

**16 May 2022**

**SEAC/M/54/2022 FINAL**

**Final**

**Minutes of the 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

**8-11 March  
and  
15-16 March 2022**

## **I. Summary Record of the Proceeding**

### **1) Welcome**

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants to the 54<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC.

The Chair informed the participants that the meeting would not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

### **2) Adoption of the Agenda**

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-54. The agenda was adopted without modifications (in line with SEAC/A/54/2022). The Chair mentioned that the meeting would be partly chaired by the Deputy Chair Kalle Kivelä.

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

### **3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda**

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest to any of the specific agenda items. One member and one advisor declared potential conflicts of interest to the substance-related discussions under the Agenda Item 5.2b-4). These members did not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair declared her absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-54 plenary meeting. She noted that the Deputy Chair was involved in the preparation of the Annex XV dossier for the PFAs in Firefighting Foams restriction proposal, and would therefore not participate in discussions, but that he had no conflict of interest for the other items on the agenda.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

### **4) General SEAC procedures**

#### **a) Report on SEAC-53 action points and written procedures**

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-53 had been completed or would be followed up during the on-going SEAC-54 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-53 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to S-CIRCABC as well as on the ECHA website. The Chair thanked members for providing comments on the draft SEAC-53 minutes.

Representatives of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL. The Commission also provided

an update from the workshops on the review of the REACH authorisation and restriction processes held in March.

## **5) Restrictions**

### **5.1 General restriction issues**

#### **a) Planned updates on the working procedure on the RAC and SEAC opinion development on restrictions**

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the planned updates to the RAC and SEAC opinion development procedure on restrictions. The Chair encouraged members to provide further feedback on the new ways of working.

#### **b) Setting up of a Working Group on qualitative assessments**

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed proposals to set up a Working Group on qualitative assessments. SEAC agreed on the Mandate for the Working Group (in line with the room document SEAC/54/2022/01). The members were invited to volunteer for the Working Group by 25 March 2022.

### **5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers**

#### **a) Conformity check and key issues discussion**

##### **1) Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in firefighting foams**

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteurs. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in January 2022 by ECHA.

The Dossier Submitter's representatives then provided an introductory presentation on the restriction proposal. Members and regular and occasional stakeholder observers and their accompanying expert asked clarifying questions on the Dossier Submitter presentation.

The Chair informed the participants that RAC will conclude on the conformity of the Annex XV dossier at RAC-60 the following week.

The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the outcome of the conformity check and the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.

The Committee agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. In addition, the rapporteurs presented the key issues that they have identified for the evaluation of the restriction proposal. Members and regular and occasional stakeholder observers and their accompanying expert commented on the proposal. The Chair informed the Committee that the six-month consultation of interested parties on the restriction proposal will be launched on 23 March 2022.

## **b) Opinion development**

### **1) Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting – First draft opinion**

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteurs. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in October 2021.

The RAC rapporteurs informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its first draft opinion at the RAC working group on restrictions, as well as at RAC-60, and summarised the conclusions made.

The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the first draft opinion. Members commented on the scope (indicators, addition of 'use of'), alternatives and costs of the restriction. SEAC also discussed the benefits of the proposal.

The Chair concluded that there was general support by SEAC on the justification for the proposed scope. Regarding the costs assessment, SEAC supported the Dossier Submitter's approach noting the type of costs included in the analysis. For the benefits assessment, SEAC discussed the discount rate used and the Secretariat was requested to distribute a note to SEAC on "Flows, stocks and damages of persistent chemicals" and to table it for discussion at SEAC-55.

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the second draft opinion by end of April 2022, taking into account the SEAC-54 discussions, and the comments received from the SEAC written commenting round.

### **2) 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Second draft opinion**

This agenda item was chaired by the Deputy Chair. He welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteur. He informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in August 2021 under Art 69(2) of REACH and follows a simplified opinion development approach. This is the first discussion on the draft opinion at SEAC.

