

Discussion of: *Measuring the Economic Value of the Effects of Chemicals on Ecological Systems and Human Health*

Christoph Rheinberger European Chemicals Agency Risk Implementation Unit



Summary

- Paper presents concise summary of economic valuation of non-market goods
- Technical focus seems appropriate for stock-taking, but more details could be discussed w.r.t. to equilibrium sorting models, incentive compatibility,...
- Health valuation is discussed from the perspective of an environmental economist, whereas health economic approaches are only touched upon
- > Take home messages should be stronger



Regulator's perspective on WTP values

- > Why is the regulator so interested in WTP values?
- Some thoughts about WTP in day-2-day regulatory work focussing on value of statistical life
- Very important to quantify negative externalities of chemicals use most of which affect health & environ.
- > Three competing VSL philosophies:
 - VSL based on meta-analysis of labor market studies
 - VSL based on meta-analysis of stated preference studies
 - VSL based on topical, large-scale stated preference studies



Problems everywhere?

- Labor market studies: generalizability?
- > Stated preferences: PGs and incentive compatibility?
- Meta-analyses: too many sources for biases?
- > Topical studies: internal and external validity?
- \rightarrow None of these methods is a priori superior
- \rightarrow Context matters
- \rightarrow Biases small compared to those in risk assessment



Central value vs distribution

- Policy makers endorse single values, but...
- > ...world is complex, single values will never be right
- regulator needs to trade off, keeping in mind both theoretical arguments and communication w/ public
- Why WTP distributions still might be better than endorsed single numbers:
 - avoids certainty illusion in ex ante analysis
 - captures preference heterogeneity across people
 - facilitates sensitivity analysis



Preference heterogeneity in WTP





Sensitivity analysis with distributions



 \rightarrow No problem to derive "best estimate" for policy analysis

 \rightarrow Multiplication of 2 lognormals, i.e. can derive any percentile numerically without being a math wizard



Conclusions

- Paper is excellent starting point to reasoning about chemicals impact valuation
- Need for more applied research, especially on environmental impacts
- Paper could stress more that regulator's need to assess both most likely impact & uncertainty bounds
- Exact WTP figure might not be too decisive if consistently applied for ranking policy alternatives
- Important interface between risk assessment and monetization, requires move toward probabilistic framework for chemicals health impact assessment



Thank you!

Subscribe to our news at echa.europa.eu/subscribe

Follow us on Twitter @EU_ECHA

Follow us on Facebook Facebook.com/EUECHA