



# **Exposure Scenario processing for mixtures**

# Critical Component Approach (CCA)

Brussels, ENES5 meeting 21&22 November 2013: Breakout session G

Jongerius consult BV



**Onno Jongerius** 

Caesar Consult



Joost van Rooij

# Agenda

### 1. Introduction

- 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario Approach (GMES)
- 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA)
  - Presentation CCA method -> CCA results for ENES Examples M1 & M2
  - Guided discussion CCA

### **Coffee break**

- 4. Development "Mixture ES" for the example "Sanitary cleaner"
  - Presentation GMES method -> Mixture ES for ENES Example "Sanitary Cleaner"
  - Guided discussion GMES
- 5. Wrap-up





# 1. Introduction *Who are we?*



Chemical management support (>22 years)

**Onno Jongerius** 

www.jongeriusconsult.com





Industrial Toxicology & Hygiene support (>22 years)

Joost van Rooij

www.caesar-consult.nl

Caesar Consult supports companies / industry sectors / others to reduce or prevent health risks from exposure to hazardous substances at work or at home

Jongerius Consult & Caesar Consult jointly developed a REACH Compliance Training program and various practical tools and solutions. Amongst others, we work on a practical solution to process ES for Mixtures since mid 2011, being further matured by interaction with Industry

We are honoured & thankful sharing / discussing our concepts and ideas on the ENES meeting. We hope it has added value to come to a workable solution for Industry processing ES in Mixtures. We are looking forwards to your input and suggestions





# 1. Introduction The challenges to be addressed (1)







# 1. Introduction The challenges to be addressed (2)







# 1. Introduction Exposure Scenario processing for mixtures





ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# Agenda

### 1. Introduction

### 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario Approach (GMES)

- 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA)
  - Presentation CCA method -> CCA results for ENES Examples M1 & M2
  - Guided discussion CCA

### **Coffee break**

- 4. Development "Mixture ES" for the example "Sanitary cleaner"
  - Presentation GMES method -> Mixture ES for ENES Example "Sanitary Cleaner"
  - Guided discussion GMES
- 5. Wrap-up