The RAC rapporteur informed the Committee about the open elements for the RAC opinion development. The dossier will skip the RAC discussions in March but will be tabled for discussion at the RAC restriction working group in May and adoption at the June plenary meeting, in line with the simplified opinion development approach.

The SEAC rapporteur then presented the second draft opinion. Members commented on the justification for the proposed scope and the justification for several potential derogations (2<sup>nd</sup> hand articles and uses), as well as on alternatives.

The Chair concluded that there was general support by SEAC on the rapporteur's evaluation, and any open issues will be finalised based on the third party consultation which will finish on 22 March 2022.

The rapporteur was requested to prepare the third draft opinion by end of April 2022, taking into account the SEAC-54 discussions, and the comments received during the consultation.

### **3) Lead and its compounds in outdoor shooting and fishing – Assessment of the comments from the third-party consultation & SEAC draft opinion for the fishing sector**

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from ECHA, the regular and occasional stakeholders and their accompanying experts, invited experts, as well as the members of the SEAC support group which was established to support the rapporteurs in the development of the opinion. She summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its fourth draft opinion (focussed on uses in fishing) at the RAC working group on restrictions, as well as at RAC-60, and summarised the conclusions made. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented their fourth draft opinion (focussed on uses in fishing) to the Committee. Furthermore, SEAC took note of the presentation on the comments received in the third-party consultation.

Members commented on the scope, justifications for derogation requests, and the assessment of the costs of the proposed restriction for uses for sports shooting, hunting and fishing. SEAC also had discussions on the benefits, practicality and proportionality of the proposed restriction.

The regular stakeholder observers, together with their experts, commented on sport shooting (RMMs at shooting ranges), and the impacts of the proposed restriction on hunting and fishing. The occasional stakeholder observers commented on the scope for fishing and for hunting and sport shooting. The invited expert commented on the justification for a derogation for 'split shot' fishing weights.

The Chair concluded that there was full support and agreement by SEAC on the Rapporteurs' evaluation of the proposed restriction with respect to uses in fishing, and that this section of the draft opinion would not be discussed again in the next plenary. With regards to uses for sport shooting and hunting, the conclusions will be finalised by the rapporteurs in a systematic way in the next version of the opinion. The Chair reminded the stakeholder observers that any new studies or data should be submitted via the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion.

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the fifth draft opinion by the end of April 2022, taking into account the SEAC-54 discussions, and the comments received during the consultation on the Annex XV report. The Chair reminded all participants that the SEAC opinion development would be extended until December 2022.

### **4) 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-dodecachloropentacyclo- [12.2.1.1<sup>6,9</sup>.0<sup>2,13</sup>.0<sup>5,10</sup>]octadeca-7,15-diene (Dechlorane Plus) – Third draft opinion**

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from Norway, the RAC (co)rapporteurs and the occasional stakeholders. She summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that RAC had discussed their opinion at the RAC-60 Restriction Working Group and was scheduled to adopt the final RAC opinion at RAC-60 in March 2022. The RAC rapporteur reported from the RAC discussions at the RAC-60 Restriction Working Group. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the fourth draft opinion to the Committee.

The members discussed the evaluation of proportionality and agreed on changes to the draft opinion proposed by the Rapporteurs at the meeting. The Commission representative also commented on the proportionality assessment.

No interventions were made by regular or occasional stakeholders.

The Committee agreed on its draft opinion by consensus (with editorials as agreed at SEAC-54). The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion in order to launch the 60-day consultation on the SEAC draft opinion on 16 March 2022.

### **5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)**

SEAC agreed on the pools of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/54/2022/02rev1). The members were invited to volunteer for the pools of (co-)rapporteurs, as more volunteers would be needed (especially for AoA expertise).

## **6) Authorisation**

### **6.1 General authorisation issues**

#### **a) Update on incoming/future applications**

The Secretariat presented information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2022, and timelines.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the February 2022 submission window and other AfA-related updates.

#### **b) Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs**

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the updated version of the technical guidance for rapporteurs.

