard Characterization Document: Screening-level hazard characterization, Bridged Alkyl Phenol Category (http://www.epa.gov/chemrtk/hpvis/hazchar/Category Bridged%20Alkyl%20Phen ol June%202010.pdf)