# 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario approach (GMES) Ready to use "Mixture ES Format"

				MIXTUR	RE EXPOSU	RE SCENARI	O FORMA	T (WORKER)								
Name of mixture		<product s<="" td=""><td>pecific mixture name&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></product>	pecific mixture name>													
Section 1 – Title of Ex	posure Scenario (E	5)								Sector code (ES	0					
Title		<ducc "sho<="" td="" template:=""><td>rt ES Title"&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><ducc "cod<="" td="" template:=""><td>le (DU)"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc>	rt ES Title">							<ducc "cod<="" td="" template:=""><td>le (DU)"&gt;</td></ducc>	le (DU)">					
Processes and activitie	es covered	Contribution Scenario (	C8)						Sector code - CS	Lise descriptor co	de					
		<ducc "sho<="" td="" template:=""><td>rt description of process or activity 1"&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><ducc "num<="" td="" template:=""><td>aber 1"&gt; <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 1"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc></td></ducc>	rt description of process or activity 1">						<ducc "num<="" td="" template:=""><td>aber 1"&gt; <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 1"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc>	aber 1"> <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 1"&gt;</td></ducc>	at No 1">					
		<ducc "sho<="" td="" template:=""><td>rt description of process or activity 2*&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><ducc "num<="" td="" template:=""><td>aber 2"&gt; <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 2"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc></td></ducc>	rt description of process or activity 2*>						<ducc "num<="" td="" template:=""><td>aber 2"&gt; <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 2"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc>	aber 2"> <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 2"&gt;</td></ducc>	at No 2">					
		<ducc "sho<="" td="" template:=""><td>rt description of process or activity 3"&gt; -&gt; Etc</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><ducc "num<="" td="" template:=""><td>aber 3"&gt; <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 3"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc></td></ducc>	rt description of process or activity 3"> -> Etc						<ducc "num<="" td="" template:=""><td>aber 3"&gt; <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 3"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc>	aber 3"> <ducc "proc<="" td="" template:=""><td>at No 3"&gt;</td></ducc>	at No 3">					
Sector of use		<ducc "full<="" td="" template:=""><td>description of the SUT&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><ducc "<="" td="" template:=""><td>SU"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc>	description of the SUT>							<ducc "<="" td="" template:=""><td>SU"&gt;</td></ducc>	SU">					
Environmental release	category	<ducc "full<="" td="" template:=""><td>description of the ERC / SPERC"&gt;</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><ducc "spi<="" td="" template:=""><td>ERC"&gt; <ducc "e<="" td="" template:=""><td>RC"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc></td></ducc>	description of the ERC / SPERC">						<ducc "spi<="" td="" template:=""><td>ERC"&gt; <ducc "e<="" td="" template:=""><td>RC"&gt;</td></ducc></td></ducc>	ERC"> <ducc "e<="" td="" template:=""><td>RC"&gt;</td></ducc>	RC">					
Section 2 - Condition	ns of use affecting	exposure														
Characteristics of mix	ture - general					Irritation / c	corrosion	Qualitative endpoints relevant Sensitization	int for chemical safety assessmen Acute toxicity	t Carcinogenicity / muta	agenicity					
Physical state of mixtu	ure (at 20°C and 101	3 kPa)		liquic												
Classification of undilu	uted mixture: R-Phra	ises		cR-Dhraves of	Mixtures	No		No	No							
Classification of undilu	uted mixture: H-Phra	ises		<h-phrases of<="" td=""><td>Mixtures</td><td>NO</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>NO</td><td></td></h-phrases>	Mixtures	NO				NO						
2.1.Control of worker				stri indici di	manue Cr	I										
2.1 Centrol of workers response Poperational conditions Poperational Condition																
Emperature of proces         Anders (unless stated differently)																
Frequency/duration of use b-boury/day-5 workdaps/week (unless stated dfferently)																
Indoor or outdoor				indoor (unless stated diffe	rently)						-					
				% of mixture in handled	· · · ,,											
Contributing Scenario	o (task / activity)			product?	Risk Management M	leasures (RMM)										
<ducc "shi<="" td="" template:=""><td>ort description of pr</td><td>ocess or activity 1"&gt;</td><td></td><td><mixture %=""></mixture></td><td colspan="9">cMatter %&gt;</td></ducc>	ort description of pr	ocess or activity 1">		<mixture %=""></mixture>	cMatter %>											
<ducc "shi<="" td="" template:=""><td>ort description of pr</td><td>ocess or activity 2"&gt;</td><td></td><td><mixture %=""></mixture></td><td><rmms determined="" f<="" td=""><td>for the specific CS as cor</td><td>npilation of the RMN</td><td>ts processed for each relevant health endpoint (qua</td><td>litative via mixture label and quant</td><td>itative per RDS)&gt;</td><td></td></rmms></td></ducc>	ort description of pr	ocess or activity 2">		<mixture %=""></mixture>	<rmms determined="" f<="" td=""><td>for the specific CS as cor</td><td>npilation of the RMN</td><td>ts processed for each relevant health endpoint (qua</td><td>litative via mixture label and quant</td><td>itative per RDS)&gt;</td><td></td></rmms>	for the specific CS as cor	npilation of the RMN	ts processed for each relevant health endpoint (qua	litative via mixture label and quant	itative per RDS)>						
<ducc "shi<="" td="" template:=""><td>ort description of pr</td><td>ocess or activity 3"&gt; -&gt;</td><td>Etc.</td><td><mixture %=""></mixture></td><td><rmms determined="" f<="" td=""><td>for the specific CS as cor</td><td>npilation of the RMN</td><td>ts processed for each relevant health endpoint (qua</td><td>litative via mixture label and quant</td><td>itative per RDS)&gt;</td><td></td></rmms></td></ducc>	ort description of pr	ocess or activity 3"> ->	Etc.	<mixture %=""></mixture>	<rmms determined="" f<="" td=""><td>for the specific CS as cor</td><td>npilation of the RMN</td><td>ts processed for each relevant health endpoint (qua</td><td>litative via mixture label and quant</td><td>itative per RDS)&gt;</td><td></td></rmms>	for the specific CS as cor	npilation of the RMN	ts processed for each relevant health endpoint (qua	litative via mixture label and quant	itative per RDS)>						
2.2 Control of enviror	nmental exposure			tunical (cn)ERC value	cal (sp)ERC value Environmental control measures											
Environmental releas	e category	ERC / SPERC'S		typical (sp)ekc value			c(en)ERC- "Eraction	ured at main source"s	<pmms datarmined="" for="" isnals<="" td="" the=""><td>0C bared on the quantitative endpoint(c) per PDS&gt;</td><td></td></pmms>	0C bared on the quantitative endpoint(c) per PDS>						
source rempiate. Th	in description of the	Line y Si Line y		Fraction used at main sou	rce		<(sp)ERC: "Emission	dave nervear"s	strand determined for the (speje	the based on the quantitative endpoint(3) per hos-						
				Emission days per year			<(sp)ERC: "Municipal	I STD">	_							
				Municipal STP			s(ap)ERC: "Release	feasting to air"s								
				Release fraction to air			<(sp)ERC: Release	fraction to unite unite *								
				Release fraction to waste	water		<(sp)ERC: Release	fraction to water water >								
				Release fraction to soil			<(sp)EKC: Release	fraction to soli >								
				River flow rate for dilution	1		flow rate for	m²/day								
				Type of on site Risk Mana	gement measures		SUSPERC: Type of a	on site wisk management measures >								
				Efficiency of on site Risk I	Management Measure	5	SUSPJERC: Efficienc	y or on site Risk Management Measures">								
Section 3 - Exposure	estimation and ref	erence to its source														
Quantitative endpoin	its relevant for cher	nical safety assessment	<ul> <li>Risk Determining Substances (RDS) via Critical C</li> </ul>	omponent Approach (CCA)				Physical (show	cal factors relevant for PDF	tion / chamical rafaty arrangement						
Endpoint			Risk Determining Substance	EC number	% in mixture	Limit v.	alue	Physical / chem	carractors relevant for KDS select	aon y chemical sarety assessment						
		local	<rds calculation="" cca="" from="" name=""></rds>	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>		Vapour pressure (hPa)	<rds-value> Fries</rds-value>	acity category; ? (no yap, pressure)						
	short term	systemic	<rds calculations<="" cca="" from="" name="" td=""><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>ppm</td><td>Vapour pressure (bPa)</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>acity category ? (no van pressure)</td><td></td></rds>	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	ppm	Vapour pressure (bPa)	<rds-value></rds-value>	acity category ? (no van pressure)						
Inhalation		local	<rds calculation="" cca="" from="" name=""></rds>	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	ppm	Vapour pressure (hPa)	<rds-value> Files</rds-value>	acity category: ? (no vap. pressure)						
	long term	systemic	<rds calculations<="" cca="" from="" name="" td=""><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>ppm</td><td>Vapour pressure (bPa)</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>acity category ? (no van pressure)</td><td></td></rds>	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	ppm	Vapour pressure (bPa)	<rds-value></rds-value>	acity category ? (no van pressure)						
		local	<80S name from CCA Calculations	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	ppm	enbour pressure (in a)	ruge	and see 0. 1. I to any hearing						
	short term	systemic	<rds calculations<="" cca="" from="" name="" td=""><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>mg/cm</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rds>	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	mg/cm	1								
Dermal		Jocal	<pds calculations<="" cca="" from="" name="" td=""><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td>&lt; See CCAr</td><td><rds-values< td=""><td>mg/kg bw</td><td>1</td><td>Not applicable</td><td></td><td></td></rds-values<></td></pds>	< See CCA>	< See CCAr	<rds-values< td=""><td>mg/kg bw</td><td>1</td><td>Not applicable</td><td></td><td></td></rds-values<>	mg/kg bw	1	Not applicable							
	long term	outemic	calors name from CCA Calculations	C See CCAD	< See COA:	<r03-value></r03-value>	mg/cm <sup>-</sup>	1								
		systemic	<rds calculations<="" cca="" from="" name="" td=""><td>&lt; See CCAP</td><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>mg/kg bw</td><td>Vapour pressure (bPa)</td><td><r0s-value></r0s-value></td><td></td><td></td></rds>	< See CCAP	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	mg/kg bw	Vapour pressure (bPa)	<r0s-value></r0s-value>							
Environment	aquatic	fresh water	<rds calculations<="" cca="" from="" name="" td=""><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td>&lt; See CCA&gt;</td><td><rds-value></rds-value></td><td>mg/L</td><td>Degradability</td><td>Show Yorkey</td><td>No</td><td></td></rds>	< See CCA>	< See CCA>	<rds-value></rds-value>	mg/L	Degradability	Show Yorkey	No						
2.1 Westers Chart	al Cafato Assa	at based on the state	training of minimum and the data data	and all a second	- Success			Cogrammy								
5.1 Worker - Chemic	1 worker - Lemma Sarety Assessment sakes on the characteristic of mutuate and the risk obstance(1) care obstance - Lemma Sarety Assessment sakes on the characteristic of mutuate and the risk obstance(2) care of sateset of the characteristic of mutuate and the risk obstance(2) care of sateset of the characteristic of sateset o															
(general statement ref	rennig to the Chemi	cai safety Assessment n	nethod used and explaining that when RMMs/OCs i	su ucuons are implemented	an qualitative and qu	ancuative nearth nazards	s are controlled (Risk	characterization Ratios (RLRs) are below 1)].								
3.2 Environment - Cl	hemical Safety Asse	essment based on risk d	eterming substance in mixture			1 1 M C -										
(general statement ref	terring to the Chemi	cal Safety Assessment n	nethod and explaining that when RMMs/OCs instru	ctions are implemented all e	environmental hazards	are controlled (RCRs an	e below 1]									
Section 4 - Guidance	tion 4 - Guidance to Downstream User to evaluate if he works inide boundaries set by the ES															
Further information or	n the assumptions c	ontained in this Exposur	e Scenario can be found/obtained from: <www.co< td=""><td>mpany.com&gt; and/or <www< td=""><td>.sector.org&gt;. Scaling c</td><td>an be done using the ex</td><td>posure estimation to</td><td>ol originally used. Expert advice may be needed.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></www<></td></www.co<>	mpany.com> and/or <www< td=""><td>.sector.org&gt;. Scaling c</td><td>an be done using the ex</td><td>posure estimation to</td><td>ol originally used. Expert advice may be needed.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></www<>	.sector.org>. Scaling c	an be done using the ex	posure estimation to	ol originally used. Expert advice may be needed.								
DISCLAIMER. The Mixt	ture ES Format and	the ENES 5 example dat	a processed via the GMES / SMES approach into a c	oncrete Mixture ES to be att	ached to the mixture	SDS, is prepared by Jong	erius Consult BV & Ca	sesar Consult. It aims to provide Industry with a con	plete and workable solution for in	clusion of component ES information in the Mixtur	re SDS in line with					

