

Decision number: TPE-D-2114306069-54-01/F

Helsinki, 22 July 2015

# DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S) SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For N,N-diethylhydroxylamine, CAS No 3710-84-7 (EC No 223-055-4), registration number:

Addressee:

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposals submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(d) thereof for N,N-diethylhydroxylamine, CAS No 3710-84-7 (EC No 223-055-4), submitted by **EXAMPLE 1** (Registrant).

- Viscosity (OECD 114 Viscosity of liquids)
- Long-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (OECD 222 Earthworm Reproduction Test (Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei))

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number **Exercise 100**, for the tonnage band of 100 to 1000 tonnes per year. This decision does not take into account any updates submitted after the deadline for updating (12 March 2015) communicated to the Registrant by ECHA on 3 February 2015.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

ECHA received the registration dossier containing the above-mentioned testing proposals for further examination pursuant to Article 40(1) on 22 March 2013.

On 13 November 2014 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 17 December 2014 ECHA received comments from the Registrant agreeing to ECHA's draft decision requests for the three tests required under Section II. However, the Registrant wished further clarification on tests 2 and 3.

The ECHA Secretariat considered the Registrant's comments. The information is reflected in the Statement of Reasons (Section III) whereas no amendments to the Information Required (Section II) were made.

On 11 June 2015 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit

proposals for amendment of the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

As no proposal for amendment was submitted, ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

# II. Testing required

# A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed tests pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and 13(4) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test methods and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

- 1. Viscosity (Annex IX, Section 7.17.; test method OECD 114);
- Long-term toxicity on terrestrial invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1. in conjunction with Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.4.; test method: Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*), OECD 222);

The Registrant shall carry out the following additional test pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) and 13(4) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

3. Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD 216);

Pursuant to Articles 41(1), 41(3), 10(b) and 14 as well as Annex I of the REACH Regulation, once the results of the above terrestrial studies are available to the Registrant, he shall revise the chemical safety assessment as necessary according to Annex I of the REACH Regulation, including an updated derivation of the terrestrial PNEC.

# Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the requests in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirements with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

# B. Deadline for submitting the required information

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22(2) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **29 April 2016** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision, including, where relevant, an update of the Chemical Safety Report.



# III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance.

## A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

1. Viscosity (Annex IX, Section 7.17.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

"Viscosity" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 7.17. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance subject to the present decision but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant has submitted a testing proposal for a Viscosity study (OECD 114 Viscosity of liquids).

ECHA considers the proposed test appropriate and testing should be performed with the registered substance.

The Registrant is reminded that this decision does not take into account any updates submitted after 12 March 2015. All the new information in the later update(s) of the registration dossier will however be assessed for compliance with the REACH requirements in the follow-up evaluation pursuant to Article 42 of the REACH Regulation.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to carry out the proposed test using the registered substance: Viscosity of liquids (test method: OECD 114).

2 – 3 Effects on terrestrial organisms

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and (c) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test and to carry out additional tests in cases of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI.

The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annex IX, section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, section 9.4.2.), short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, section 9.4.1.), and short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, section 9.4.3.). Column 2 of section 9.4 of Annex IX specifies that long-term toxicity testing shall be considered by the Registrant instead of short-term, in particular for substances that have a high potential to adsorb to soil or that are very persistent.

The information on the endpoint 'effects on terrestrial organisms' is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements.

2. Terrestrial Invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1. and Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.4.)



The Registrant proposed a long-term toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates (OECD 222), with the following justification: "In accordance with column 2 of REACH Annex IX, in the absence of toxicity data for soil organisms, the equilibrium partitioning method maybe applied to assess the hazard to soil organisms. But according to REACH Guidance R.7C Table R.7.11-2, Diethylhydroxylamine (DEHA) belongs to soil hazard category 3. Indeed, DEHA is ionisable and not readily biodegradable but there is no indication that the substance is very toxic to aquatic organisms. Therefore we propose to perform a long-term soil toxicity test. Since aquatic invertebrates are the most sensitive organism group from aquatic toxicity data, a toxicity test to earthworms (OECD 222) is proposed." According to section R.7.11.5.3., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 1.1, November 2012), substances that are ionisable or have a log K<sub>ow</sub>/K<sub>oc</sub> >5 are considered highly adsorptive, whereas substances with a half-life >180 days are considered very persistent in soil.

According to the evidence presented within the Registration dossier, the substance is likely to be very persistent and therefore ECHA agrees that long-term testing is indicated (Column 2 of Section 9.4. of Annex IX). The proposed test is suitable to address the information requirement of Annex IX, section 9.4.1.

