Canadian Approaches to Soil Risk Assessment

ECHA/EFSA Topical Scientific Workshop on Soil Risk Assessment Janet Cermak and Mark Bonnell, Environment Canada Lai Gui and Michelle Kivi, Pest Management Regulatory Agency, Health Canada

> October 7-8 2015 Helsinki, Finland



Soil Risk Assessment in Canada

• Touched upon by a number of programs and under a number of federal Acts, two of the main Acts being:

Canadian Environmental Protection Act 1999 (CEPA 1999)

- Principle federal legislative tool for assessing and managing chemical substances
- New and existing substances
- Jointly administered by Environment Canada and Health Canada

Pest Control Products Act (PCPA 2006)

- Evaluation of pest control products (pesticides and biocides)
- New and existing (re-evaluation every 15 years)
- Administered by the Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) of Health Canada



CEPA 1999

New substances program

- The Domestic Substance List (DSL) developed in 1994 for the purpose of determining substances new to Canada
- Assesses all substances introduced to Canadian commerce following the creation of the DSL before their introduction into the Canadian marketplace

Existing substances program

- Includes assessment of substances on the Domestic Substance List (DSL)
 - ~23 000 substances on the DSL
 - ~4300 substances identified in 2006 as requiring further assessment



CEPA 1999

• Substances are assessed to determine if they meet the definition of toxic as defined in Section 64 of CEPA 1999:

"A substance is toxic if it is entering or may enter the environment in a quantity or concentration or under conditions that:

- a. have or may have an immediate or long-term harmful effect on the environment or its biological diversity;
- b. constitute or may constitute a danger to the environment on which life depends; or
- c. constitute or may constitute a danger in Canada to human life or health."



- Risk assessments consider:
 - 1. Fate in the environment
 - 2. Persistence and bioaccumulation potential
 - 3. Environmental hazard
 - 4. Human health hazard
 - 5. Exposure
 - 6. Risk characterization
- CEPA 1999 prescribes use of weight-of-evidence and precautionary approaches





- Datasets for assessment differ between programs
 - New substances program
 - Mandatory datasets are prescribed within the New Substances Notification Regulation depending on type of substance, and the quantity, intended use and circumstances associated with its introduction
 - Mandatory datasets oriented to aquatic environment
 - Additional studies can be requested for terrestrial exposures if considered critical (e.g., biosludge application)
 - Existing substances program
 - No prescribed data generation and submission requirements, but can publish mandatory surveys (typically for use pattern data)
 - Uses publically available data or data voluntarily submitted by industry; limited funds for targeted testing
 - Often, substances are "data poor", especially for sediments and soils

- Soil risk assessments can consider direct and indirect exposure via soil, if warranted
 - Therefore, the risk to soil-dwelling organisms (plants, invertebrates) can be considered, but also impact on higher-organisms (birds, mammals) especially for those substances whose physico-chemical properties suggest that transfer through food webs may be important
- Data availability is typically the limiting factor for soils



Fate in the Environment

- Mass-balance multimedia models can determine intermedia transfer of chemicals to soil or fate in soil and biota from direct emission to soil (e.g., biosludge application)
 - Regional level (100,000 km²)
 - Terrestrial foodwebs
- Also models for:
 - Long range transport
- Atmospheric deposition

- Air dispersion (local)
- If chemical is not amenable to modelling, fate is qualitatively evaluated according to its physico-chemical properties and mode of entry to environment



Persistence and bioaccumulation (P & B)

- The science of persistence and bioaccumulation as it affects chemical fate and distribution in organisms and the environment is an element in CEPA assessments
- Important for determining:
 - Exposure potential (e.g. residence time, long-range transport)
 - Estimating tissue residues
 - ADME properties and estimating ecotoxicity
- For P, often half-life data is only for water
 - Half-life in soil considered to be the same (Boethling et al. 1995)



Hazard Assessment

- Objective is to derive a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) for organisms in direct contact with soil (PNEC_{soil}) or in wildlife exposed indirectly via soil and/or the foodweb (PNEC_{wildlife})
- For direct contact, Environment Canada has developed several test methods for soils reflecting Canadian species and soils
- Standard test protocols required for prescribed datasets and preferred for non-prescribed data (e.g., Environment Canada, OECD, ISO, ASTM)



Hazard Assessment cont'd

- For indirect exposure:
 - PNEC_{wildlife} derived from repeated oral dose toxicity tests on laboratory rodents that have been normalized to the body weight of the wildlife species of interest (e.g., shrew, mole, vole, fox, etc.)
 - Based on similar approaches used by USEPA for Superfund Risk Assessments





Hazard Assessment cont'd

- Assessment factors are used to derive PNECs from toxicity test data
- Microbial test data are examined, however, uncertainty with interpreting these data usually results in less weight given to them in assessments





Exposure assessment

- Aim is to derive a predicted environmental concentration (PEC)
- Scenarios are dependent on the substance, its properties, manufacture and use patterns, and life cycle stages
- Exposure scenarios may include
 - direct release to soil during manufacture, transport, and/or use;
 - removal of the substance from waste water to sludge and application of this biosludge to soils;
 - deposition from air; or
 - ingestion of contaminated soil, water and/or food by wildlife