The Secretariat will consider the discussion and update the document and will publish it on S-CIRCABC.

#### **c) AfA Overview table**

The Secretariat presented an overview table containing summarised data from most recent applications for authorisation. The Committee took note of the presentation on the AfA Overview table.

## **6.2 Authorisation applications**

### **a) Discussion on key issues**

- 1) 13 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, 4-tert-OPnEO, 4-NPnEO) from November 2021 submission window

The Secretariat, in cooperation with the SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the new applications for authorisation, and specified the identified key issues in the applications listed below:

- 247\_OPE\_Boehringer\_2 (single use),
- 248\_NPE\_OCV (single use),
- 249\_CT\_Tenneco\_CZ (single use),
- 250\_CT\_Tenneco\_ES (single use),
- 251\_CT\_Tenneco\_BE (single use),
- 252\_CT\_Tenneco\_PL (single use),
- 253\_CT\_GEA-Westfalia (single use),
- 254\_CT\_Ratier-Figeac (two uses),
- 255\_CT\_Chrom-Mueller (three uses),
- 256\_CT\_KaVo-Dental (single use),
- 257\_CT\_Qualipac (single use),
- 258\_CT\_Schulte (two uses),
- 259\_CT\_ST-SRL (single use).

### **b) Agreement on draft opinion**

1. 231\_CT\_Kesseboehmer (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Use of chromium trioxide for decorative/functional application in the furniture, sanitary and automotive sector.

SEAC members discussed substitution activities by the applicant, suitability criteria by the applicant towards the alternative substance and process, and the length of the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion with the amendments agreed during SEAC-54 by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

2. 236\_SD\_Robur (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Use of sodium dichromate as an anticorrosion agent of the carbon steel in sealed circuit of gas absorption appliances up to 1.05 % w/w (corresponding to 0.42 % w/w as Cr(VI)) in the refrigerant solution.

SEAC members discussed substitution activities by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

### 3. 237\_CT\_Nobili (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Use at industrial site electroplating of different types of substrates to achieve functional surfaces with high durability and a bright or matt silvery appearance for sanitary applications.

SEAC members discussed benefits and risks of continued use.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

### 4. 238\_CT\_Hueck (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Functional chrome plating of high-quality stainless-steel press plates for the premium wood-based materials industry.

SEAC members discussed a length of the review period requested by the applicant, benefits and risks of continued use, non-use scenarios by the applicant, as well as availability of alternative substances and technologies.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

### 5. 239\_OPE\_NPE\_Prionics (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated and 4-nonylphenol, branched and linear, ethoxylated:

Use 1: Use as component of buffer solutions to produce antigens (Cell extraction, cell lysis, coating of biological antigens onto articles, inactivation of microorganisms that produce targeted antigen and solvent exchange) and in-process and final Quality Control of antigens intended for use as veterinary and human health laboratory reagents in Scientific Research and Development and In Vitro Diagnostic applications.

SEAC members had a general discussion on this application for authorisation.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

### 6. 240\_OPE\_Alexion (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated:

Use 1: Industrial use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated for virus inactivation in the manufacture Andexanet alfa for treatment of adult patients treated with a direct factor Xa (FXa) inhibitor when reversal of anticoagulation is needed due to life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding.

SEAC members discussed the length of the review period requested by the applicant, availability of alternative substances and the model used by the applicant for calculating changes in consumer surplus.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

#### 7. 241\_CT\_Gessi (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Use of chromium trioxide for electroplating of metal substrates with the purpose of creating a long-lasting high durability surface with bright look for kitchen and bathroom sanitaryware (functional plating with decorative character).

SEAC members discussed the substitution plan, substitution activities by the applicant, the length of the review period, as well as conditions for authorisation in the draft opinion.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

### **c) Adoption of opinion**

#### 1. 224\_RR1\_EDC\_Eurenco (1 use)

This is a review report on a single use of 1,2-dichloroethane (EDC):

Use 1: Industrial use of 1,2-Dichloroethane as a solvent for the synthesis of Polyepichlorohydrin used as a precursor in the production of Glycidyl Azide Polymer, an oligomer with hydroxyl terminations used to increase the energetic performance of propellants and explosives.

