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Content and information

This presentation contains the results from the feedback survey

Participants rated each session as follows:
3 = very useful
2 = useful
1 = somewhat useful
0 = not useful

The agenda for the workshop can be found in the link below:
Content and information

The categories and number of responses from each category were as follows:

Total number of respondents: 43

- 20 Member Country/Authority
- 3 International organisation
- 1 NGO
- 6 Consultant
- 4 Industry organisation
- 2 Industry
- 7 Research/Academic

In the histograms that follows the ratings are represented as averages
Wednesday, 6 July 2016 – Overall

Session I: 2.65
Session II: 2.43
Session III: 2.14
Session IV: 2.12

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful
Wednesday, 6 July 2016 – Specified

“Experiences with socioeconomic impact assessment in chemicals management”

- Member Country/Authority
- International organisation
- Industry organisation
- NGO
- Consultant

Session I: 2.8, 2.5, 2.5, 2.0, 2.0
Session II: 2.5, 2.5, 2.5, 2.2, 2.2
Session III: 2.0, 2.0, 1.8, 1.8, 1.8
Session IV: 2.0, 2.0, 1.5, 1.7, 2.7

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful
Thursday, 7 July 2016 - Overall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session I</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session II</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session III</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session IV</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thursday, 7 July 2016 – Specified

“Chemical risk assessment as input for the economic valuation of impacts”

Session I

- Member Country/Authority
- International organisation
- Industry organisation
- Industry
- NGO
- Consultant
- Research/Academic

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful
Thursday, 7 July 2016 – Specified

“Economic valuation of chemicals’ impacts on health and the environment”

- Member Country/Authority
- International organisation
- Industry organisation
- Industry
- NGO
- Consultant
- Research/Academic

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful

2.6
3.0
3.0
2.7
2.9
1.5
2.0

Session II
Thursday, 7 July 2016 – Specified

“Transferring/Extrapolating monetised impacts from one chemical to other chemicals”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session III</th>
<th>Member Country/Authority</th>
<th>International organisation</th>
<th>Industry organisation</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>NGO</th>
<th>Consultant</th>
<th>Research/Academic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very useful: 3.0
Useful: 2.0
Somewhat useful: 1.0
Not useful: 0.0
Thursday, 7 July 2016 – Specified

“Discussion of the outcomes and implications of the three background papers”

- Member Country/Authority
- International organisation
- Industry organisation
- Industry
- NGO
- Research/Academic
- Consultant

Session IV

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful
Friday, 8 July 2016 - Overall

Session I: 2.49
Session II: 2.41

Rating Scale:
- Very useful
- Useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not useful
Friday, 8 July 2016 – Specified

“Quantifying regulatory efficacy of risk management activities”

Session I

Member Country/Authority  International organisation  Industry organisation  Industry
NGO  Consultant  Research/Academic

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful

2.6  2.3  2.8
3.0
2.8
Friday, 8 July 2016 – Specified

“The costs of regulatory action in chemicals impact assessment”

- Member Country/Authority
- International organisation
- Industry organisation
- Industry
- NGO
- Consultant
- Research/Academic

Session II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member Country/Authority</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International organisation</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry organisation</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/Academic</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very useful
Useful
Somewhat useful
Not useful
Friday, 8 July 2016 – Specified

“Recommendations and scope for future work at the OECD”

There was no data from this session
Thank you