

NORWEGIAN INSTITUTE OF BIOECONOMY RESEARCH

Comparing PRZM, MACRO and PEARL using field data from a case study of pesticide leaching in Norway.

Gomez-Aledo, P.¹, Balderacchi, M.², Benoit, P.¹, Bolli, R.³, Eklo, O. M.^{3*}, Kværner, J.⁴, Pot, V.¹, & Trevisan, M²

Introduction

Pesticide fate models are often used to describe pesticide fate at field, regional and country scale and can be used for reporting chemical status according to Water Framework Directive and the Ground Water Directive. PEARL (Tiktak et al., 2000), PRZM (Carsel et al., 1998) and MACRO (Jarvis, 1994) are such models aimed at the prediction of pesticides dissipation and transport in soil. One of the main objectives of this study has been to investigate the ability of these three FOCUS models to describe leaching of pesticides to groundwater under cold conditions, especially in winter periods, and to identify key variables on calibration and to validate and evaluate the performance of these models

MACRO model provides the best efficiency for soil temperature and volumetric water content for both experimental periods.

After calibration, the models predicted well the water content. However, neither models captured its dynamic during frost-thawing period (fig. 3). For metribuzin, PEARL and MACRO models simulated herbicide concentration with the same dynamics at each depth in both periods. PRZM had a tendency to overpredict the mean concentrations of metribuzin in the soil). profile (fig.5)

Material and methods

A data set from a Norwegian site (fig. 1), monitored by Bioforsk (Stenrød et al. 2008) was used to compare the three leaching models PEARL, PRZM and MACRO. Data from two experimental periods on soil temperature, water contents, bromide and metribuzin in a silt loam soil (0-80 cm,) was used.





Fig. 3 Soil water content simulation profiles (2nd season)



Acknowledgement

This work was funded by the GENESIS FP7 EU Project: "Groundwater and dependent ecosystems: new scientific and technical basis for assessing climate change and landuse impacts on groundwater systems (2009-2014), Norwegian Research Council, Bioforsk, Universita Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, and Project Fellowship at INRA-Grignon.

The exercise was carried out according to Good Modelling Practice (Vanclooster et al. 2000). The agreement between observed and simulated values was calculated by the following indexes: Relative root mean squared error (RRMSE), the coefficient of residual mass (CRM), the Pearson correlation (r), slope index and modelling efficiency (EF).

The three models simulated quite well the dynamics of bromide concentration for both experimental periods although MACRO and PRZM overestimated the bromide mass recovery compared to experimental data by an averaged factor of 1.7 ± 0.3 (Fig. 4).

CONCLUSIONS

- ✓ Although calibration of hydrodynamic parameters were performed for the three models, the particular cold climatic conditions of Norway were poorly simulated (soil temperature and water content dynamics during winter spring period).
- ✓ PRZM simulation of pesticide transfer differed largely from MACRO and PEARL

Literature:

Cardel, R.F., Imhoff, J.C., Hummel, P.R., Cheplick, J.M., Donigian Jr., A.S., 1998. PRZM-3, a model for predicting pesticide and nitrogen fate in the crop root and unsaturated soil zones: users manual for release 3.0.

Jarvis, N.J. (2007). A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil macropores: principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality. European Journal of Soil Science, 58: 523-546.

Stenrød, M., Perceval, J., Benoit, P., Almvik, M., Bolli, R. I., Eklo, O.M., Sveistrup, T. E. and Kværner, J. 2008. Effects on bioavailability and leaching of the mobile pesticide metribuzin in a silt loam soil in Norway. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 53, 4-15.

Results

Soil temperatures dynamics were successfully simulated by the three models except in the winter spring period (fig. 2).





Fig.2 Soil temperature simulation profiles (1st season)

Fig.4 Bromide concentration simulation profiles (1st season)

Tiktak, A., van den Berg, F., Boesten, J.J.T.I., Leistra, M., van der Linden, A.M.A., van Kraalingen, D., 2000. Pesticide Emission Assessment at Regional and Local Scales: User Manual of Pearl Version 1.1. RIVM Report 711401008, Alterra Report 28. RIVM, Bilthoven, 142 pp.

Vanclooster, M., J.J.T.I Boesten, M.Trevisan, C.D. Brown, E. Capri, O.M.Eklo, B. Gottesbüren, V. Gouy & A.M.A. vander Linden. 2000. A European test of pesticidesleaching models: methodology and major recommendation. Agricultural Water Management 44, pp 1-19.

¹INRA-AgroParisTech, UMR 1091 Environnement et Grandes Cultures –Thiverval-Grignon, France ²Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Istituto di chimica agraria ed ambientale, Piacenza, Italy ³Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomic Research, Høgskoleveien 7, 1431 ÅS, Norway ⁴Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomic Research, Fredrik A. Dahlsvei, 20 1431 ÅS, Norway

nibio.no

Pb 115,1431 Ås 64 94 80 00