It was received by the Committee in November 2020. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-52 plenary meeting. On 14 January 2022 the authorisation holder submitted comments on the draft opinion.

The Committee adopted the opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinion. The Secretariat will send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the authorisation holder, and publish it on the ECHA website.

### **6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)**

The pool of (co-)rapporteurs, as outlined in the restricted room document SEAC/54/2022/03 rev.1 was agreed by SEAC.

## **7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)**

None.

## **8) AOB**

### **a) Update of the work plan**

The Secretariat provided an update of the work plan for the future months.

## **9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-54**

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.

**Main conclusions and action points  
SEAC-54, 8-11 March and 15-16 March 2022**

(Adopted at SEAC-54 meeting)

<b>Agenda point</b>	
<b>Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions</b>	<b>Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)</b>
<b>2. Adoption of the agenda</b>	
The agenda was adopted without modifications (SEAC/A/54/2022).	
<b>3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda</b>	
Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.	
<b>4. General SEAC procedures</b>	
<b>a) Report on SEAC-53 action points and written procedures</b>	
SEAC was informed of the status of the action points of SEAC-53.  Furthermore, SEAC took note of the oral report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and the CARACAL meeting. The Commission also gave an update on the workshops on Essential uses held on 3 March, as well as on Generic approach to Risk Management to be held on 21 March 2022.	
<b>5. Restrictions</b>	
<b>5.1 General restriction issues</b>	
<b>a) Planned updates on the working procedure for the RAC and SEAC opinion development on restrictions</b>	
The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the planned updates for the RAC and SEAC opinion development procedure for restrictions.	<b>Members</b> to provide feedback on the new ways of working.  <b>SECR</b> to table the revised working procedure for SEAC agreement in autumn 2022.
<b>b) Setting up of a Working Group on qualitative assessments</b>	
The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed setting up of a Working Group on qualitative assessments.  SEAC agreed on the Mandate for the Working Group on qualitative assessment (in line with the room document SEAC/54/2022/01).	<b>Members</b> to volunteer for the working group by 25 March 2022.

<b>5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers</b>	
<b>a) Conformity check and key issues discussion</b>	
<b>1. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in fire-fighting foams</b>	
SEAC agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements.  SEAC took note of the recommendations to the dossier submitter.	<b>SECR</b> to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.  <b>SECR</b> to launch a six-month external consultation on the restriction proposal on 23 March 2022.
<b>b) Opinion development</b>	
<b>1. Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting – First draft opinion</b>	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the first draft opinion.	<b>Rapporteurs</b> to prepare the second draft opinion, considering SEAC-54 discussions and comments submitted via the SEAC commenting round, by late April 2022.  <b>SECR</b> to distribute a note to SEAC on “Flows, stocks and damages of persistent chemicals” and table it for discussion at SEAC-55.
<b>2. 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Second draft opinion</b>	
SEAC rapporteur presented and SEAC discussed the second draft opinion.	<b>Rapporteur</b> to prepare the third draft opinion, considering SEAC-54 discussions and the outcome of the third-party consultation, by late April 2022.
<b>3. Lead and its compounds in outdoor shooting and fishing– Assessment of the comments from the third party consultation &amp; SEAC draft opinion for uses for fishing</b>	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft opinion focussed on the uses for fishing.  Furthermore, SEAC took note of the presentation on the comments received from the third-party consultation.	<b>Rapporteurs</b> to prepare the fifth draft opinion, considering SEAC-54 discussions and the outcome of the third-party consultation.  <b>SECR</b> to launch a SEAC written consultation on the fifth draft opinion prior to SEAC-55 in May 2022.
<b>4. 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1<sup>6,9</sup>.0<sup>2,13</sup>.0<sup>5,10</sup>]octadeca-7,15-diene (“Dechlorane Plus”™) – Fourth draft opinion</b>	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the fourth draft opinion.	<b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and