Furthermore, based upon the available aquatic toxicity information and the physicochemical properties of the substance, and in relation to section R.7.11.6., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 1.1, November 2012), ECHA considers that the substance would fall into soil hazard category 3. In the context of an integrated testing strategy for soil toxicity, the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the Equilibrium Partitioning Method (EPM), together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test. The PNECscreen is calculated through EPM on the basis of aquatic toxicity data only. ECHA notes that the strategy pursued by the Registrant is based on this approach.

The Registrant is reminded that this decision does not take into account any updates submitted after 12 March 2015. All the new information in the later update(s) of the registration dossier will however be assessed for compliance with the REACH requirements in the follow-up evaluation pursuant to Article 42 of the REACH Regulation.

# Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out one of the following proposed studies using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*) (OECD 222).

3. Soil microorganisms (Annex IX, section 9.4.2.)

The hazard to soil microbial communities is a standard information requirement under Annex IX, section 9.4.2. of the REACH Regulation. ECHA notes that the registration dossier does not contain data for this endpoint and that the proposed test that ECHA accepted under subsection (2) above is not sufficient to address this standard information requirement. ECHA concludes that the effects on soil microorganisms need to be ascertained by performing a relevant test (test method: EU C.21 or OECD 216).

The Registrant is reminded that this decision does not take into account any updates submitted after 12 March 2015. All the new information in the later update(s) of the registration dossier will however be assessed for compliance with the REACH requirements in the follow-up evaluation pursuant to Article 42 of the REACH Regulation.

## Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the following additional study using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD 216).

#### Notes for consideration by the Registrant

As the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the EPM, together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test (the long-term toxicity to terrestrial invertebrates test, specified above), which the Registrant is requested to carry out by the present decision, ECHA considers that at this stage it is not possible to determine whether a test will be required to fulfil the standard information requirement in section 9.4.3. of Annex IX of the REACH Regulation.

Therefore, once results of the requested toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates are available, the Registrant should consider whether there is a need to investigate further the effects on terrestrial organisms in order to fulfil the information requirements of section 9.4 of Annex IX, and if necessary, submit testing proposals for additional terrestrial toxicity tests. If the Registrant concludes that no further investigation of effects on terrestrial organisms is required, he should update his technical dossier by clearly stating the reasons for adapting the information requirement of Annex IX, section 9.4.3. of the REACH Regulation.

ECHA emphasises that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method and therefore the potential adaptation possibility outlined for the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 9.4.3. does not apply for the present endpoint.

In his comments on the Draft Decision (DD) submitted on 17 December 2014, the Registrant agreed to perform the two terrestrial studies requested in this decision. However, he wished to have further clarification on "how the PNEC derivation and risk assessments should be carried out".

As stated above, based on the information currently available in the technical dossier on environmental fate and physio-chemical properties, and in relation to section R.7.11.6., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (version 1.1, November 2012), ECHA considers that there is indication for high persistence and adsorption of the substance in soil. In the context of an integrated testing strategy for soil toxicity, the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the Equilibrium Partitioning Method (EPM), together with a confirmatory longterm soil toxicity test. Once the results of the requested long-term soil toxicity test as well as the results from the toxicity test on soil microorganisms have become available the Registrant may be able to justify an adaptation of the other requested long-term soil toxicity test, the plant study. Specifically he could examine whether or not screening assessment based on Predicted No Effect Concentration (PNEC) for soil organisms (derived by using Equilibrium Partitioning Method (EPM)) with additional safety factor of 10 applied indicates the risk to soil compartment when compared to relevant environmental concentrations in soil and whether or not performed toxicity tests with terrestrial organisms indicate a risk to the tested organisms. If the Registrant concludes that no further investigation of effects on terrestrial organisms is required, he should update his technical dossier by clearly arguing why taking into account the new information it is justified to adapt the information requirement for the second long-term soil toxicity test.



ECHA emphasises that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method. Therefore a potential weight of evidence adaptation possibility outlined in the Guidance (based on EPM and other data that is available for the substance) does not apply for the endpoint of terrestrial microorganisms.

ECHA notes further that in Section R.10.6.2 of Chapter R.10 of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (May 2008) the microorganisms study is considered only in the derivation of PNEC when assessment factor (AF) of 1000 is used (i.e. only short term studies are available). Therefore, ECHA considers that for the derivation of PNEC soil, the terrestrial microorganism study can not be considered a longterm study.

#### IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new studies meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed tests, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all joint registrants of the same substance to agree to the tests proposed (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on their substance composition.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured by each registrant. If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these grades.

Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

# V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at <u>http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</u>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Head of Unit, Evaluation