Mixture and composition information

Use information (from DUCC template, if available)

Mixture OC(s) & RMM (determined by GMES approach; several options)





#### 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario approach (GMES) Stepwise process to complete the Mixture ES format "top-down" **Mixture Use Data INPUT** Mixture Data (sector specific DUCC Template) Trigger Mixture Use Data Processing No Mixture ES? Yes Mixture ES Format (empty template) Mixture Name, phys. Chem. & label data 1. Input: Mixture/Use data in Mixture ES Format CCA Method! Mixture Composition 2.a. Mixture Label 2.b. RDS Calculation tool (qualitative endpoints) (quantitative endpoints) Several 3. Determination Mixture OC & RMM Substance Name, options (From processing the relevant qualitative/quantitative endpoints) phys chem. & label data & DNEL/PNEC's Mixture ES(s) Mixture ES(s): Annex mixture SDS per endpoint-RDS OUTPUT not created / updated (completed / updated Mixture ES) COG Jongerius Consult ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) 9 © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014 CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES RISK ASSESSMENT

### Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario Approach (GMES)
- 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA)
  - Presentation CCA method -> CCA results for ENES Examples M1 & M2
  - Guided discussion CCA

### **Coffee break**

- 4. Development "Mixture ES" for the example "Sanitary cleaner"
  - Presentation GMES method -> Mixture ES for ENES Example "Sanitary Cleaner"
  - Guided discussion GMES
- 5. Wrap-up





# 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA) *Purpose and other methods*

Determination of Risk Determining Substances (RDS) is important to make the processing of ES for Mixtures practical

# 1. DPD+ method (Cefic)

Identification of Lead substance based on R-phrases

# 2. CCA method (ECHA)

Assessment of Critical Components based on DNELs or PNECs

# 3. Hybrid methods (Sectors & Companies)

Note:

- DPD Dangerous Preparations Directive (1999/45/EC)
- CCA Critical Component Approach (ECHA Guidance Part G & for downstream users)





# 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA) The main principles of the DPD+ method

### The DPD+ method determines the so-called lead substances.

- Possible Lead substances are identified based on R-phrases for the following pathways:
  - Dermal
  - Oral
  - Eyes
  - Aquatic environment
- For each pathway a Lead Substance Indicator (LSI) is calculated. The highest LSI value determines the Lead substance per pathway.

LSI<sub>inhalation</sub> LSI<sub>dermal, oral, eyes, or aquat.</sub>

 $= Vp * C_i / C_L$  $= C_i / C_L$ 

**Vp** = Vapour Pressure (hPa, at 25°C)

 $\mathbf{C}_{i}$  = Concentration of substance (i) in mixture (%)

**C**<sub>L</sub> = Concentration Limit for R-Phrase (%)

Cefic Guidance (2009) & Cefic calculation tool available.





# 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA) The main principles of the CCA method (1)

- The <u>CCA method</u>, as proposed by Jongerius Consult & Caesar Consult, determines the so-called critical components
- Possible critical components are identified based on DNEL-/PNEC-values for the following endpoints: Inhalation: short / long term & local / systemic
  - Dermal: short / long term & local / systemic
  - Oral: short / long term systemic
  - Aquatic environment
- For each endpoint a Risk Determining Substance (RDS) score is calculated. The highest RDS score determines the critical component per endpoint.

**RDS-score**<sub>inhalation endpoints</sub> **RDS-score**<sub>other health endpoints</sub>

**RDS-score**<sub>environmental endpoints</sub>

**Vp** = Vapour Pressure (hPa, at 20/25°C)

=  $Vp * C_i / DNEL_i$ 

- $= C_i / DNEL_i$
- $= C_i / PNEC_i$

C<sub>i</sub> = Concentration of substance (i) in mixture (%)
 DNEL or PNEC<sub>i</sub> = relevant DNEL or PNEC of substance (i)



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA) The main principles of the CCA method (2)

### > The **CCA method** will not process all substances with a DNEL/PNEC

- For reasons of proportionality and workability and in line with REACH Art 14(2), the same cut-off values are included as defined in Art 11.2 and section 1.1.2.2. of Annex I of the CLP Regulation.
- 2. Non-hazardous substances (no label) with a DNEL/PNEC value will not be processed in the CCA method. Non hazardous substance do not have a substance ES that can be processed for a mixture.

