

November 2021



- SDS responsibilities different interpretation across and sometimes within an EU Member State
  - Who is responsible for SDS content?
  - Who is responsible for SDS distribution
  - Who is responsible for SDS record keeping
  - Where does an Only Representative fit in?
    - Multiple ORs on one SDS
    - Bulk distribution e.g. via pipeline



- Postbox ORs
  - Companies that repeatedly hijack lead registrant position without due process
  - Submit poor quality dossiers and sell LoAs for access
  - ECHA assesses dossier quality, but
    - Enforcement sits with Member State Competent Authority
    - Some Member States more robust with dubious quality ORs
    - ECHA can only enforce through dossier review process (and has)
    - Some Member State Competent Authorities may be driven by quotas for action rather than science



- Opt Outs
  - ❖ Some registrants and ORs have a history of inquiring for a price and, no matter if reasonable, request an opt-out and simply get awarded one.
    - Inquirer gets free JSO token and access to registration
    - ECHA does not remove "rogue registrants"
- Lack of enforcement where tonnage bands exceeded
- Lack of policing of companies that don't bother to register and hijack registration numbers
- Industry has the feeling that they are "guilty until proven otherwise"
- Absence of a robust complaints procedure where two companies are in disagreement



- Possible ways forward
  - More comprehensive guidance documents for enforcement agencies to work with
  - ❖ REACH amendment?
    - Amendment of Article 8 to make OR responsibilities clearer regarding SDSs (content, distribution and storage)
  - Work with Member State Competent Authorities to achieve a more consistent and robust approach with rogue consultancies/ORs
  - Public position via Accredited Stakeholder forums
  - Work with registrants, trade associations and consortium management consultancies to gather intelligence information