SEAC agreed on the draft opinion by consensus (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54).	RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion.  <b>SECR</b> to launch a 60 day consultation on the SEAC draft opinion in March 2022.
<b>5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)</b>	
SEAC agreed on the pools of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/54/2022/02rev1).	<b>SEAC members</b> to volunteer for the pools of (co-)rapporteurs.
<b>6. Authorisation</b>	
<b>6.1 General authorisation issues</b>	
a) Update on incoming/future applications	
SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the February 2022 submission window.	
b) Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs	
The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the updated version of the technical guidance for rapporteurs.	<b>SECR</b> to consider the discussion and update the document, and to publish it on S-CIRCABC.
c) AfA Overview table	
SEAC took note of the presentation on the AfA Overview table.	
<b>6.2 Authorisation applications</b>	
<b>a) Discussion on key issues</b>	
1. 13 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, 4-tert-OPnEO, 4-NPnEO) from November 2021 submission window	
SEAC discussed the key issues identified in the applications for authorisation.	
<b>b) Agreement on draft opinions</b>	
1) 231_CT_Kesseboehmer (1 use)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.	<b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.

<p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>2) 236_SD_Robur (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p> <p><b>Rapporteurs</b> and <b>SECR</b> to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.</p>
<p>3) 237_CT_Nobili (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p> <p><b>Rapporteurs</b> and <b>SECR</b> to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.</p>
<p>4) 238_CT_Hueck (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>5) 239_OPE_NPE_Prionics (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>6) 240_OPE_Alexion (1 use)</p>	

<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
--	--

**7) 241\_CT\_Gessi (1 use)**

<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-54) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b> together with <b>SECR</b> to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.</p> <p><b>Rapporteurs</b> and <b>SECR</b> to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.</p>
--	--

**c) Adoption of opinion**

**8) 224\_RR1\_EDC\_Eurengo (1 use)**

<p>SEAC adopted its opinion on this review report by consensus.</p>	<p><b>Rapporteurs</b>, together with <b>SECR</b>, to do the final editing of the SEAC opinion.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States, and the authorisation holder, and to publish it on the ECHA website.</p>
---	--

**6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)**

<p>SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/54/2022/04_rev1).</p>	<p><b>SEAC members</b> to volunteer to the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation.</p> <p><b>SECR</b> to upload the updated document to confidential folder on S-CIRCABC IG.</p>
---	--

**8. AOB**

**9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-54**

<p>SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-54.</p>	
--	--

### III. List of Attendees SEAC-54

<b>SEAC members</b>
ALEXANDRE João
ANASTASIOU Christos
ANTONIADOU Sofia
ARGYROPOULOS Christos
BERGS Ivars
BRIGNON Jean-Marc
CASTELLI Stefano
CAVALIERI Luisa
COGEN Simon
DOMINIAK Dorota
DOLENC Darko
FANKHAUSER Simone
GABBERT Silke
GAIDUKOVŠ Sergejs
ISKRA Jernej
JANSSEN Martien
JONES Derrick
KIISKI Johanna
LEAHY Eimear
LÜDEKE Andreas
MUNCRIEF Sandi
MÅGE Marit
NIKOVA Julieta
PIÑEROS Juan
PETERSEN Ida Svstrup
RODRIGUEZ Manuel
ROUW Aart
RUZGYS Karolis
SCHUCHTÁR Endre
SPITERI Jonathan
THIELE Karen
TURVEY Alex
URBAN Klaus
ŽIEMIENE Jurgita

<b>Commission observers</b>
BERTATO Valentina
GALLEGO Mateo
LEKATOS Stylianos
PEDERSEN Finn
ROSSI Ludovica
TOSETTI Patrizia