The CCA method will determine the RDS(s) for a mixture, processing all hazardous substances with a DNEL/PNEC value and present in the mixture in a concentration above the most stringent cut-off limit based on the CLP label of the substance



CCA Method -Cut-off limit values





ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# ENES5: Test Mixture M1 - industrial use in rigid foams Determination RDS via CCA Calculation Tool

				EN	ES5: Test Mixtur	e M1 - industrial	use in rigid fo	oams		
Mixture classification DPD+	Component	Conc in mixture (%)	REACH registered substance	VP (Pa) 20C	Worker DNEL, inhal, syst, longterm (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Worker DNEL , dermal, syst, longterm (mg/kg/day)	PNEC (mg/l)	Classification DSD	Classification CLP	SDS available
	A	60,00	yes	< 0,01	98	13,9	0,2	not classified	not classified	SDS
	В	16,00	yes	1,00E-06	3,9	7	0,02	not classified	Eye.Corr/Irr.2 H319	Ext-SDS
	С	10,00	no	< 0,01				R22	Acut.Tox.4 H302	SDS
	water	11,50	no	2,30E+03				not classified	not classified	no
	D	1,20	yes	4,00E+02	35	EBW	0,002	R10, R22, R23, R24, R34	cation D         Classification CLP         SDS availab           fied         not classified         SDS           fied         Eye.Corr/Irr.2 H319         Ext-SD           Acut.Tox.4 H302         SDS           fied         not classified         no           Acut.Tox.4 H302         SDS           fied         not classified         no           , R23, H311, Skin.Corr.1B H314, Acut.Tox.3         H326, Acut.Tox.4 H302, Acut.Tox.3 H311, H331         Ext-SD           , R34         Acut.Tox.4 H302, Acut.Tox.3 H311, Skin.Corr.1B H314         Ext-SD           /53         Skin.Corr.1B H314, Skin.Sens.1B H317, Aquat.Chron.3 H412         no           R62,         H226, Rep.Tox.Cat2 H361, Aquat.Chron.4 H413         no	Ext-SDS
not classified	E	0,70	yes	3,00E+01	0,529	0,15	0,0549	R22, R24, R34	Acut.Tox.4 H302, Acut.Tox.3 H311, Skin.Corr.1B H314	Ext-SDS
	F	0,57	yes	7,50E-02	0,31	0,2	0,084	R34, R52/53	Acut.Tox.5 H303, Acut.Tox.5 H313, Skin.Corr.1B H314, Skin.Sens.1B H317, Aquat.Chron.3 H412	no
	G 0,03 yes 9,10		9,10E+01	14,6 (local)		4,40E-04	Rep.Cat3 R62, R53	H226, Rep.Tox.Cat2 H361, Aquat.Chron.4 H413	no	

CCA Tool - ENES5 M1

CCA Calculation tool - Demo (draft beta version)



Calculation RDS-Score - ENES 5: Test Mixture M1 - industrial use in rigid foams

Mixture	Breakdown	Substance o				DNEL Worker				PNEC		
Product	Substance name	Substance Hazard Statements	Substance in product	Cut-off value	Vapour presure	VP temp	4. INHALATIC Sys	ION - Long term - ystemic Systemic		- I. ENVIRONMENT - Aqu Fresh water		
			(%)	%	(hPa)	(°C)	DNEL	RDS-Score	DNEL	RDS-Score	PNEC	RDS-score
							mg/m3		mg/kg bw/d		mg/l	
	А		60,00%		1,00E-04	20	98	non hazardous	13,9	non hazardous	0,2	non hazardous
	В	Н319	16,00%	1,0%	1,00E-08	20	3,9	0,000	7,0	0,023	0,02	8,0
	C	Н302	10,00%	1,0%	1,00E-04	20		no DNEL		no DNEL		no PNEC
Test	water		11,50%		2,30E+01	20		non hazardous		non hazardous		non hazardous
Mixture M1	D	H226, H301, H311, H314, H331	1,20%	0,1%	4,00E+00	20	35	0,001		no DNEL	0,002	6,0
	E	H302, H311, H314	0,70%	0,1%	3,00E-01	20	0,529	0,004	0,2	0,047	0,0549	0,13
	F	<mark>H303, H313</mark> , H314, H317, H412	0,57%	1,0%	7,50E-04	20	0,31	below cut off	0,2	below cut off	0,084	below cut off
	G	H226, H361, H413	0,03%	1,0%	9,10E-01	20		below cut off		below cut off	4,40E-04	below cut off



Jongerius Consult



# ENES5: Test Mixture M2- industrial use functional fluids/solvents Determination RDS via CCA Calculation Tool

	ENES5: Test Mixture M2 - industrial use functional fluids / solvents														
Mixture classification DPD+	Component	Conc in mixture (%)	REACH registered substance	VP (Pa) 25C	Worker DNEL, inhal, syst, longterm (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Worker DNEL , dermal, syst, longterm (mg/kg/day)	PNEC (mg/l)	Classification DSD	Classification CLP	SDS available					
	A	90,00	yes	3,00E+04	2000	2750	0,05	R52/53	H412: Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects.	Ext-SDS					
R18, R52/53 F+ in use	В	10,00	yes	4,00E+03	500	900	150	R11, R36, R67	H225: Highly flammable liquid and vapour; H319: Causes serious eye irritation. H336: May cause drowsiness or dizziness.	Ext-SDS					



CCA Calculation tool (draft beta version)



Calculation RDS-Score -	ENES 5:	Test Mixture M2 -	Industrial u	ise functional fluids	/ solvents

Mixture	Breakdown			Substance details					<b>RDS - WORKE</b>	R			RDS - ENVIRONMENT			
Dunalizati	Culture and a second	<b>C</b> AC#	F.C.H	Substance Hazard	Substance in	Cut-off			4. INHALATI	ON - Long	8. DERMAL-	ong term -	I. ENVIROI	NMENT -		
Product	Substance name	CAS#	EC#	Statements	product	value	vapour presure	vP temp	term - Sy	stemic	Syste	mic	Aquatic - Fresh water			
					(%)	%	(hPa)	(°C)	DNEL	RDS-Score	DNEL	RDS-Score	PNEC	RDS-score		
									mg/m3		mg/kg bw/d		mg/l			
Test	А			H412	90,0%	1,0%	300	25	2000	0,135	2750	0,0003	0,05	18,0		
Mixture M2	В	В	В			H225, H319, H336	10,0%	1,0%	40	25	500	0,008	900	0,0001	150	0,0007





# 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA) *Pro's, con's and issues*

#### Pro's

- + Like any RDS method, the CCA method reduces the number of substance ES's to process for the mixture
- + Similar process steps as DPD+
- + Easy to understand and implement / fully automatable
- + The CCA method builds on DNEL /PNEC values as hazard identifiers; empowers the use of these important REACH building blocks

#### Con's

+/- DNEL / PNEC values are not yet available for all components of a mixture. If and how this may affect the safe use of a mixture depends on how the CCA is embedded in the total process.

#### Issues

- Are the proposed filter rules in the CCA method (concentration above the CLP cut-off values & being hazardous) appropriate to identify the RDS(s)?
- DNEL/PNEC values should be listed in the SDS(08). In reality, DNEL/PNEC values are sometimes lacking /different from the ECHA website.

#### Possible solutions / suggestions

- 1) Ideas & feedback from the audience?
- 2) Normal procedure to check with the Supplier. Is it a general rule to adopt that the DNEL/PNEC values from the Joint Submission dossier on the ECHA website prevail?