Exposure assessment cont'd

- Generally PECs are for soil concentrations (PEC_{soil})
- For scenarios considering transfer in foodwebs, the PEC_{wildlife} can be based on:
 - Empirical tissue residue data of prey (if available)
 - Bioenergetics modelling (soil-earthworm-shrew-fox) to estimate a total daily intake (TDI) in prey;
 - Model tissue residues in prey and predators based on BSAFs/BAFs and soil concentrations
- Tiered approach
 - Initial scenarios require less effort and are more conservative
 - Subsequent scenarios involve further refinement and increased realism



Risk characterization

- A weight-of-evidence approach
- Lines of evidence can include
 - Quantitative comparison of PNECs to PECs (including probabilistic methods)
 - P & B characteristics
 - Presence and distribution in environment and fate trends
 - Current and anticipated future release patterns, etc.
- Lines of evidence with higher weight contribute more to the overall conclusion





Regulatory Management of Substances under CEPA 1999

- Regulatory criteria for P and B in soil:
 - Half-life ≥182 days
 - No B criteria for soil, only for aquatic (BCF/BAF \geq 5000 or K_{ow} \geq 5)
 - Can use other indicators of bioaccumulation (BSAF, BMFs, TMFs) in the weight-of-evidence
- If a substance has been determined to meet the definition of toxic in section 64 of CEPA 1999 and meets regulatory criteria for P and B, it may be subject to mandatory virtual elimination of releases





- PMRA assesses pesticides and biocides under PCPA
 - Active ingredient
 Formulated product
 - Micro-impurity
- Unique to pesticides, they are deliberately applied. Hence, the main objectives are to characterize their risks and to determine mitigation measures to minimize their impact
- Four steps in the risk assessment
 - Data acquisition

Formulant

- Data analysis (exposure and ecological effects)
- Risk characterisation
- Risk mitigation



Data acquisition

- Registrants required to provide comprehensive data on fate and ecotoxicity following internationally accepted guidelines (e.g., OECD, US EPA, EU):
 - Specific data include:
 - Physico-chemical properties
 - Transformation studies in soil
 - Field dissipation studies

- Chemical transformation studies
- Adsorption/desorption studies
- Acute and/or chronic ecotoxicity

- Based on intended use and chemical properties, some data requirements can be exempted
- Data requirements for biocides are case-specific based on use pattern and potential exposure routes. Studies follow same guidelines.



Data acquisition (cont'd)

- Data on transformation products are needed if they are:
 - Present at >10% of the parent compound equivalent or
 - Of concern (e.g., high toxicity, concentration increases over time)
- Other reliable sources of information are also used (literature, other regulatory bodies, monitoring data, etc.), particularly when conducting re-evaluation





Data analysis

- Exposure characterization aims to:
 - Determine persistence and mobility of the active ingredients and their major transformation products:
 - considering use/ application patterns (rate, timing, interval, etc.)
 - integrating all available data (laboratory and field)
 - Determine Estimated Environmental Concentrations (EECs) for various media, including soil, surface water, groundwater and pore water (models are harmonized with US EPA)

- EECs for drinking water are for human health risk assessment
- For biocides, where exposure is expected (e.g., wood preservatives), analysis may use OECD scenarios



Data analysis

- Ecological Effects
 - Direct exposure (soil contact)
 - Plant seedlings, earthworms, and in some cases soil arthropods
 - Indirect exposure:
 - Foliar arthropods that consume plants exposed to a systemic pesticide
 - Birds and small mammals exposed via ingestion of food (insects, plant leaves and seeds) containing pesticide residues
 - For tests with plants and invertebrates, acute and/or chronic data are considered, and if high toxicity is noted, higher-tier studies (e.g., aged residue tests, semi-field and field tests) are used, similar to EU's approach (e.g., ESCORT₂)
 - For birds and mammals, both acute and reproductive tests are used



Risk characterization

- Using the risk quotient method and a tiered approach
 - Screening assessment uses the most conservative assumptions to efficiently identify pesticides that are not likely to pose a risk
 - Maximum cumulative application rates, shortest application interval
 - 100% exposure
 - If a potential risk is identified, high-tiered studies (e.g., semi-field and field studies), representing more realistic exposure scenarios, are used to determine toxic effects at population level and/or community level



Risk characterization (cont'd)

- Other refinements include:
 - Spray drift off-site
 - Interception of sprayed pesticide by plants
 - Revising toxic endpoint using species sensitivity distribution (SSD) analysis approach
 - Modelling or monitoring data





Other considerations

- Toxic Substances Management Policy (TSMP)
 - 2 main objectives to the TSMP
 - Virtual elimination from the environment of toxic substances that result predominantly from human activity and that are persistent and bioaccumulative (Track 1 substances)
 - Management of other toxic substances and substances of concern, throughout their entire life cycles (Track 2 substances)
 - Track 1 substances (persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic), POP, etc.
 - active ingredients, micro-contaminants, formulants
 - Identification same criteria as CEPA, similar to Stockholm Convention

24

– Management - virtual elimination



Risk mitigation

- Risk mitigation measures for soil-dwelling organisms may include label instructions to restrict:
 - application rate
 - timing
 - number of applications
 - application intervals
 - amount of treated area
- If the risk cannot be adequately reduced by mitigation measures, a cost/benefit analysis of the proposed use may be considered and product may not be allowed for use in Canada



Thank You !

http://www.chemicalsubstanceschimiques.gc.ca http://www.ec.gc.ca/subsnouvelles-newsubs/ http://hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/branch-dirgen/pmraarla/index-eng.php