<b>ECHA STAFF</b>
AHTIAINEN Heini
BIN Essi
BLAINEY Mark
FRANCISCO ROGRIGUEZ Adrian
FRANKE Greta
GERVASUTTI Simone
GMEINDER Michael
HERMANN Christine
HÄMÄLÄINEN Eva
KIVELÄ Kalle
KLAUSBRUCKNER Carmen
LAZIC Nina
LOUKOU Christina
LUDBORZS Arnis
MANNERVESI Maija
MARQUEZ-CAMACHO Mercedes
NICOT Thierry
NUNES Lais
ORISPÄÄ Katja
OTTATI Maria
PELTOLA Jukka
PILLET Monique
POPOVIC Marko
REGIL Pablo
RUFO Elvia
SIMPSON Peter
SOSNOWSKI Piotr
VAN HAELST Anniek
VAN DER JAGT Katinka
VAZQUEZ RODRIGUEZ Jesus
WILK Mateusz
ZEIGER Bastian

<b>RAC rapporteurs</b>
DOAK Malcolm
FACCHIN Manuel
NEUMANN Michael
PARIS Pietro
PRINTEMPS Nathalie

(ClientEarth)
GREEN Rhys as accompanying expert to EEB for Lead
HANNEBAUM Peter as accompanying Expert to EUROFEU for PFAS
HEIN Andreas as accompanying expert to EurEau for PFAS
HOLLAND Mike as regular stakeholder (EAERE)
HÖK Frida as regular stakeholder (ChemSec)
JÁNOSI Amaya as regular stakeholder (CEFIC)
KALLER Martin as accompanying expert to CEFIC for PFAS
KAPPEL Jan as occasional stakeholder (European Anglers Alliance, EAA)
KOSKI Tero as accompanying expert to CEFIC for Lead
LEONHARDT Thomas as occasional stakeholder (EUROFEU)
LOEBEL Oliver as occasional stakeholder (EurEau)
MERVART Jan as accompanying expert to CEFIC for PAHs
PAIN Debbie as accompanying expert to EEB for Lead
PALINKAS Jean-Francois as occasional stakeholder (FITASC)
PUUSTINEN Seppo as occasional stakeholder (FACE - European Federation for Hunting and Conservation)
ROMANO MOZO Dolores as regular stakeholder (EEB)
SEBASTIANI Giuliana as accompanying Expert to Eurometaux for Lead
WAETERSCHOOT Hugo as regular stakeholder (Eurometaux)
WIETOR Jean-Luc as accompanying Expert to EEB for PFAS

<b>Advisors, invited experts, observers &amp; dossier submitters (DS)</b>
ASSMANN Mervi as advisor to Johanna KIISKI
BÜCKER Michael as advisor to Klaus URBAN
CROMIE Ruth (UNEP/AEWA = African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbird Agreement) as invited expert for Lead
DAHLBERG PERSSON Marie as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" <sup>TM</sup>
DERELIEV Sergey (UNEP/AEWA) as invited expert for Lead
FOTLAND Tor Øystein as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" <sup>TM</sup>
FREUDENTAHL Oona as ECHA invited expert
GRACIA Ignacio as ECHA invited expert
HARD Sebastiana as advisor to Silke GABBERT
HELMEDACH Achim as advisor to Karen THIELE
JONGENEEL Rob as advisor to Silke GABBERT
LANGTVET Espen as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" <sup>TM</sup>
LEFEVRE Sandrine (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
LEINALA Eeva (OECD) as ECHA invited expert
LIBERSKA Ewa as ECHA invited expert
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
MAZZOLINI Anna (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
MORO IACOPINI Sabrina as advisor to Stefano CASTELLI
NURMI Väinö (ECHA) as dossier submitter for PAHs
PELTZER Eike as ECHA invited expert
PETERS Oliver as advisor to Karen THIELE
REALE Priscilla as advisor to Stefano CASTELLI
REUTER Ulrike (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
RHEINBERGER Christoph (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
SOFIKITI Nikoletta as advisor to Sofia ANTONIADOU
THIERRY-MIEG Morgane (ECHA) as dossier submitter for PAHs