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA) Evaluation of the CCA method by the group





ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



### Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario Approach (GMES)
- 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA)
  - Presentation CCA method -> CCA results for ENES Examples M1 & M2
  - Guided discussion CCA

### **Coffee break**

### 4. Development "Mixture ES" for the example "Sanitary cleaner"

- Presentation GMES method -> Mixture ES for ENES Example "Sanitary Cleaner"
- Guided discussion GMES

### 5. Wrap-up





Stepwise process to complete the Mixture ES format "top-down" (1)





© Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014

CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES RISK ASSESSMENT

# ENES5 Example "Sanitary Cleaner" (AISE) Data provided

	TEST MIXTURE ENES 5: Sanitary Cleaner (AISE)														
Mixture classification CLP	Component	CASn°	type of ingredient	Conc in mixture (%)	Classification DSD	Classification CLP	SDS available?								
	Sulphamidic acid	5329-14-6	acid	4,00	R36/38 - R52/53	Skin irrit. 2 H315; Eye irrit. 2 H319; Aquat. chron. 3 H412	Ext-SDS								
	Phosphoric acid	7664-38-2	acid	9,80	R34	Met. corr. 1 H290; Skin corr. 1B H314	Ext-SDS								
GHS05; Danger H314: Causes severe	Alkyl(C10-13) benzenesulfonic acid (ABS) (linear)	85536-14-7	surfactant	6,01	R22-34	Skin corr. 1A H314; Eye dam.1 H318; Acute tox. 4 (Oral) H302	Ext-SDS								
skin burns and eye damage	Perfume X	(mixture) **	perfume	0,05	?	Eye irrit. 2 H319; Skin sens. 1B H317; Aquatic chron. 2 H411	No								
	disodium 5-amino-4-hydroxy- 3-(phenylazo) naphthalene- 2,7-di-sulphonate	3567-66-6	Additive/Color	0,004	?	Eye irrit. 2 H319; Skin sens. 1B H317 Aquatic chron. 2 H411	No								
	water		solvent	80,14											
		**Contains no sensi	itizing ingredients <0,	01%											

TEST MIXTURE ENES 5: Sanitary Cleaner (AISE)													
Mixture Use	SU	РС	PROC	ERC	Max. Duration	Typical RMM							
Brushing undiluted product on surfaces	22	35	10	8a	120 min	Good general ventilation but no LEV; gloves and goggles possible; no resp. protection							
Diluting product*	22	35	8a	8a	50 min	Good general ventilation but no LEV; gloves and goggles possible; no resp. protection							
Brushing diluted product on surfaces	22	35	10	8a	480 min	Good general ventilation but no LEV; No PPE							

\*Product instructions prescribes at least 1:10 dilution with water



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# ENES5 Example "Sanitary Cleaner" (AISE) Step 1 filling in the Mixture (use) data

AISE Inst. Use map (19-06-2012)

**1. Input: Mixture/Use data in Mixture ES Format** 



Name of mixture	Sanitary Cleaner (test formulation EN	IES5)							
Section 1 – Title of Exposure Scenario (E	S)					Sector code - ES			
Title	Professional Use of General surface cleaning products					AISE-P305			
Processes and activities covered	Contributing Scenario (CS)				Sector code - CS	Use descriptor code			
	Transfer of professional cleaning or maintenance product (charging/discharg	ging) to a cleaning equipment	(machine/vessel/bucket)		AISE_CSP01	PROC8a			
	Brushing a diluted professional cleaning solution, desinfectant or maintenan	ce product			AISE_CSP08	PROC10			
	Brushing a concentrated professional cleaning or maintenance product.				AISE_CSP10	PROC10			
Sector of use	Professional uses: Public domain (administration, education, entertainment,	services, craftsmen)			-	SU22			
Environmental release category	AISE 16 - Wide Dispersive Use in 'Down the Drain' cleaning and maintenance	e products (Consumers and Pro	ERC8a						
Section 2 - Conditions of use affecting	exposure								
Characteristics of mixture general				Qualitative endpoints relevant	for chemical safety assessment	nt			
characteristics of mixture - general			Irritation / corrosion	Sensitization	Acute toxicity	Carcinogenicity / mutagenicity			
Physical state of mixture (at 20°C and 101	1.3 kPa)	liquid							
Classification of undiluted mixture: R-Phra	ases	R34	Skin and eye corrosive	No	No	No			
Classification of undiluted mixture: H-Phr.	ases	H314							
2.1 Control of workers exposure									
Operational conditions									
Temperature of process		Ambient (unless stated differe	intly)						
Frequency/duration of use		8-hours/day - 5 workdays/we	ek (unless stated differently)						
Indoor or outdoor		indoor (unless stated different	tly)						
Contributing Scenario (task / activity)		% of mixture in handled product?	Risk Management Measures (RMM)						
Transfer of professional cleaning or main (machine/vessel/bucket)	tenance product (charging/discharging) to a cleaning equipment	100%	<rmms as="" cs="" determined="" for="" on="" per="" rds)="" specific="" the=""></rmms>	compilation of the RMMs processed fo	or each relevant health endpoir	nt (qualitative via mixture label and quantitative			
Brushing a diluted professional cleaning s	olution, desinfectant or maintenance product	<10%	<rmms (qualitative="" and="" as="" compilation="" cs="" determined="" each="" endpoint="" for="" health="" label="" mixture="" of="" per="" processed="" qua="" rds)="" relevant="" rmms="" specific="" the="" via=""></rmms>						
Brushing a concentrated professional clea	aning or maintenance product.	100%	<rmms (qualitative="" and="" as="" compilation="" cs="" determined="" each="" endpoint="" for="" health="" label="" mixture="" of="" per="" processed="" quantita="" rds)="" relevant="" rmms="" specific="" the="" via=""></rmms>						

2.2	Control	of	environmenta	l exposure

Environmental release category	typical (sp)ERC value		Environmental control measures			
AISE 16 - Wide Dispersive Use in 'Down the Drain' cleaning and maintenance products (Consumers and Professionals)	Fraction used at main source	0,00075	<rmms (spe)erc="" based="" determined="" endpoint(s)<="" for="" on="" quantitative="" th="" the=""></rmms>			
	Emission days per year	365	per RDS>			



