

Helsinki, 11.10.2012

Final decision: TPE-D-0000002423-82-03/F

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For 2,2'-(octadec-9-en registration number:	ylimino)bisethanol,	CAS No 25307-1	17-9 (EC No 2	246-807-3),
Addressee:				

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposals submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(e) thereof for 2,2'-(octadec-9-enylimino)bisethanol, CAS No 25307-17-9 (EC No 246-807-3) submitted by (Registrant).

- Repeated dose 90-day oral toxicity in rats (OECD Guideline 408);
- Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats (OECD Guideline 414);
- Two-generation reproduction toxicity study in rats (OECD Guideline 416).

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number, for the tonnage band of 1000 tonnes or more per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 14 June 2012, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

The present decision relates to the examination of the testing proposals for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) study and a pre-natal developmental toxicity study. The testing proposal for the two-generation reproductive toxicity study is addressed in a separate decision although all testing proposals were initially addressed together in the same draft decision.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the requirements of the REACH Regulation. The decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate a compliance check on the present dossier at a later stage.

The examination of the testing proposals was initiated on 29 October 2010.

ECHA opened a third party consultation for the testing proposals including testing on vertebrate animals that was held from 15 July 2011 until 29 August 2011 and received information from third parties (see Section III below).



On 02 December 2011 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 22 December 2011 ECHA received comments from the Registrant.

On 24 February the Registrant updated his registration dossier.

ECHA considered the Registrant's comments and the updated registration dossier received and amended the draft decision.

On 14 June 2012 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States submitted proposals for amendment to the draft decision. ECHA reviewed the proposals for amendment received and decided not to amend the draft decision.

On 18 July 2012 ECHA notified the Registrant of proposals for amendment to the draft decision and invited him pursuant to Article 51(5) of the REACH Regulation to provide comments on those proposals for amendment within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

On 30 July 2012 ECHA referred the draft decision to the Member State Committee.

On 17 August 2012 the Registrant provided comments on the proposals for amendment. The Member State Committee took the comments of the Registrant into account.

The draft decision was split into two draft decision documents: one relating to the testing proposal for a two-generation reproductive toxicity study and one relating to the testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) study and pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

A unanimous agreement of the Member State Committee on the draft decision relating to the testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) study and pre-natal developmental toxicity study was reached on 3 September 2012 in a written procedure launched on 22 August 2012 and ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(6) of the REACH Regulation.

II. Testing required

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall carry out the following test using the indicated test method:

- 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2., test method: EU B.26/OECD 408); and
- 2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2., test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

The Registrant shall determine the appropriate order of the studies taking into account the



possible outcomes and considering the possibilities for adaptations of the standard information requirements according to column 1 or 2 provisions of the relevant Annexes of the REACH Regulation.

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **13 October 2014** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision.

Data from a second pre-natal developmental toxicity study on another species is a standard information requirement according to Annex X, 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant should firstly take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity on a first species and all other relevant available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI. If the Registrant considers that testing is necessary to fulfill this information requirement, he should include in the update of his dossier a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study on a second species.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other registrants.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance and scientific information submitted by third parties.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 days) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant proposed 90-day testing by the oral route. The available 28-day repeated dose toxicity study was performed by the oral route. The substance is a liquid with a very low vapour pressure and is self-classified as corrosive. Industrial, professional and consumer uses are reported to occur via dermal and inhalation route. For personal protection of dermal exposure, especially gloves are recommended. Inhalation exposure concentrations were reported in the updated dossier with less than

ECHA evaluated the route to be tested. In light of the physico-chemical properties, especially as the substance is a liquid with a very low vapour pressure and the refined exposure calculation in the updated dossier resulting in significant lower exposure concentrations, the Registrant's proposal to test by oral administration can be approved.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the following study: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (test method: EU B.26/OECD 408).



2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies are part of the standard information requirements as laid down in Annexes IX and X, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant did not specify the route to be used for testing. According to the test guideline EU B.31/OECD 414, the substance is usually administered orally by intubation. ECHA considers this default parameter appropriate.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

When considering the need for a testing proposal for a prenatal developmental toxicity study in a second species, the Registrant should take into account the outcome of the prenatal developmental toxicity study on the first species and all available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI; for example if the substance meets the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction Category 1B: May damage the unborn child (H360D), and the available data are adequate to support a robust risk assessment, or alternatively, if Weight of Evidence assessment of all relevant available data provides scientific justification that the study in a second species is not needed.

3. Deadline for submitting the information

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant the time indicated to provide the requested information was 36 months from the date of adoption of the decision. This period of time took into account the fact that the draft decision also requested a reproductive toxicity study according to the standard information requirement of Annex X, 8.7.3 of the REACH Regulation. As the testing proposal for this study is not addressed in the present draft decision, ECHA considers that a reasonable time period for providing the required information in the form of an updated IUCLID5 dossier is 24 months from the date of the adoption of the decision. The decision was therefore modified accordingly.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of evaluation of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the generation of information is tailored to real information needs in order to prevent unnecessary testing. The information submitted in the registration dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance for the purpose of assessing the testing proposal. It is noted, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed tests, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint



registrants. It is the responsibility of all the joint registrants of the same substance to agree with the tests proposed in the testing proposal (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on its composition. The substance identity information of the registered substance and of the sample tested must enable ECHA to confirm the relevance of the testing for the substance actually registered by each joint registrant. Finally, the studies must be shared by the joint registrants concerned.

V. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP).

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

VI. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at

http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.