#### **IV. List of Annexes**

- ANNEX I. List of documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
- ANNEX II. Declared conflicts of interest
- ANNEX III. Final Agenda

**ANNEX I****Documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

<b>Document</b>	<b>Number</b>
Final Draft Agenda	SEAC/A/54/2022
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers	SEAC/53/2021/02 (restricted room document)
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications	SEAC/53/2021/04 (restricted room document)
Mandate for the Working Group on qualitative assessment	SEAC/54/2022/01 (room document)

**ANNEX II****DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO THE RESPECTIVE AGENDA ITEMS**

The following participants declared conflicts of interests with the agenda items below (according to Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure):

<b><u>Name of participant</u></b>	<b><u>Agenda item</u></b>	<b><u>Interest declared</u></b>
MÅGE Marit	5.2b-2) Declorane Plus	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
HARD Sebastiana (Adviser to SEAC M Silke GABBERT)	5.2b-2) Declorane Plus	Worked for the MSCA submitting the dossier
KIVELÄ Kalle (Deputy Chair)	5.2c-1) PFAS in fire-fighting foams	Worked on preparing the dossier

18 February 2022  
SEAC/A/54/2022

**Provisional Draft Agenda**  
**54th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

**8-11 March**  
**and**  
**15-16 March 2022**

**Virtual meeting**

**Tuesday 8 March starts at 10.00**  
**Thursday 10 March breaks at 18.40**  
**Tuesday 15 March resumes at 10.00**  
**Wednesday 16 March ends at 17.50**

**Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies**

**Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda**

**SEAC/A/54/2022**  
**For adoption**

**Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda**

**Item 4 – General SEAC procedures**

**b) Report on SEAC-53 action points and written procedures**

**For information**

**Item 5 – Restrictions**

**5.1 General restriction issues**

a) Planned updates on the working procedure for the RAC and SEAC opinion development on restrictions

***For information***

b) Setting up of a Working Group on qualitative assessments

***SEAC/54/2022/01***

***Room document***

***For discussion and agreement***

## **5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers**

c) Conformity check and key issues discussion

**1)** Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in fire-fighting foams

***For discussion and agreement***

d) Opinion development

**1)** Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting – First draft opinion

**2)** 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Second draft opinion

**3)** Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles – Assessment of the comments from the third-party consultation & SEAC draft opinion for the fishing sector

***For discussion***

**4)** 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1<sup>6,9</sup>.0<sup>2,13</sup>.0<sup>5,10</sup>]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"<sup>TM</sup>) – Third draft opinion

***For agreement***

## **5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)**

***SEAC/54/2022/02***

***Restricted room document***

***For agreement***

## **Item 6 – Authorisation**

### **6.1 General authorisation issues**

d) Update on incoming/future applications

e) Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs

f) AfA Overview table

***For information***

### **6.4 Authorisation applications**

d) Discussion on key issues

13 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, 4-tert-OPnEO, 4-NPnEO) from November 2021 submission window

***For discussion***

e) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 231\_CT\_Kesseboehmer (1 use)
2. 236\_SD\_Robur (1 use)
3. 237\_CT\_Nobili (1 use)
4. 238\_CT\_Hueck (1 use)
5. 239\_OPE\_NPE\_Prionics (1 use)
6. 240\_OPE\_Alexion (1 use)
7. 241\_CT\_Gessi (1 use)

***For discussion and agreement***

f) Adoption of opinion

2. 224\_RR1\_EDC\_Eurenco (1 use)

***For discussion and adoption***

**6.5 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)**

***SEAC/54/2022/03  
Restricted room document  
For agreement***

**Item 7 – Article 77(3)(c) requests**

None

**Item 8 – AOB**

a) Update of the work plan

***For information***

**Item 9 – Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-54**

Table with Conclusions and Action points from SEAC-54

***For adoption***

## PROVISIONAL TIMELINE FOR THE DISCUSSIONS AT SEAC-54

Please note that timings are approximate. Changes may be made by the Chair before and during the meeting in order to accommodate the discussions.