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



### Step 2 identification qualitative/quantitative end-points (1)



#### Calculation RDS-Score - example Sanitary Cleaner

Mixture	Breakdown		Subs	tance details					DNEL Worke	r							PNEC													
Product	Substance name	CAS#	EC#	Substance Hazard Statements	Substance in product	Cut-off value	Vapour presure	VP temp	1. INHALATIO - Loca	. INHALATION - Short term - Local effects		t term 3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		3. INHALATION - Long term - Local effects		ON - Long term - stemic	8. DERMAI Sys	long term - temic	I. ENVIRONN Fresl	1ENT - Aquatic - h water
					(%)	%	(hPa)	(°C)	DNEL	RDS-score	DNEL	RDS-score	DNEL	RDS-Score	DNEL	RDS-Score	PNEC	RDS-score												
									mg/m3		mg/m3		mg/m3		mg/kg bw/d		mg/l													
	Water	7732-18-5			80,1%			-		non hazardous		non hazardous		non hazardous		non hazardous		non hazardous												
	Phosphoric Acid	7664-38-2	231-633-2	H290, H314	9,8%	1,0%	0,038000	20	2	0,002	1	0,004		no DNEL		no DNEL		no PNEC												
Sanitary	Sulphamidic Acid	5329-14-6	226-218-8	H319, H315, H412	4,0%	1,0%	0,007800	20		no DNEL		no DNEL		no DNEL	10,0	0,0040	0,048	0,83												
Cleaner	Alkyl(C10-13) benzenesulfonic acid (ABS) (linear)	85536-14-7	287-494-3	H314,H318, H302	6,0%	1,0%	1,00E-10	?		no DNEL	12	0,0000000000005	12	0,000000000001	170,0	0,0	0,287	0,21												
-	Perfume X	(mixture)	(mixture)	H317, H319, H411	0,05%	1,0%				below cut off		below cut off		below cut off		below cut off		below cut off												
	disodium 5-amino-4-hydroxy-3-(phenylazo) naphthalene-2,7-di-sulphonate	3567-66-6			0,0035%	0,1%				below cut off		below cut off		below cut off		below cut off		below cut off												



ection 3 - Exposure estimation and reference to its source												
Quantitative end	dpoints releva	nt for chemica	al safety assessment - Risk Determining Subs	Physical / chemical factors relevant for RDS selection / chemical safety assessment								
Endpoint			Risk Determining Substance						EC number	nber % in mixture (DNEL-worker / PNEC)		
	chart torm	local	Phosphoric Acid	231-633-2	9,8%	2	mg/m3	Vapour pressure (hPa)	0,038	Fugacity category: low (0,01-500 Pa)		
Inhalation	Short term	systemic	-	-	-	-		Vapour pressure (hPa)	-	Fugacity category: ? (no vap. pressure)		
	long term	local	Phosphoric Acid	231-633-2	9,8%	1	mg/m3	Vapour pressure (hPa)	0,038	Fugacity category: low (0,01-500 Pa)		
		systemic	Alkyl(C10-13) benzenesulfonic acid (ABS)	287-494-3	6,0%	12	mg/m3	Vapour pressure (hPa)	1E-10	Fugacity category: negligible (< 0,01 Pa)		
	chart torm	local	-	-	-	-				·		
Dormal	SHOILTEITH	systemic	-	-	-	-			applicable			
Dermai	long torm	local	-	-	-	-			NOL	applicable		
	iong term	systemic	Sulphamidic acid	226-218-8	4%	10	mg/kg bw					
Environment	anna fa farada mata	a mustice for a la	freeb water		226 210 0	40/	0.049		Vapour pressure (hPa)	0,0078		
Environment	aqualic	quatic Tresh water Sulphamidic acid	226-218-8	4%	0,048	nig/L	Degradability		Unknown			



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



Step 2 identification qualitative/quantitative end-points (2)

2.a. Mixture Label 1. Input: Mixture/Use data in Mixture ES Format (qualitative endpoints) Section 2 - Conditions of use affecting exposure Qualitative endpoints relevant for chemical safety assessment Characteristics of mixture - general Irritation / corrosion Sensitization Acute toxicity Carcinogenicity / mutagenicity liquid Physical state of mixture (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa) Classification of undiluted mixture: R-Phrases R34 Skin and eye corrosive No No No Classification of undiluted mixture: H-Phrases H314 2.1 Control of workers exposure **CCA** 2.b. RDS Calculation tool

Sect	ection 3 - Exposure estimation and reference to its source												
Qua	ntitative en	dpoints releva	ant for chemic	al safety assessment - Risk Determining Subs	Physical / chamical factors relevant for PDS coloction / chamical cafety								
Endpoint				Risk Determining Substance	EC number	% in mixture	Lim (DNEL-wo	it value orker / PNEC)	assessment				
	2	chort torm	local	Phosphoric Acid	231-633-2	9,8%	2	mg/m3	Vapour pressure (hPa)	0,038	Fugacity category: low (0,01-500 Pa)		
<b>—</b>	holotion	SHOILLEITH	ey eternie					Ĭ	Vapour pressure (hPa)	-	Fugacity category: ? (no vap. pressure)		
Innaia	malation 3		local	Phosphoric Acid	231-633-2	9.8%	1	ma/m3	Vapour pressure (hPa)	0,038	Fugacity category: low (0,01-500 Pa)		
	4	long term	systemic Alkyl(C10-13) benzenesulfonic acid (ABS)		287-494-3	6,0%	12	mg/m3	Vapour pressure (hPa)	1E-10	Fugacity category: negligible (< 0,01 Pa)		
		short torm	local	-	-	-	-						
	Dormol	SHOLLETT	systemic	-	-	-	-			Not	applicable		
	Dermai		local								applicable		
	5	5 long term systemic Sulphamidic acid		Sulphamidic acid	226-218-8	4%	10 mg/kg bw		1				
En	vironmont	oguatia	tion freedownton		226 240 0	40/	0.040		Vapour pressure (hPa)	0,0078			
Environment		aquatic	nesn water	rater b Sulphamidic acid		4%	0,048	mg/L	Degradability	Unknown			

3. Determination Mixture OC & RMM

(From processing the relevant quantitative/qualitative endpoints)

(quantitative endpoints)



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



25

Meth

Step 3 determination Mixture OC & RMM "top-down" (1)

	No.	Short title	Main User Group (SU)	Sector of Use (SU)	Product Category (PC)	Process Category (PROC)	Environn ental Release Category (ERC)	Article Category (AC)	Specification		
1 Skin and eve corrosive	1	Manufacture of substance	3	8, 9	NA	1, 2, 3, 4, 8b, 9, 15	1	NA	ES1433		
2. RDS (inhal-ST-local): phosphoric acid	2	Industrial use	3	8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17	0, 1, 7, 9a, 9b, 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 32, 34, 35, 37, 39	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 19, 22, 23	2, 3, 4, 6a, 6b, 6d	NA	ES1460		
3. RDS (inhal-LT-local); phosphoric acid	3	Professional use	22	1, 19	9a, 9b, 12, 14, 15, 31, 35, 37	5, <mark>8a,</mark> 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 19, 25	<mark>8a,</mark> 8b, 8c, 8 <u>9</u>	NA	ES1470		
					38					Frequency of use	220 days/year
		Use in Cleaning Agents	21	NA	28, 31, 35, 38, 39	NA	8a, 8 8e, 11 11a	Frequency	and duration of us	The maximum duration co of above 4h/day (worst ca	nsidered for this exposure scenario is a working shift se assumption)
										Frequency of use	8 hours/day
							<u> </u>	Organisatio	nal measures to	Because the substance is	corrosive, the risk management measures for human
								prevent /lim	it releases, disper-	sion health should focus on the	prevention of direct contact with the substance
								Conditions a	and measures rela	ted Use suitable eye protectio	n and gloves.