### Week 1

#### **Tuesday 8 March 2022: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

- 10:00 10:10 Welcome and apologies
- 10:10 10:15 Adoption of the agenda
- 10:15 10:20 Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda
- 10:20 10:40 Report on SEAC-53 action points, written procedures and update on other ECHA bodies
- 10:40 11:00 General issues:  
- New AfAs received during February 2022 submission window  
- AfA Overview table
- 11:00 11:30 *Coffee break*
- 11:30 12:20 General issues:  
- Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs
- 12:20 12:50 224\_RR1\_EDC\_Eurenco - Adoption of opinion
- 12:50 14:20 *Lunch*

#### **Tuesday 8 March 2022: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

- 14:20 15:50 RESTR Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in fire-fighting foams - Conformity check and key issues discussion
- 15:50 16:20 *Coffee break*
- 16:20 17:05 RESTR Working procedure on Restrictions opinion development
- 17:05 17:30 AOB Open issues
- Optional social event*

#### **Wednesday 9 March 2022: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

- 10:00 10:50 239\_OPE\_NPE\_Prionics - Agreement on draft opinion
- 10:50 11:20 *Coffee break*
- 11:20 12:30 231\_CT\_Kesseboehmer - Agreement on draft opinion
- 12:30 14:00 *Lunch*

**Wednesday 9 March 2022: Afternoon session (chaired by Kalle Kivelä)**

14:00 15:00 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-  
[12.2.1.1<sup>6/9</sup>.0<sup>2/13</sup>.0<sup>5/10</sup>]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane  
Plus"<sup>TM</sup>) - Third draft opinions discussion

15:00 15:30 *Coffee break*

15:30 16:30 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-  
[12.2.1.1<sup>6/9</sup>.0<sup>2/13</sup>.0<sup>5/10</sup>]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane  
Plus"<sup>TM</sup>) **contd.**

**Thursday 10 March 2022: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

10:00 11:10 241\_CT\_Gessi - Agreement on draft opinion

11:10 11:40 *Coffee break*

11:40 12:50 237\_CT\_Nobili - Agreement on draft opinion

12:50 14:20 *Lunch*

**Thursday 10 March 2022: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

14:20 16:20 Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons  
(PAHs) in clay targets for shooting - First draft opinion

16:20 16:50 *Coffee break*

16:50 17:35 Changes in the tool used for consultations on SEAC opinions

17:35 18:20 Setting up of a Working Group on qualitative assessments

18:20 18:40 Agreement on conclusions and action points (Week 1)

*END OF WEEK 1*

**Tuesday 15 March 2022: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)**

10:00 11:10 238\_CT\_Hueck - Agreement on draft opinion

11:10 11:40 *Coffee break*

11:40 12:50 236\_SD\_Robur - Agreement on draft opinion

12:50 14:20 *Lunch*

**Tuesday 15 March 2022: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

14:20 16:20 Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles -  
Assessment of the comments from the third party  
consultation & SEAC draft opinion for the fishing sector

16:20 16:50 *Coffee break*

16:50 18:50 Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles  
**contd.**

### **Wednesday 16 March 2022: Morning session**

10:00 10:50 240\_OPE\_Alexion - Agreement on draft opinion

10:50 11:20 *Coffee break*

11:20 12:20 13 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, 4-tert-  
OPnEO, 4-NPnEO) from November 2022 submission window  
- Key issues discussion (*chaired by María Ottati*)

12:20 13:50 *Lunch*

### **Wednesday 16 March 2022: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)**

13:50 15:20 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Second draft opinion

15:20 15:50 *Coffee break*

15:50 16:05 Appointment of rapporteurs (AfA, Restrictions) - **Closed  
session**

16:05 16:35 Open issues from Week 2

16:35 17:05 Any other business

17:05 17:35 Conclusions, action points

17:35 17:50 Closing of the meeting

*END OF SEAC-54*