to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

2.1 Control of workers exposure								
Operational conditions								
Temperature of process	Ambient (unless stated differently)							
Frequency/duration of use	8-hours/day - 5 workdays/	3-hours/day - 5 workdays/week (unless stated differently)						
Indoor or outdoor	indoor (unless stated diffe	rently)						
Contributing Scenario (task / activity)	% of mixture in handled product?	Risk Management Measures (RMM)						
Transfer of professional cleaning or maintenance product (charging/discharging) to a cleaning equipment (machine/vessel/bucket)	100%	Use suitable eye protection and gloves.						
Brushing a diluted professional cleaning solution, desinfectant or maintenance product	<10%	If diluted less then 100 times (% of mixture > 1%): use suitable eye protection and gloves.						
Brushing a concentrated professional cleaning or maintenance product.	100%	Use suitable eye protection and gloves.						



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



Wear suitable coveralls to prevent exposure to the skin.

# ENES5 Example "Sanitary Cleaner" (AISE) Step 3 determination Mixture OC & RMM "top-down" (2)



2.1 Control of workers exposure		
Operational conditions		
Temperature of process	Ambient (unless stated diff	ferently)
Frequency/duration of use	8-hours/day - 5 workdays/	/week (unless stated differently)
Indoor or outdoor	indoor (unless stated diffe	rently)
Contributing Scenario (task / activity)	% of mixture in handled product?	Risk Management Measures (RMM)
Transfer of professional cleaning or maintenance product (charging/discharging) to a cleaning equipment (machine/vessel/bucket)	100%	Use suitable eye protection and gloves. [Handle all packages and containers carefully to minimise spills.]
Brushing a diluted professional cleaning solution, desinfectant or maintenance product	<10%	If diluted less then 100 times (% of mixture > 1%): use suitable eye protection <0,6% [Clear spills immediately]
Brushing a concentrated professional cleaning or maintenance product.	100%	Use suitable eye protection and gloves. [Clear spills immediately]



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# ENES5 Example "Sanitary Cleaner" (AISE) Step 3 determination Mixture OC & RMM "top-down" (3)





ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



### Step 3 determination Mixture OC & RMM "top-down" (4)

			N°.	Korte titel	Hoofdq ebruike rsgroep (SU)	Gebruik ssector	Productca tegorie (PC)	Procescate gorie (PROC)	Milieu- emissieca tegorie (ERC)	Voorwerp categorie (AC)	Specificatie	
5. RDS (environment –aquatic – fresh	water): sulphamidic	acid 4%		Toepassing in reinigingsmiddel	en 22	2b	3, 8, 13, 15, 31, <mark>35</mark>	1, 2, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20	<mark>8a,</mark> 8b, 8d, 9a, 9b	NA	E511041	
		Ŭ	7	Toepassing in reinigingsmiddel	en 3	5, 6b, 8, 15	8, 14, 20, 23, 26, 35,	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16,	4, 6b	NA	E511043	
		2.1 bijdrages ERC9b	scena	rio dat de r	nilieubloo	tstelling	y behee	rst voor <mark>:</mark>	ERC8a,	ERC8b,	ERC8d, ERC	
		technische voo maatregelen op (bron) ter verhi	prwaard p proce indering	den en esniveau g van	Water			Afval niet i rechstreek	n de goo s in het n	tsteen wer nilieu afsc	rpen., Geen afv heiden.	
		Voorwaarden en maatregelen met betrekking tot externe behandeling van afval voor			Afvalverwerking			Afval zal teruggewonnen of gerecycleerd worde indien mogelijk, Externe behandeling en verwijdering van afval met inachtneming van de desbetreffende plaatselijke en/of nationale voorschriften.				
		verwerking	verwerking			igsmetho	oden	Verpakkingen die niet meer gereinigd kunnen worden, moeten zoals de stof zelf verwijderd worden				
ontrol of environmental exposure												
onmental release category	typical (sp)ERC value				Environmen	tal contro	ol measure	s				
6 - Wide Dispersive Use in 'Down the Drain' cleaning and	Fraction used at main source		0,000	75	IDe not disease wests into desire!							
enance products (consumers and Professionals)	Emission days per year		365		[Do not dispose waste		e wasie	e into orainSj				
	Municipal STP		yes		[Dispose of waste product or used containers according to loca regulations ]							
	Release fraction to air		0		regulations.j							
	Release fraction to waste wate	er	1									
	Release fraction to soil		0						<0,4%			
	River flow rate for dilution		18000	) m³/day			- 4%					
	Type of on site Risk Manageme	ent measures	-									
	Efficiency of on site Risk Mana	agement Measures	-									



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# ENES5 Example "Sanitary Cleaner" (AISE) Output: Mixture ES "Sanitary Cleaner" "top-down"

Section 1 – Title of Exposure Scenario	(ES)						Sector code - ES			
Title	Professional Use of General surface cleaning proc	AISE-P305								
Processes and activities covered	Contributing Scenario (CS)		Sector code - CS	Use descriptor code						
	Transfer of professional cleaning or maintenance	product (charging/dischargi	ng) to a cleaning equipme	nt (machi	ne/vessel/bucket)	AISE_CSP01	PROC8a			
	Brushing a diluted professional cleaning solution,	desinfectant or maintenance	e product			AISE_CSP08	PROC10			
	Brushing a concentrated professional cleaning or	rated professional cleaning or maintenance product. AISE_CSP10								
Sector of use	Professional uses: Public domain (administration,	education, entertainment, s	ervices, craftsmen)			-	SU22			
Environmental release category	AISE 16 - Wide Dispersive Use in 'Down the Drain	cleaning and maintenance	products (Consumers and I	Professio	nals)	AISE SPERC 8a.1.a.v1	ERC8a			
Section 2 - Conditions of use affectin	ig exposure									
Characteristics of mixture general			Qualitative endpoints relev				nt			
characteristics of mixture - general			Irritation / corrosic	on	Sensitization	Acute toxicity	Carcinogenicity / mutagenicity			
Physical state of mixture (at 20°C and 2	101.3 kPa)	liquid								
Classification of undiluted mixture: R-P	Phrases	R34	Skin and eye corrosi	ive	No	No	No			
Classification of undiluted mixture: H-P	Phrases	H314	1							
2.1 Control of workers exposure										
Operational conditions										
Temperature of process		Ambient (unless stated differently)								
Frequency/duration of use		8-hours/day - 5 workdays/week (unless stated differently)								
Indoor or outdoor		indoor (unless stated differently)								
Contributing Scenario (task / activity)		% of mixture in handled product? Risk Management Measures (RMM)								
Transfer of professional cleaning or ma cleaning equipment (machine/vessel/b	aintenance product (charging/discharging) to a bucket)	100%	Use suitable eye protectic minimise spills].	se suitable eye protection and gloves. [If necessary, wear suitable working closthes]. [Handle all packages and containers carefully to inimise spills].						
Brushing a diluted professional cleaning	g solution, desinfectant or maintenance product	<10%	If diluted less then 100 tim [Clear spills immediately.]	diluted less then 100 times (% of mixture >1%): use suitable eye protection and gloves. [f necessary, wear suitable working closthes]. Clear spills immediately.]						
Brushing a concentrated professional c	leaning or maintenance product.	100%	Use suitable eye protectic	Ise suitable eye protection and gloves. [Clear spills immediately.]						
2.2 Control of environmental exposur	e									
Environmental release category		typical (sp)ERC value				Environmental control measures				
AISE 16 - Wide Dispersive Use in 'Down	n the Drain' cleaning and maintenance products	Fraction used at main sour	ce	0,00075		[Do not dispose waste into dra	ins]. [Dispose of waste product or			
(Consumers and Professionals)		Emission days per year		365		used containers according to ic	ocal regulations.j			
		Municipal STP		yes						
	Release fraction to air		0							
	Release fraction to waste	water	1							
		Release fraction to soil		0						
		River flow rate for dilution		18000 r	m³/day					
		Type of on site Risk Manag	gement measures	-						
		Efficiency of on site Risk N	lanagement Measures	-						



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario (GMES) approach - example Pro's, con's and issues (1)





ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario (GMES) approach & example *Pro's, con's and issues (2)*

#### Issues

- The "top-down" processing of substance ES's for each RDS (RDS-ES) in order to identify the required mixture OC & RMM's might be problematic and is time consuming.
- 2) Is the proposed GMES approach automatable?

3) When the development of the Mixture ES is determining the Mixture OC&RMM reliably on risk based principles (e.g. via RDS-CSA process), how to deal with the DU requirements to complete a use compliance check on the substance ES's?

#### Possible solutions / suggestions

- 1) An alternative approach is to conduct a CSA (e.g. with a tier 1 tool) for each RDS. See follow-up slide
- 2) The GMES approach based on "top-down" processing of RDS-ES's is hard to automate. A GMES approach using RDS-CSA processing in alignment with the sector mixture uses ("bottom-up"), has a much higher potential to be automated.
- 3) When the Mixture ES is based on a risk based determination of the Mixture uses rather than on processing substance ES(s), a use compliance check to cover the mixture uses has no purpose. Can it be replaced by the risk based Mixture ES processing like suggested in REACH Art 31 (2)?



ENES5 – Breakout session G (21-11-2013) © Jongerius Consult, Caesar Consult 2013-2014



# ENES5 Example "Sanitary Cleaner" (AISE) Output: Mixture ES "Sanitary Cleaner" (using RDS-CSA)

Name of mixture	Sanitary Cleaner	(test formul	ation ENES	5)								
Section 1 – Title of Exposure Scenario (B	ES)						Sector code - ES					
Title	Professional Use of General surface	Professional Use of General surface cleaning products AISE-P305										
Processes and activities covered	Contributing Scenario (CS)					Sector code - CS	Use descriptor code					
	Transfer of professional cleaning or	r maintenance product (	charging/discharging) to	a cleaning	AISE CSP01	PROC8a						
	(machine/vessel/bucket)	uning solution desinfects	ant or maintenance pro	duct		PPOC10						
	Brushing a unuted professional clea		and or maintenance pro	uuci	AISE_CSP06	PROCIO						
Contra of the	Brushing a concentrated profession	desisionation education	ince product.	(+		AISE_CSP10	PROCIO					
	Professional uses: Public domain (a	uministration, education	, entertainment, service	es, craitsmer	l)		5022					
Environmental release category	AISE 16 - WIde Dispersive Use in "D	own the Drain' cleaning	and maintenance prod	ucts (Consum	iers and Professionals)	AISE SPERC 8a.1.a.VI	EKC8a					
Section 2 - Conditions of use affecting	exposure		1		Qualitative endpoints relevan	t for chemical safety assessmen	t					
Characteristics of mixture - general			Irritation / con	rosion	Sensitization		Corringgonicity / mutagonicity					
Physical state of mixture (at 20°C and 10	)1 2 kD2)	liquid			Schältzation	Acute toxicity	careinogeneity / matageneity					
Classification of undiluted mixture: R-Phi	rases			rocivo	No	No	No					
Classification of undiluted mixture: H-Ph	rases	11214	Skin and eye co	nosive	NO	NO	NO					
		H314										
2.1 Control of workers exposure Operational conditions												
Temperature of process		Ambient (unless stated)	differently)									
Frequency/duration of use		8-hours/day - 5 workdays/week (unless stated differently)										
Indoor or outdoor		indoor (unless stated differently)										
Contributing Scenario (task / activity)		% of mixture in handled product?	Risk Management Measures (RMM)									
Transfer of professional cleaning or mair (charging/discharging) to a cleaning equi	ntenance product ipment (machine/vessel/bucket)	100%	Jse suitable eye protection and gloves.									
Brushing a diluted professional cleaning s maintenance product	solution, desinfectant or	<10%	f diluted less then 100 times (% of mixture >1%): use suitable eye protection and gloves.									
Brushing a concentrated professional cle	eaning or maintenance product.	100%	Use suitable eye prote									
2.2 Control of environmental exposure												
Environmental release category		typical (sp)ERC value				Environmental control measur	es					
AISE 16 - Wide Dispersive Use in 'Down	the Drain' cleaning and	Fraction used at main so	ource	0,00075		[Prevent leaks and prevent soil ,	/ water pollution caused by leaks.					
maintenance products (Consumers and P	Professionals)	Emission days per year		365		Dispose of waste product or use regulations.	ed containers according to local					
	Municipal STP		yes									
	Release fraction to air		0									
	Release fraction to was	te water	1									
	Release fraction to soil		0									
		River flow rate for dilut	ion	18000	m <sup>3</sup> /day							
		Type of on site Risk Ma	nagement measures	-								
		Measures	in monogement	-								





# Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario (GMES) approach & example Evaluation of the GMES approach by the group







### Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Outline Generic Mixture Exposure Scenario Approach (GMES)
- 3. Critical Component Approach (CCA)
  - Presentation CCA method -> CCA results for ENES Examples M1 & M2
  - Guided discussion CCA

### **Coffee break**

- 4. Development "Mixture ES" for the example "Sanitary cleaner"
  - Presentation GMES method -> Mixture ES for ENES Example "Sanitary Cleaner"
  - Guided discussion GMES

5. Wrap-up



